SCALABLE APPLICATION DEVELOPMENT SOFTWARE

Software for LEADERSHIP-CLASS Computing

A grand challenge for computer science is to develop software technology that makes it possible to harness the power of leadership-class systems for scientific discovery. To address this challenge, the Center for Scalable Application Development Software (CScADS) is pursuing an integrated set of activities that includes community vision-building, collaborative research, and development of open-source software infrastructures to support efficient scientific computing on the emerging leadership-class platforms.

Technical computing, loosely defined as computing based on mathematical models of physical phenomena, spans a wide range of computational requirements. At the low end are computations that may take only milliseconds when programmed using a scripting language, or even a spreadsheet. At the other extreme are complex problems in physics and biology that are not yet tractable. Applications may range from computational experiments drafted by an individual in a few hours to applications with millions of lines of code written over decades by hundreds of programmers.

Existing software for technical computing does not make it easy to write applications that span the space of application size and complexity, nor the space of system size and complexity. One major reason for this is the mismatch between problem formulation and programming models. Many algorithms can be expressed concisely in mathematical notation, that is, on a single page of a scientific paper. When translated into a scripting language such as Matlab, they remain reasonably concise. Even when they are translated to simple loops in Fortran or C, the expansion is minimal if calls to standard domain libraries are used. Unfortunately, this situation changes dramatically when one attempts to tailor applications to achieve high performance. Without extensive tuning, data-intensive scientific applications typically achieve less than 10% efficiency on large-scale microprocessor-based parallel systems. Both the scarcity and scale of leadership-class systems make code efficiency an important concern. Boosting efficiency typically requires enormous effort by application developers. Tuning applications requires explicitly managing all aspects of the hardware—processor functional units, memory hierarchy, communication, and input/output (I/O)—with great care. Today, such restructuring is primarily the responsibility of application developers. For this reason, programming modern high-end computer systems is an enormous productivity sink. Furthermore, tailoring applications for high performance on a particular system leads to code that is hard to understand, verify, and maintain.

A final challenge is that of moving parallel applications to new high-end computing platforms. The experience of developers at the national laboratories is that retuning an applica-
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tion for new processor architectures, or even for new models of a given architecture, can require amounts of effort that equal or exceed the effort to develop the application initially. Compounding this problem is the arrival of hybrid supercomputing systems, such as the Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) Roadrunner with both Opteron and Cell processors ("Science-Based Prediction at LANL," SciDAC Review, Summer 2007, p 33). On hybrid systems, applications must be partitioned and matched to the strengths of different processors to achieve the highest level of performance. If such systems are to be usable by a broad range of scientific users, we must provide automated strategies for mapping applications onto them.

**Scalable Application Development Software**

To increase the productivity of application developers for high-end systems, computational scientists need software tools that help automate, in full or in part, the process of scaling applications in three different dimensions:

- scaling from simple high-productivity languages on a laptop to efficient applications on high-end, single-processor workstations;
- scaling from small numbers of processors to full processor ensembles consisting of thousands of processors with minimal efficiency loss;
- scaling from a single abstract program repre-
CScADS aims to explore strategies to support scalable application development with the goal of increasing the productivity of scientific application developers on high-end computer systems.

We call software that supports scaling in these three dimensions scalable application development software. This idea is illustrated in figure 2.

In the figure, a base program is specified in a high-level language, such as Matlab, Python, or R. Using appropriate compiler technology, this specification might be translated directly to a high-performance scalable parallel implementation in Fortran or C plus MPI; then this implementation could be tuned independently to different platforms using automatic search strategies.

While scaling along any of these axes of scaling could be considered independently, we believe that there is significant leverage to be gained by considering them as aspects of a single process: that of moving from a simple high-level application specification to efficient, scalable parallel implementations on a variety of computing platforms. A benefit of this approach is that it encourages the maintenance of a single source version for each application, with automatic or semi-automatic translation to high-performance platforms of different types and scales.

