

**Spin Algebra**

“Spin” is the intrinsic angular momentum associated with fundamental particles. To understand spin, we must understand the quantum mechanical properties of angular momentum. The spin is denoted by  $\vec{S}$ .

In the last lecture, we established that:

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{S} &= S_x\hat{x} + S_y\hat{y} + S_z\hat{z} \\ S^2 &= S_x^2 + S_y^2 + S_z^2 \\ [S_x, S_y] &= i\hbar S_z \\ [S_y, S_z] &= i\hbar S_x \\ [S_z, S_x] &= i\hbar S_y \\ [S^2, S_i] &= 0 \text{ for } i = x, y, z\end{aligned}$$

Because  $S^2$  commutes with  $S_z$ , there must exist an orthonormal basis consisting entirely of simultaneous eigenstates of  $S^2$  and  $S_z$ . (We proved that rule in a previous lecture.)

Since each of these basis states is an eigenvector of both  $S^2$  and  $S_z$ , they can be written with the notation  $|a, b\rangle$ , where  $a$  denotes the eigenvalue of  $S^2$  and  $b$  denotes the eigenvalue of  $S_z$ .

Now, it will turn out that  $a$  and  $b$  can't be just any numbers. The word “quantum” in “quantum mechanics” refers to the fact that many operators have “quantized” eigenvalues – eigenvalues that can only take on a limited, discrete set of values.

(In the example of the position and momentum, from previous lectures, the position and momentum eigenvalues were *not* discrete or quantized in this sense; they were continuous. However, the energy of the “particle on a ring” was quantized.)

Question: What values  $a$  and  $b$  can have?

We'll give away the answer first, and most of the lecture will be spent proving this answer:

Answer:

$a$  can equal  $\hbar^2 n(n+1)$ , where  $n$  is an integer or half of an integer. Given that  $a = \hbar^2 n(n+1)$ ,  $b$  can equal  $\hbar(-n), \hbar(-n+1), \dots, \hbar(n-2), \hbar(n-1), \hbar n$ .

Now, let's prove it.

First, define the “raising” and “lowering” operators  $S_+$  and  $S_-$ :  $S_+ \equiv S_x + iS_y$ ,  $S_- \equiv S_x - iS_y$ .

Let's find the commutators of these operators:

$$[S_z, S_+] = [S_z, S_x] + i[S_z, S_y] = i\hbar S_y + i(-i\hbar S_x) = \hbar(S_x + iS_y) = \hbar S_+$$

Therefore  $[S_z, S_+] = \hbar S_+$ . Similarly,  $[S_z, S_-] = -\hbar S_-$ .

Now act  $S_+$  on  $|a, b\rangle$ . Is the resulting state still an eigenvector of  $S^2$ ? If so, does it have the same eigenvalues  $a$  and  $b$ , or does it have new ones?

First, consider  $S^2$ :

What is  $S^2(S_+|a, b\rangle)$ ? Since  $[S^2, S_+] = 0$ , the  $S^2$  eigenvalue is unchanged:  $S^2(S_+|a, b\rangle) = S_+(S^2|a, b\rangle) = S_+(a|s, m\rangle) = a(S_+|a, b\rangle)$ . The new state is also an eigenstate of  $S^2$  with eigenvalue  $a$ .

Now, consider  $S_z$ :

What is  $S_z(S_+|a, b\rangle)$ ? Here,  $[S_z, S_+] = \hbar S_+ (\neq 0)$ . That is,  $S_z S_+ - S_+ S_z = \hbar S_+$ . So  $S_z S_+ = S_+ S_z + \hbar S_+$ , and:

$$\begin{aligned} S_z(S_+|a, b\rangle) &= (S_+ S_z + \hbar S_+) |a, b\rangle \\ &= (S_+ b + \hbar S_+) |a, b\rangle \\ S_z(S_+|a, b\rangle) &= (b + \hbar) S_+ |a, b\rangle \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $S_+|a, b\rangle$  is an eigenstate of  $S_z$ . But  $S_+$  raises the  $S_z$  eigenvalue of  $|a, b\rangle$  by  $\hbar$ !  $S_+$  changes the state  $|a, b\rangle$  to  $|a, b + \hbar\rangle$ .

but  $S_+$  raises the  $S_z$  eigenvalue of  $|s, m\rangle$  by  $\hbar$ !

