

Problem Set 4
Spring 2009

Issued: Monday, March 9, 2009

Due: Monday, March 30, 2009

Problem 4.1

Let \mathcal{A} be a finite class of sets (i.e., $|\mathcal{A}| < \infty$). Determine upper bounds on the shatter coefficients and VC dimension of \mathcal{A} . Provide an example for which your upper bounds are tight.

Problem 4.2

Determine the VC dimension of the following classes of sets:

- (a) The class of sets in \mathbb{R}^d :

$$\mathcal{A} = \{(-\infty, a_1] \times (-\infty, a_2] \times \dots \times (-\infty, a_d] \mid (a_1, \dots, a_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d\}.$$

- (b) The class of sets in \mathbb{R}^d :

$$\mathcal{A} = \{(b_1, a_1] \times (b_2, a_2] \times \dots \times (b_d, a_d] \mid (a_1, \dots, a_d), (b_1, \dots, b_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d\}.$$

- (c) The class of all closed balls in \mathbb{R}^2 —that is, \mathcal{A} is the class of all subsets of the form

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \sum_{i=1}^2 (x_i - a_i)^2 \leq R, \text{ for some } (a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ and } R > 0\}.$$

Problem 4.3

Define the binary entropy function $h : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by $h(t) = -t \log t - (1 - t) \log(1 - t)$ (in base $\log e$). In this problem, we prove that for all $k \leq n/2$,

$$\sum_{i=0}^k \binom{n}{i} \leq \exp\left(n h\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)\right).$$

- (a) Show that $\sum_{i=0}^k \binom{n}{i} = 2^n \mathbb{P}[Z \geq n - k]$, where Z is a binomial $(n, 1/2)$ variate.
- (b) Use the Chernoff bounding technique to derive a sharp upper bound on the binomial tail probability. (*Hint:* It is not sufficient to use the sub-Gaussian tail bound from the boundedness of Bernoulli variables.)

Problem 4.4

A sequence V_1, V_2, \dots of integrable random variables form a martingale difference sequence (MDS) with respect to another sequence Z_1, Z_2, \dots if

$$\mathbb{E}[V_{i+1} \mid Z_1, \dots, Z_i] = 0 \quad \text{for all } i = 1, 2, \dots$$

- (a) Given a function $f(Z_1, \dots, Z_n)$ and a sequence of i.i.d. random variables Z_1, \dots, Z_n , define $V = f(Z_1, \dots, Z_n) - \mathbb{E}[f(Z_1, \dots, Z_n)]$ and consider the sequence given by
- $$V_1 = \mathbb{E}[V \mid Z_1], \quad \text{and} \quad V_k = \mathbb{E}[V \mid Z_1, \dots, Z_k] - \mathbb{E}[V \mid Z_1, \dots, Z_{k-1}] \quad \text{for } k = 2, 3, \dots, n.$$
- Show that $\{V_i\}$ is a MDS with respect to $\{Z_i\}$.
- (b) Show that $\text{var}(V) = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{var}(V_i)$, which yields a useful decomposition for bounding the variance of V .
- (c) Suppose that f satisfies the bounded difference property with parameter c_i for each $i = 1, \dots, n$. Show that $\text{var}(V) \leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2$.

Problem 4.5

(Clustering) Let $X^{(1)}, \dots, X^{(n)}$ be i.i.d. random variables from a distribution supported on $[-B, B]^d$ for some $B < +\infty$. The k -means method of clustering or vector quantization is based on choosing a set of k vectors a^1, \dots, a^k in \mathbb{R}^d (representing centers of k clusters) to minimize the empirical squared error:

$$\widehat{M}_n(a^1, \dots, a^k) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \min_j \|X^{(i)} - a^j\|_2^2$$

The population error of the clustering can be measured by the quantity

$$M(a^1, \dots, a^k) = \mathbb{E}[\min_j \|X - a^j\|_2^2 \mid X^{(1)}, \dots, X^{(n)}]$$

where X is an independent draw from the same distribution.

- (a) If (a^1, \dots, a^k) are a set of empirically optimal cluster centers, show that

$$M(a^1, \dots, a^k) - \inf_{b^1, \dots, b^k \in \mathbb{R}^d} M(b^1, \dots, b^k) \leq 2 \sup_{b^1, \dots, b^k \in \mathbb{R}^d} |\widehat{M}_n(b^1, \dots, b^k) - M(b^1, \dots, b^k)|.$$

- (b) Show that for all $\epsilon > 0$ with $n\epsilon^2 \geq 2$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{ \sup_{b^1, \dots, b^k \in \mathbb{R}^d} |\widehat{M}_n(b^1, \dots, b^k) - M(b^1, \dots, b^k)| > \epsilon \right\} \leq C n^{2k(d+1)} \exp\left(-\frac{n\epsilon^2}{32B^4}\right),$$

for some constant C independent of (n, k, d, B) . (*Hint:* The reasoning in problem 4.2(c) could be relevant for part of your argument.)

- (c) Conclude that the population error of the empirically optimal clustering converges in probability to $\inf_{b^1, \dots, b^k \in \mathbb{R}^d} M(b^1, \dots, b^k)$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$.

Problem 4.6

Let $X^{(1)}, \dots, X^{(n)}$ be i.i.d. random variables in \mathbb{R} with probability distribution \mathbb{P} . For some class of subsets \mathcal{A} , define $Z_n = \sup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{I}[X^{(i)} \in A] - \mathbb{P}[A] \right|$. Show that $Z_n \xrightarrow{p} 0$ implies that $Z_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0$. (*Hint:* The bounded difference inequality from class and the Borel-Cantelli lemma could be useful. Recall Borel-Cantelli: if for each fixed $\epsilon > 0$, we have $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}[|Z_n| > \epsilon] < +\infty$, then $Z_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0$.)