**SciDAC Center**

With support from the DOE SciDAC-2 program, the Center for Scalable Application Development Software (CScADS) has been established as a partnership between Rice University, Argonne National Laboratory (ANL), University of California–Berkeley, University of Tennessee–Knoxville, and University of Wisconsin–Madison. CScADS aims to explore strategies to support scalable application development with the goal of increasing the productivity of scientific application developers on high-end computer systems.

CScADS will support three basic activities: community outreach and vision-building, research on enabling technologies, and development of open-source software infrastructures to support the SciDAC-2 mission of making petascale computing practical. Achieving the goals of the SciDAC-2 program involves establishing partnerships with other academic institutions, DOE laboratories, leading-edge computing facilities, and industry.

Figure 3 illustrates the relationships between the Center’s activities. The flow of ideas originates from two sources: the community outreach and vision-building workshops, and direct collaboration with application development. These activities focus research efforts on important problems. In turn, research drives the infrastructure development by identifying capabilities that are needed to support the long-range vision.

Infrastructure feeds back into the research program, but also to prototype software tools that support further application development. Finally, experiences by developers using compilers, tools, and libraries will spur the next cycle of research and development.

**Community Outreach and Vision-Building**

Achieving petascale performance with applications will require a close collaboration between scientists developing computational models and computer science teams developing enabling technologies. To engage the community in the challenges and foster interdisciplinary collaborations, we have established the CScADS Summer Workshops—a series of one-week workshops that will focus on topics related to scalable software for the DOE’s leadership-class systems. For summer 2007, we have scheduled a series of four workshops.

**Automatic Tuning for Petascale Systems.**

The goal of this workshop is to bring together researchers and practitioners to discuss some of the code generation challenges for multicore processors that will be the building blocks of petascale systems and to identify some of the opportunities afforded by the use of automatic tuning techniques.

**Performance Tools for Petascale Computing.**

The goal of this workshop is to bring together tool researchers to foster collaboration on a community infrastructure for performance tools with the aim of accelerating development of tools for leadership-class platforms.

**Petascale Architectures and Performance.**

The goals of this workshop include familiarizing participants with the effective use of the DOE leadership-class systems.

**Libraries and Algorithms for Petascale Applications.**

The goal of this workshop is to identify
challenges for library and algorithm developers from the needs of the SciDAC applications, and to foster collaboration between the applications and library communities.

The first two workshops are intended to engage researchers and vendors active in their focus areas. The last two workshops are intended to engage the broader community and to foster dialogue and collaboration between application teams and researchers developing enabling technologies. Each workshop will produce a report for the community that summarizes the challenges ahead and a path forward.

Research and Development

Several national reports have pointed out that open-source software represents an opportunity to address the shortage of software support for programming high-end systems. The power of this approach has been amply demonstrated by the success of Linux in fostering the development of operating systems for high-performance clusters.

The CScADS research program focuses on strategies for improving the productivity of application developers for developing high-performance codes for leadership-class machines. Rather than attack a narrow range of problems within this space, we will explore a broad spectrum of issues because we believe that there is a high degree of synergy to be exploited.

Research on software support for high-end systems cannot be performed in a vacuum. Direct interaction between application developers and enabling technologies teams can clarify the problems that need to be addressed, yield insight into strategies for overcoming performance bottlenecks, identify how those strategies might be automated, and produce a vision for new tools and programming systems. To date, CScADS researchers have been interacting with developers of important DOE applications such as the Joule and INCITE codes, including S3D (sidebar “Performance Analysis and Tuning of S3D,” p40), GTC (a gyrokinetic code used to study plasma turbulence in toroidal fusion devices), and XGC1 (a code being developed by the SciDAC-2 Center for Plasma Edge Simulation).

Open-Source Software Infrastructure

To facilitate the research, both within CScADS and in the community at large, we are developing the CScADS Open Software Suite—an open-source software infrastructure to support compiler/programming-language research, development, and evaluation. This infrastructure, which is needed by our research as well as by a range of SciDAC projects and the wider high-performance computing (HPC) community, is not currently receiving development and deployment support elsewhere. Upon completion, the CScADS Open Software Suite will include compiler infrastructure based on the Open64 compiler as well as the Rice University D System compiler infrastructure to support high-level source-to-source optimization of programs, and performance tools infrastructure to support binary analysis, instrumentation, data collection, and measurement interpretation that will draw from the Rice University HPCToolkit and University of Wisconsin Paraview and Dyninst tools.