Similarly,  $S_z(S_-|s, m\rangle) = (b - \hbar)(S_-|a, b\rangle)$  (Homework.) So  $S_-$  lowers the eigenvalue of  $S_z$  by  $\hbar$ .

Now, remember that  $\vec{S}$  is like an angular momentum.  $S^2$  represents the square of the magnitude of the angular momentum; and  $S_z$  represents the z-component.

But suppose you keep hitting  $|s, m\rangle$  with  $S_+$ . The eigenvalue of  $S^2$  will not change, but the eigenvalue of  $S_z$  keeps increasing. If we keep doing this enough, the eigenvalue of  $S_z$  will grow larger than the square root of the eigenvalue of  $S^2$ . That is, the z-component of the angular momentum vector will in some sense be larger than the magnitude of the angular momentum vector.

That doesn't make a lot of sense . . . perhaps we made a mistake somewhere? Or a faulty assumption? What unwarranted assumption did we make?

Here's our mistake: we forgot about the ket 0, which acts like an eigenvector of any operator, with any eigenvalue.

I don't mean the ket  $|0\rangle$ ; I mean the ket 0. For instance, if we were dealing with qubits, any ket could be represented as the  $\alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle$ . What ket do you get if you set both  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  to 0? You get the ket 0. Which is not the same as  $|0\rangle$ .

Remember in our proof above when we concluded that  $S_z(S_+|a, b\rangle) = (b + \hbar)S_+|a, b\rangle$ ? Well, if  $S_+|a, b\rangle = 0$ , then this would be true in a trivial way. That is,  $S_z \times 0 = (b + \hbar) \times 0 = 0$ . But that doesn't mean that we have successfully used  $S_+$  to increase the eigenvalue of  $S_z$  by  $\hbar$ . All we've done is annihilate our ket.

So the resolution to our dilemma must be that if you keep hitting  $|a, b\rangle$  with  $S_+$ , you must eventually get 0. Let  $|a, b_{\text{top}}(a)\rangle$  be the last ket we get before we reach 0. ( $b_{\text{top}}(a)$  is the "top" value of  $b$  that we can reach, for this value of  $a$ .) We expect that  $b_{\text{top}}(a)$  is no bigger than the square root of  $a$ . Then  $S_z|a, b_{\text{top}}(a)\rangle = b_{\text{top}}(a)|a, b_{\text{top}}(a)\rangle$ .

Similarly, there must exist a "bottom" state  $|a, b_{\text{bot}}(a)\rangle$ , such that  $S_-|a, b_{\text{bot}}(a)\rangle = 0$ . And  $S_z|a, b_{\text{bot}}(a)\rangle = b_{\text{bot}}(a)|a, b_{\text{bot}}(a)\rangle$ .

Now consider the operator  $S_+S_- = (S_x + iS_y)(S_x - iS_y)$ . Multiplying out the terms and using the commutation relations, we get

$$S_+S_- = S_x^2 + S_y^2 - i(S_xS_y - S_yS_x) = S^2 - S_z^2 + \hbar S_z$$

Hence

$$S^2 = S_+S_- + S_z^2 - \hbar S_z \quad (1)$$

Similarly

$$S^2 = S_-S_+ + S_z^2 + \hbar S_z \quad (2)$$

Now act  $S^2$  on  $|a, b_{\text{top}}(a)\rangle$  and  $|a, b_{\text{bot}}(a)\rangle$ .

$$\begin{aligned} S^2|a, b_{\text{top}}(a)\rangle &= (S_-S_+ + S_z^2 + \hbar S_z)|a, b_{\text{top}}(a)\rangle \text{ by (2)} \\ &= (0 + b_{\text{top}}(a)^2 + \hbar b_{\text{top}}(a))|a, b_{\text{top}}(a)\rangle \\ S^2|a, b_{\text{top}}(a)\rangle &= b_{\text{top}}(a)(b_{\text{top}}(a) + \hbar)|a, b_{\text{top}}(a)\rangle \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} S^2|a, b_{\text{bot}}(a)\rangle &= (S_+S_- + S_z^2 - \hbar S_z)|a, b_{\text{bot}}(a)\rangle \text{ by (1)} \\ &= (0 + b_{\text{bot}}(a)^2 - \hbar b_{\text{bot}}(a))|a, b_{\text{bot}}(a)\rangle \\ S^2|a, b_{\text{bot}}(a)\rangle &= \hbar b_{\text{bot}}(a)(b_{\text{bot}}(a) - \hbar)|a, b_{\text{bot}}(a)\rangle \end{aligned}$$

