

Gaussian Elimination and Triangular Factorization are normally performed with pivotal row exchanges to prevent division by zero and fend against numerical instability. These exchanges are unnecessary when the square matrix  $A = \{a_{ij}\}$  to be factored belongs to one of two classes of matrices with sufficiently prominent diagonal elements  $a_{ii}$ . For a matrix  $A$  in either class, every Schur Complement of  $A$  belong to the same class, as we shall prove for the first Schur Complement  $S := H - cr^T/\mu$  of  $\mu$  in the partitioned matrix  $A = \begin{bmatrix} \mu & r^T \\ c & H \end{bmatrix}$ . More important, we shall prove the Schur Complements of matrices in both classes cannot grow much if at all; how this aids numerical stability by preventing rounding errors in  $cr^T/\mu$  from swamping the data in  $H$  was known to J. von Neumann in 1946 though first explained by J.H. Wilkinson in 1959.

### 1. Matrices $A$ Dominated by their Diagonals:

$A$  is *Row-Dominated by its Diagonal* when every  $\rho_i := |a_{ii}| - \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ij}| > 0$ .

$A$  is *Column-Dominated by its Diagonal* when every  $\gamma_j := |a_{jj}| - \sum_{i \neq j} |a_{ij}| > 0$ .

We shall prove first that  $S$  inherits the same kind of diagonal dominance from  $A$ . Column-dominance will be treated since the treatment of row-dominance is very similar. Let  $S$  and the sub-arrays of  $A$  inherit their subscripts from  $A$ , so that  $S = \{s_{ij}\}$  and  $H = \{h_{ij}\} = \{a_{ij}\}$  for  $i > 1$  and  $j > 1$ , and row  $r^T = [r_2, r_3, \dots] = [a_{12}, a_{13}, \dots]$  and similarly for column  $c$ . Then  $s_{ij} = h_{ij} - c_j r_j / \mu$ , and  $\gamma_1 = |\mu| - \sum_{i>1} |c_i| > 0$ , and  $\gamma_j = 2|h_{jj}| - |r_j| - \sum_{i>1} |h_{ij}| > 0$  for  $j > 1$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} |s_{jj}| - \sum_{i \neq j} |s_{ij}| &\geq (|h_{jj}| - |c_j r_j / \mu|) - \left( \sum_{i>1} (|h_{ij}| + |c_i r_j / \mu|) - (|h_{jj}| + |c_j r_j / \mu|) \right) \\ &= \gamma_j + |r_j| (1 - \sum_{i>1} |c_i / \mu|) = \gamma_j + \gamma_1 |r_j / \mu| \geq \gamma_j > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore no column of  $S$  is less diagonally dominant than the same column of  $A$ . Finally we observe that  $S$  can't grow much because ...

$$\sum_{i>1} |s_{ij}| \leq \sum_{i>1} |h_{ij}| + \sum_{i>1} |c_i r_j / \mu| = \sum_{i>1} |h_{ij}| + (1 - \gamma_1 / |\mu|) |r_j| \leq \sum_i |a_{ij}|.$$

This says no column of the first Schur Complement  $S$  has a bigger sum of magnitudes than has the same column of  $A$ , whence the same follows for all subsequent Schur Complements.

### 2. Positive Definite Matrices $A$ :

Real matrix  $A$  is *Positive Definite* just when  $x^T A x > 0$  for every real nonzero  $x$ , and then every principal submatrix of  $A$  turns out to be positive definite too; this is proved by setting to zeros the elements of  $x$  in rows that do not belong to the chosen submatrix. Therefore  $\mu > 0$  and  $H$  is positive definite in the partition of  $A$  exhibited above. Our first task is to show that the first Schur Complement  $S := H - cr^T/\mu$  is positive definite too. Choose any real nonzero row  $y^T$  of the same dimension as  $r^T$  and from it construct  $x^T := [-y^T(c+r), 2\mu y^T]$  to find that  $0 < x^T A x = 4\mu^2 y^T S y - \mu(y^T(c-r))^2$ ; evidently  $y^T S y > 0$  too, so  $S$  must be positive definite as claimed. This implies that every Schur Complement must be positive definite too.

However, Schur Complements may grow unless  $A$  is *Symmetric* as well as positive definite real. In this case  $A = A^T$ ,  $r = c$ ,  $H = H^T$ , and  $S = H - cc^T/\mu = S^T$  is also symmetric positive definite real; moreover every diagonal element  $s_{ii} = h_{ii} - c_i^2/\mu \leq a_{ii}$ . Consequently diagonal elements of successive Schur Complements form positive non-increasing sequences, and off-diagonal elements can't grow much since each  $|s_{ij}| < \sqrt{(s_{ii}s_{jj})}$ , as can be inferred from  $y^T S y > 0$  by setting only two elements of  $y$  to nonzero values  $\sqrt{s_{jj}}$  and  $\pm\sqrt{s_{ii}}$ . All this ensures that a *Choleski* factorization  $A = U^T U$  with triangular  $U$  exists, but that is a story for another day.