Rapid Construction of Applications

An application specification is high-level if: (1) it is written in a programming system that supports rapid prototyping; (2) aside from algorithm choice, it does not include any hardware-specific programming strategies (such as loop tiling); and (3) it is possible to generate code for the entire spectrum of different computing platforms from a single source version. The goal of CScADS productivity research is to explore how we can transform such high-level specifications into high-performance implementations for leadership-class systems.

For higher productivity, we believe that developers should construct high-performance applications by using scripting languages to integrate domain-specific component libraries. At Rice we have been exploring a strategy, called telescoping languages, to generate high-performance code for different platforms from a single source version.
Performance Analysis and Tuning of S3D

The S3D code being developed at SNL is a massively parallel solver for turbulent reacting flows. The code includes multiple physical and chemical aspects, such as detailed chemistry and molecular transport. S3D is a focus of analysis and optimization by a Performance Engineering Research Institute (PERI) performance “Tiger Team” to help optimize its performance for large-scale simulation runs on the leadership-class Cray XT3/XT4.

Figure 4 shows a flat profile of a single-processor execution of S3D on a 2.2 GHz dual-core Opteron 275 collected with the Rice University HPCToolkit—a performance tool being developed with support from both PERI and CSCaDS. The tool provides a navigation pane that contains a rank-ordered display of program constructs (procedures, loops, and lines), a metric pane that shows both measured metrics (cycles, instructions, floating point instructions, and L1 cache accesses) and derived metrics (waste and relative waste), and a source pane that displays code for the selected scope. Here, program scopes are rank-ordered by cycles—the column selected in the metric pane. The source code pane shows the corresponding computation over a 5D data structure. Loops over the DIRECTION and SPECIES are explicit in the code; other 3D loops are implicit in the Fortran 90 array operations. The waste column in the metric pane represents the difference between the theoretical peak number of FLOPs possible on an Opteron 275 core and the number of actual FLOPs executed. This metric tells us how much unrealized opportunity for floating point computation is associated with each context. The relative waste metric shows the fraction of theoretical peak performance we are wasting in each context. With this metric, we can see that this loop nest achieves only 4% of the theoretical peak.

Study of the loop nest reveals several unexploited opportunities for data reuse. The diffFlux array slice being computed in the first vector statement is reused in subsequent statements. Within individual vector statements there are opportunities for reuse across different iterations of the SPECIES and DIRECTION loops since many array references lack m and n subscripts. By applying a sophisticated set of loop transformations, these opportunities for data reuse can be exploited.

Figure 5 shows the loop nest decorated with a LoopTool code transformation recipe. The recipe indicates that (a) the two “if” conditions should be unswitched out of all loops to create four customized loop kernels (one for each switch setting), (b) loops for all of the 3D vector computations should be fused, (c) the DIRECTION loop should be unrolled completely and jammed inside the innermost loop of the 5D loop nest, and (d) the SPECIES loop should be unrolled by two, with pairs of its iterations jammed into the innermost loop.

Based on these directives, LoopTool produces four customized loop nests. The LoopTool-generated code for the loop nest shown in figure 5 runs 2.94 times faster than the original, which cuts the entire program’s execution time on a 50 x 50 x 50 problem by 6.8%.

Achieving high performance on a modern microprocessor, though challenging, is not by itself enough for SciDAC applications.

Scaling to Homogeneous Parallel Systems

Achieving high performance on a modern microprocessor, though challenging, is not by itself enough for SciDAC applications. In addition, applications must be able to scale to the thousands, or even hundreds of thousands, of processors that make up a petascale computing platform. Two general classes of software systems are needed to make this feasible—tools that analyze scalable performance and help the developer overcome bottlenecks, and compiler support that
can take higher-level languages and map them efficiently to large numbers of processors.