So the first ket has  $S^2$  eigenvalue  $a = b_{\text{top}}(a)(b_{\text{top}}(a) + \hbar)$ , and the second ket has  $S^2$  eigenvalue  $a = \hbar^2 b_{\text{bot}}(a)(b_{\text{bot}}(a) - \hbar)$ .

But we know that the action of  $S_+$  and  $S_-$  on  $|a, b\rangle$  leaves the eigenvalue of  $S^2$  unchanged. As we got from  $|a, b_{\text{top}}(a)\rangle$  to  $|a, b_{\text{bot}}(a)\rangle$  by applying the lowering operator many times. So the value of  $a$  is the same for the two kets.

$$\text{Therefore } b_{\text{top}}(a)(b_{\text{top}}(a) + \hbar) = b_{\text{bot}}(a)(b_{\text{bot}}(a) - \hbar).$$

This equation has two solutions:  $b_{\text{bot}}(a) = b_{\text{top}}(a) + \hbar$ , and  $b_{\text{bot}}(a) = -b_{\text{top}}(a)$ .

But  $b_{\text{bot}}(a)$  must be smaller than  $b_{\text{top}}(a)$ , so only the second solution works. Therefore  $b_{\text{bot}}(a) = -b_{\text{top}}(a)$ .

Hence  $b$ , which is the eigenvalue of  $S_z$ , ranges from  $-b_{\text{top}}(a)$  to  $b_{\text{top}}(a)$ . Furthermore, since  $S_-$  lowers this value by  $\hbar$  each time it is applied, these two values must differ by an integer multiple of  $\hbar$ . Therefore  $b_{\text{top}}(a) - (-b_{\text{top}}(a)) = N\hbar$  for some  $N$ . So  $b_{\text{top}}(a) = \frac{N}{2}\hbar$ .

Hence  $b_{\text{top}}(a)$  is an integer or half integer multiple of  $\hbar$ .

Now we'll define two variables called  $s$  and  $m$ , which will be very important in our notation later on.

Let's define  $s \equiv \frac{b_{\text{top}}(a)}{\hbar}$ . Then  $s = \frac{N}{2}$ , so  $s$  can be any integer or half integer.

And let's define  $m \equiv \frac{b}{\hbar}$ . Then  $m$  ranges from  $-s$  to  $s$ . For instance, if  $b_{\text{top}}(a) = \frac{3}{2}\hbar$ , then  $s = \frac{3}{2}$  and  $m$  can equal  $-\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2},$  or  $\frac{3}{2}$ .

Then:

$$a = \hbar^2 s(s+1)b = \hbar m$$

Since  $a$  is completely determined by  $s$ , and  $b$  is completely determined by  $m$ , we can label our kets as  $|s, m\rangle$  (instead of  $|a, b\rangle$ ) without any ambiguity. For instance, the ket  $|s, m\rangle = |2, 1\rangle$  is the same as the ket  $|a, b\rangle = |6\hbar^2, \hbar\rangle$ .

In fact, all physicists label spin kets with  $s$  and  $m$ , not with  $a$  and  $b$ . (The letters  $s$  and  $m$  are standard notation, but  $a$  and  $b$  are not.) We will use the standard  $|s, m\rangle$  notation from now on.

For each value of  $s$ , there is a family of allowed values of  $m$ , as we proved. Here they are:

(table omitted for now)

Fact of Nature: Every fundamental particle has its own special value of “ $s$ ” and can have *no other*. “ $m$ ” can change, but “ $s$ ” does not.

If  $s$  is an integer, then the particle is a boson. (Like photons;  $s = 1$ )

If  $s$  is a half-integer, then the particle is a fermion. (like electrons,  $s = \frac{1}{2}$ )

So, which spin  $s$  is best for qubits? Spin  $\frac{1}{2}$  sounds good, because it allows for two states:  $m = -\frac{1}{2}$  and  $m = \frac{1}{2}$ .