Tools for Scalable Parallel Performance Analysis

Effectively harnessing leadership-class systems for capability computing is a grand challenge for computer science. Running codes that are poorly tuned on such systems would waste these precious resources. To help users tune codes for leadership-class systems, we are conducting research on performance tools that addresses the following challenges.

Analyzing Integrated Measurements. Understanding application performance requires capturing detailed information about parallel application behavior, including the interplay of computation, data movement, synchronization, and I/O. We are focusing on analysis techniques that help understand the interplay of these activities.

Taming the Complexity of Scale. Analysis and presentation techniques must support top-down analysis to cope with the complexity of large codes running on thousands of processors. To understand executions on thousands of processors, it is not practical to inspect them individually. We are exploring statistical techniques for classifying behaviors into equivalence classes and differential performance analysis techniques for identifying scalability bottlenecks.

Coping with Dynamic Parallelism. The arrival of multicore processors will give rise to more dynamic threading models on processor nodes. Strategies to analyze the effectiveness of dynamic parallelism will be important in understanding performance on emerging processors.

This work on performance tools extends and complements activities in the SciDAC Performance Engineering Research Institute (PERI). The CScADS tools research and development will build upon work at Rice University on HPC-Toolkit and work at the University of Wisconsin on Dyninst and other tools for analysis and instrumentation of application binaries. An outcome of this effort will be shared interoperable components that will accelerate development of better tools for analyzing the performance of applications running on leadership-class systems.

Compiler Technology for Parallel Languages

The principal stumbling block to using parallel computers productively is that parallel programming models in wide use today place most of the burden of managing parallelism and optimizing parallel performance on application developers. We face a looming productivity crisis if we continue programming parallel systems at such a low level of abstraction as these parallel systems increase in scale and architectural complexity. As a component of CScADS research, we are exploring a range of compiler technologies for parallel systems ranging from technologies with near-term impact to technologies for higher-level programming models that we expect to pay off further in the future. This work is being done in conjunction with the DOE-funded Center for Programming Models for Scalable Parallel Computing. Technologies that we are exploring include:

Partitioned Global Address Space (PGAS) Languages. Communication optimization will be critical to the performance of PGAS languages on large-scale systems. As part of CScADS, we are enhancing the Open64 compiler infrastructure to support compile-time communication analysis of Co-Array Fortran and Unified Parallel C (UPC). The University of California–Berkeley group is also developing communication optimizations for UPC, which include converting...
The Impact of Multicore on Math Software

Multicore architectures are a disruptive technology for math software. The Parallel Linear Algebra for Scalable MultiCore Architectures (PLASMA) project at the University of Tennessee and ORNL aims to create software frameworks that help programmers achieve both high performance and portability. However, the current pace of change in architectures makes it premature to attack this goal directly. More experimentation is needed with new designs to understand how prior techniques can be adapted and to discover where novel approaches are needed to make programming frameworks sufficiently flexible for this new class of targets.

Our preliminary work on IBM’s Cell processor shows that techniques for increasing parallelism and exploiting heterogeneity can dramatically accelerate application performance on these types of systems. In the PLASMA project, we are pursuing a three-pronged strategy:

**Experiment with techniques.** We are exploring an execution model based on coarse-grain data flow to exploit dynamic and adaptive out-of-order execution patterns on multicore processors. Early experiences with matrix factorization techniques have led us to the idea of dynamic look-ahead, and our preliminary experiments show that this technique substantially improves performance.

**Develop prototypes.** We are testing the most promising techniques to study their limits and gain insight into potential problems (such as portability). These prototypes enable us to assess how amenable these approaches are to dynamic adaptation and automated tuning.

**Provide a design draft for the PLASMA framework.** We have begun developing an initial design of PLASMA frameworks for multicore and hybrid architectures. We will distribute this design and software prototypes for community feedback.

Many architects predict that with processor speed improvements slowing, the number of cores per chip is likely to double every two years. In addition, many of the contemplated architectures will incorporate multi-threading on each of the cores, adding a third dimension of parallelism.

**Global Array Languages.** High-level languages that support data-parallel programming using a global view offer a dramatically simpler alternative for programming parallel systems. Programming in such languages is simpler: one simply reads and writes shared variables without worrying about synchronization and data movement. An application programmer merely specifies how to partition the data and leaves the details of partitioning the computation and choreographing communication to a parallelizing compiler. Having an HPF program achieve over ten teraflops on Japan’s Earth Simulator has rekindled interest in high-level programming models within the U.S. Research challenges include improving the expressiveness, performance, and portability of high-level programming models.

**Parallel Scripting Languages.** Matlab and other scripting languages boost developer productivity both by providing a rich set of library primitives as well as by abstracting away mundane details of programming. Ongoing work at Rice University is exploring compiler technology for Matlab. Work at the University of Tennessee involves parallel implementations of scripting languages such as Matlab, Python, and Mathematica. As a part of this project, we are exploring compiler and run-time techniques that will enable such high-level programming systems to scale to much larger computation configurations while retaining support for most language features.

**Support for Multicore Platforms**

Multicore chips will force at least two dimensions of parallelism into scalable architectures—on-chip, shared memory parallelism, and cross-chip distributed-memory parallelism. Many architects predict that with processor speed improvements slowing, the number of cores per chip is likely to double every two years. In addition, many of the contemplated architectures will incorporate multi-threading on each of the cores, adding a third dimension of parallelism. Based on this increased complexity, we see three principal challenges in dealing with scalable parallel systems constructed from multicore chips.

Decomposing viable parallelism and mapping it well to available resources is one challenge. For a given loop nest, we will need to find instruction-level parallelism to exploit short-vector operations, multi-threaded parallelism to map across multiple cores and outer-loop parallelism to exploit an entire scalable system.
Keeping multiple cores busy requires that more data be transferred from off-chip memory. In the near term, given the limitations on sockets, the aggregate off-chip bandwidth will not scale linearly with the number of cores. For this reason, it will be critical to transform applications to achieve high levels of cache reuse.

Choreographing parallelism and data movement is the third challenge. Rather than having cores compute independently, coordinating their computation with synchronization can improve reuse.

In CScADS, we are pursuing three approaches to cope with the challenges for efficient multi-core computing. First, Tennessee is exploring the design of algorithms and component libraries for systems employing multicore chips (sidebar “The Impact of Multicore on Math Software”). This work seeks to achieve the highest possible performance, produce useful libraries, and drive the research on compilation strategies and automatic tuning for multicore chips. Second, Rice University is exploring compiler transformations to exploit multicore processors effectively by carefully partitioning and scheduling computation to enhance intercore data reuse. Third, ANL is exploring the interaction of multithreaded application programs with systems software such as node operating systems and communication libraries such as MPI.

**LoopTool: Transforming Fortran Loop Nests**

The gap between memory speed and processor speed is increasing with each new generation of processors. As a result, lack of sufficient bandwidth between the processor and various levels of the memory hierarchy has become a principal obstacle to achieving high performance with data-intensive applications. When applications programs are written in a clear style that facilitates code development and maintainability, they often fail to exploit opportunities for data reuse. Although significant performance gains can be achieved by hand optimization to exploit reuse, automation is needed for improving productivity, portability, and maintainability.

Ideally, compilers would automatically tune loop nests. In practice, compilers often fail to achieve the desired result automatically. For this
reason, Rice University is enhancing LoopTool—a compiler-based tool that assists expert human programmers by transforming Fortran loop nests for high performance. LoopTool enables application developers to apply a complex set of well-known loop transformations to improve data reuse at various levels of the memory hierarchy. Here we provide an overview of some of LoopTool’s key transformations. An example of LoopTool’s use and utility is described in the sidebar, “Performance Analysis and Tuning of S3D” (p40).

Controlled multi-level loop fusion (figure 7). LoopTool performs multi-level loop fusion by adjusting the alignment of statements in different loops relative to a common iteration space. Loop fusion improves cache reuse by reducing the reuse distance between accesses to data within a cache line. Guided by user directives that specify exactly which loops to fuse, LoopTool verifies the legality of fusion before performing the transformation.