The rest of this lecture will only concern spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  particles. (That is, particles for which  $s = \frac{1}{2}$ ).

The two possible spin states  $|s, m\rangle$  are then  $|\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\rangle$  and  $|\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\rangle$ .

Since the  $s$  quantum number doesn't change, we only care about  $m = \pm\frac{1}{2}$ .

Possible labels for the two states ( $m = \pm\frac{1}{2}$ ):

$$\begin{array}{cc} |\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\rangle & |\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\rangle \\ |+\rangle & |-\rangle \\ |0\rangle & |1\rangle \end{array}$$

All of these labels are frequently used, but let's stick with  $|0\rangle, |1\rangle$ , since that's the convention in this class.

Remember:

$$\begin{array}{l} |0\rangle = |\uparrow\rangle = \text{state representing ang. mom. w/ z-comp. up} \\ |1\rangle = |\downarrow\rangle = \text{state representing ang. mom. w/ z-comp. down} \end{array}$$

So we have derived the eigenvectors and eigenvalues of the spin for a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  system, like an electron or proton:

$|0\rangle$  and  $|1\rangle$  are simultaneous eigenvectors of  $S^2$  and  $S_z$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
S^2|0\rangle &= \hbar^2 s(s+1)|0\rangle = \hbar^2 \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}+1)|0\rangle = \frac{3}{4}\hbar^2|0\rangle \\
S^2|1\rangle &= \hbar^2 s(s+1)|1\rangle = \frac{3}{4}\hbar^2|1\rangle \\
S_z|0\rangle &= \hbar m|0\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\hbar|0\rangle \\
S_z|1\rangle &= \hbar m|1\rangle = -\frac{1}{2}\hbar|1\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

Results of measurements:

$$S^2 \rightarrow \frac{3}{4}\hbar^2, S_z \rightarrow +\frac{\hbar}{2}, -\frac{\hbar}{2}$$

Since  $S_z$  is a Hamiltonian operator,  $|0\rangle$  and  $|1\rangle$  form an orthonormal basis that spans the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  space, which is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{C}^2$ .

So the most general spin  $\frac{1}{2}$  state is  $|\Psi\rangle = \alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}$ .

Question: How do we represent the spin operators ( $S^2, S_x, S_y, S_z$ ) in the 2-d basis of the  $S_z$  eigenstates  $|0\rangle$  and  $|1\rangle$ ?

Answer: They are matrices. Since they act on a two-dimensional vectors space, they must be 2-d matrices. We must calculate their matrix elements:

$$S^2 = \begin{pmatrix} s_{11}^2 & s_{12}^2 \\ s_{21}^2 & s_{22}^2 \end{pmatrix}, S_z = \begin{pmatrix} s_{z11} & s_{z12} \\ s_{z21} & s_{z22} \end{pmatrix}, S_x = \begin{pmatrix} s_{x11} & s_{x12} \\ s_{x21} & s_{x22} \end{pmatrix}, \text{ etc. } (S_y)$$

Calculate  $S^2$  matrix: We must sandwich  $S^2$  between all possible combinations of basis vector. (This is the usual way to construct a matrix!)

$$\begin{aligned}
s_{11}^2 &= \langle 0|S^2|0\rangle = \langle 0|\frac{3}{4}\hbar^2|0\rangle = \frac{3}{4}\hbar^2 \\
s_{12}^2 &= \langle 0|S^2|1\rangle = \langle 0|\frac{3}{4}\hbar^2|1\rangle = 0 \\
s_{21}^2 &= \langle 1|S^2|0\rangle = \langle 1|\frac{3}{4}\hbar^2|0\rangle = 0 \\
s_{22}^2 &= \langle 1|S^2|1\rangle = \langle 1|\frac{3}{4}\hbar^2|1\rangle = \frac{3}{4}\hbar^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So } S^2 = \frac{3}{4}\hbar^2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Find the  $S_z$  matrix:

$$\begin{aligned}
 s_{z11}^2 &= \langle 0 | S_z | 0 \rangle = \langle 0 | +\frac{\hbar}{2} | 0 \rangle = \frac{\hbar}{2} \\
 s_{z12}^2 &= \langle 0 | S_z | 1 \rangle = \langle 0 | -\frac{\hbar}{2} | 1 \rangle = 0 \\
 s_{z21}^2 &= \langle 1 | S_z | 0 \rangle = \langle 1 | +\frac{\hbar}{2} | 0 \rangle = 0 \\
 s_{z22}^2 &= \langle 1 | S_z | 1 \rangle = \langle 1 | -\frac{\hbar}{2} | 1 \rangle = -\frac{\hbar}{2}
 \end{aligned}$$

So  $S_z = \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$

Find  $S_x$  matrix: This is more difficult

What is  $S_{x11} = \langle 0 | S_x | 0 \rangle$ ?  $|0\rangle$  is not an eigenstate of  $S_z$ , so it's not trivial.

Use raising and lowering operators:  $S_{\pm} = S_x \pm iS_y$

$$\Rightarrow S_x = \frac{1}{2}(S_+ + S_-), S_y = \frac{1}{2i}(S_+ - S_-)$$

$$\Rightarrow S_{x11} = \langle 0 | \frac{1}{2}(S_+ + S_-) | 0 \rangle \Rightarrow S_+ | 0 \rangle = 0, \text{ since } | 0 \rangle \text{ is the highest } S_z \text{ state.}$$

But what is  $S_- | 0 \rangle$ ? Since  $S_-$  is the lowering operator, we know that  $S_- | 0 \rangle \propto | 1 \rangle$ . That is  $S_- | 0 \rangle = A_- | 1 \rangle$  for some complex number  $A_-$  which we have yet to determine. Similarly,  $S_+ | 1 \rangle = A_+ | 0 \rangle$ .

Question: What is  $A_-$ ?

(This is a homework problem.)

Answer:

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_+ &= \hbar \sqrt{s(s+1) - m(m+1)} \rightarrow S_+ | s, m \rangle = A_+ | s, m+1 \rangle \\
 A_- &= \hbar \sqrt{s(s+1) - m(m-1)} \rightarrow S_- | s, m \rangle = A_- | s, m-1 \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_+ | 0 \rangle &= 0 \\
 S_+ | 1 \rangle &= \hbar \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}+1) - (-\frac{1}{2})(-\frac{1}{2}+1)} | 0 \rangle = \hbar | 0 \rangle \\
 S_- | 0 \rangle &= \hbar \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}+1) - (\frac{1}{2})(\frac{1}{2}-1)} | 1 \rangle = \hbar | 1 \rangle \\
 S_- | 1 \rangle &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow S_{x11} = \frac{1}{2} \langle 0 | (S_+ + S_-) | 0 \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle 0 | [S_+ | 0 \rangle + S_- | 0 \rangle]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{x11} &= \frac{1}{2} \langle 0 | [0 + \hbar | 1 \rangle] = 0 \\
S_{x12} &= \langle 0 | \frac{1}{2} (S_+ + S_-) | 1 \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle 0 | [\hbar | 0 \rangle + 0] = \frac{\hbar}{2} \\
S_{x21} &= \langle 1 | \frac{1}{2} (S_+ + S_-) | 0 \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle 1 | [0 + \hbar | 1 \rangle] = \frac{\hbar}{2} \\
S_{x22} &= \langle 1 | \frac{1}{2} (S_+ + S_-) | 1 \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle 1 | [\hbar | 0 \rangle + 0] = 0
\end{aligned}$$

So  $S_x = \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

Find  $S_y$  matrix: Use  $S_y = \frac{1}{2i} (S_+ - S_-)$

Homework: find the  $S_{y11}, S_{y12}, S_{y21}, S_{y22}$  matrix elements.

Answer:  $S_y = \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

Define

$$\sigma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$S^2 = \frac{3}{4} \hbar^2 \sigma_0, S_x = \frac{\hbar}{2} \sigma_1, S_y = \frac{\hbar}{2} \sigma_2, S_z = \frac{\hbar}{2} \sigma_3$$

$\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3$  are called the Pauli Spin Matrices. They are very important for understanding the behavior of two-level systems.

---