Unroll-and-jam (figure 8). Applying the unroll-and-jam transformation to a loop nest unrolls an outer loop and then fuses resulting copies of the loop it encloses. This transformation is useful for exploiting temporal reuse across iterations of an outer loop. Applying this transformation to a loop nest brings the reuse in the outer loops closer together, which can improve cache and sometimes register reuse.

Array contraction (figure 9). If both the definitions and all uses of a temporary array fall in the same loop nest after fusion, often LoopTool can automatically reduce the storage footprint by applying array contraction if it can prove that only a subset of the values need to be live simultaneously. Reducing a computation’s storage footprint enhances data reuse and can reduce the memory bandwidth required if the reduced-size array fits into cache at some level.

Loop unswitching (figure 10). Unswitching a loop means hoisting a conditional within a loop nest out of one or more levels of enclosing loops and creating a custom version of the loop nest for the true and false branches of the conditional. By creating condition-free loop bodies, unswitching enables instructions to be scheduled more effectively.

Guard-free core generation (figure 11). Using a symbolic set manipulation package, we compute the iteration space for a guard-free core loop nest from a fused computation. Pieces of iteration spaces are clipped off along each dimension of the fused loop nest to uncover a guard-free rectangular core.

Portability and Support for Heterogeneous Platforms
The third dimension of scalability is mapping an application to different sequential and parallel computing platforms. Over the lifetime of an application, the effort spent in porting and retuning for new platforms can often exceed the original implementation effort. In support of portability, we are initially focusing on obtaining the highest possible performance on leadership
class machines. In addition, we will explore compilation and optimization of applications to permit them to run efficiently on computer systems that incorporate different kinds of computational elements, such as vector/single instruction multiple data (SIMD) and scalar processors.

**Automatic Tuning to New Platforms**

The success of libraries (such as Atlas and FFTW) has increased interest in automatic tuning of components and applications. The goal of research in this area is to develop compiler and run-time technology to identify which loop nests in a program are critical for high performance and restructure them appropriately to achieve the highest performance on a target platform.

The search space for alternative implementations of loop nests is too big to explore exhaustively. We have been exploring several strategies to reduce the cost of searching for the best loop structure. By leveraging capabilities of the Rice University HPCToolkit, we can pinpoint sources of inefficiency at the loop level, which can guide exploration of transformation parameters. We have been employing model guidance along with search to dramatically reduce the size of the search required needed for good performance.

As part of CScADS, the Rice University and University of Tennessee groups are continuing their efforts based on LoopTool, HPCToolkit, and Atlas 2, with a focus on pre-tuning component libraries for various platforms. This work will provide variants of arbitrary component libraries optimized for different platforms and different application contexts, much as Atlas does today.

A second group at Rice University, led by Dr. Keith Cooper, is extending adaptive code optimization strategies to tune components. This work will explore adaptive transformations and aggressive interprocedural optimization.

**Compiling to Heterogeneous Computing Platforms**

Emerging high-end computing architectures are beginning to have heterogeneous computational components within a single system. Exploiting these features—or even coping with them—will be a challenge. We believe that new techniques must be incorporated into compilers and tools to support portable high-performance programming.

To date, our work has explored compilation for chips with attached vector units, such as Streaming SIMD Extensions (SSE) on Intel chips and Altivec on the IBM G5. We are building upon this work to develop compiler techniques for partitioning and mapping computations onto the resources to which they are best suited. These techniques will be critical for effective use of systems that incorporate both vector and scalar elements in the same machine, such as those outlined in Cray’s strategy for “adaptive supercomputing.”

**Conclusions**

Our early experiences with S3D demonstrate the value of the CScADS approach of tightly coupling computer science research with application development and tuning. Performance challenges identified in S3D with HPCToolkit led directly to development of support for scalarization and loop unswitching in LoopTool. In turn, LoopTool-optimized code is being incorporated into the reference version of S3D in preparation for large-scale simulation runs on the Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL) Cray XT3/XT4.

As CScADS moves forward with development of components in the Open Software Suite, maintaining a close connection with applications will ensure that the Center’s research will continue to address the fundamental challenges facing application teams developing codes for DOE leadership-class platforms.
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