## **Homework 7 Solutions**

Note: These solutions are not necessarily model answers. Rather, they are designed to be tutorial in nature, and sometimes contain a little more explanation than an ideal solution. Also, bear in mind that there may be more than one correct solution. The maximum total number of points available is 38.

1. (a) Fix a tournament T, and pick a ranking R u.a.r., namely, a random ordering of the n vertices. For each 3pts edge e in T, the probability that R disagrees with e is  $\frac{1}{2}$ . By linearity of expectation, the expected number of edges (over random R) in which R and T disagree is  $\frac{1}{2} \binom{n}{2}$ . Hence, there must exist a ranking R such that R and T disagree on at most 50% of the edges.

An alternative, completely valid solution that does not involve the probabilistic method is as follows. Pick an arbitrary ranking R. If R agrees with at least 50% of the edges, we are done. If not, then if we reverse R we get a ranking that agrees with at least 50% of the edges.

(b) Fix *n*. Fix a ranking *R* on *n* vertices, and pick a tournament *T* u.a.r., i.e., assign a random direction *4pts* to each edge independently. Let *X* be the r.v. for the number of edges in which *R* and *T* disagree (over random *T*). Again,  $E[X] = \frac{1}{2} {n \choose 2}$ , by writing *X* as the sum of independent 0-1 r.v.'s, one for each edge. Applying the Chernoff bound with  $\delta = 0.02$  and  $\mu = \frac{1}{2} {n \choose 2}$  yields  $\Pr[X < 0.49 {n \choose 2}] \le \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(0.02)^2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} {n \choose 2}) = e^{-\Omega(n^2)}$ . Taking a union bound over all possible rankings *R* (there are *n*! of them), we obtain,

 $\Pr_T[\exists \text{ a ranking } R \text{ s.t. } R \text{ and } T \text{ disagree on } < 49\% \text{ of the edges in } T] < n! \cdot e^{-\Omega(n^2)} < 1$ 

for sufficiently large n. This implies there exists a tournament T such that every ranking disagrees with at least 49% of the edges in T.

- 2. (a) Let  $X_i$  be the r.v. indicating whether vertex *i* is isolated. Then  $E[X_i] = (1-p)^{n-1}$ , and by linearity 2*pts* of expectation,  $E[X] = n(1-p)^{n-1}$ .
  - (b) Write  $p = a \cdot \frac{\ln n}{n}$ . Note that  $E[X] \sim ne^{-p(n-1)} \sim ne^{-a\ln n} = n^{1-a}$ . The case  $p = o(\frac{\ln n}{n})$  is *3pts* equivalent to a = o(1), and thus  $E[X] \sim n^{1-o(1)} \to \infty$ . The second case,  $p = \omega(\frac{\ln n}{n})$ , is equivalent to  $a = \omega(1)$  and thus  $E[X] \sim n^{-(\omega(1)-1)} \to 0$ .
  - (c) By Markov's inequality we have  $\Pr[X \ge 1] \le \mathbb{E}[X]$ , which by part (b) goes to zero in the case 2pts  $p = \omega(\frac{\ln n}{n})$ . Hence  $\Pr[X > 0] \to 0$ , as required.
  - (d) For any  $i \neq j$ ,  $E[X_iX_j] = (1-p)^{2n-3}$  (there are 2n-3 possible edges adjacent to either *i* or *j*). *3pts* Hence,  $E[X^2] = n(1-p)^{n-1} + n(n-1)(1-p)^{2n-3}$ . Therefore,  $Var[X] = E[X^2] E[X]^2 = n(1-p)^{n-1} + n(1-p)^{2n-3}(np-1)$ .
  - (e) By Chebyshev's inequality,  $\Pr[X = 0] \le \Pr[|X \mathbb{E}[X]| \ge \mathbb{E}[X]] \le \operatorname{Var}[X]/\mathbb{E}[X]^2 = \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}[X]} + \frac{np-1}{n(1-p)}$ . *3pts* Now for  $p = o(\frac{\ln n}{n})$  we know from part (b) that  $\mathbb{E}[X] \to \infty$ , so the first term here goes to zero. And the second term is  $\frac{np-1}{n(1-p)} \le \frac{p}{1-p}$ , which certainly goes to zero for  $p = o(\frac{\ln n}{n})$ . Hence we have  $\Pr[X = 0] \to 0$ , i.e.,  $\Pr[X > 0] \to 1$ , as required.
- 3. (a) The expected number of edges is  $\frac{1}{2}pn(n-1) = 8(n-1)$ .

(b) Clearly, we may write the r.v. X for the number of edges in G as the sum of  $\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)$  independent 2pts 0-1 r.v.'s (one for each edge). Applying the Chernoff bound with  $\mu = 8(n-1)$  and  $\delta = \frac{1}{4}$ , we obtain  $\Pr[X > 10(n-1)] < e^{-(1/4)^28(n-1)/3} = 2^{-\Omega(n)}$ . Hence,  $\Pr[X \le 10(n-1)] \ge 1 - 2^{-\Omega(n)}$  and the required inequality follows.

(c) Let r be the number of red vertices in the coloring. Then the number of pairs of vertices of the 4pts same color is  $\binom{r}{2} + \binom{n-r}{2}$ . Let Y be the r.v. for the number of violated edges in G. Then,  $E[Y] = p(\binom{r}{2} + \binom{n-r}{2}) \ge 2p\binom{n/2}{2} = 4(n-2)$  (assuming that n is even to avoid messy rounding details). Again, Y can be written as a sum of of independent 0-1 r.v.'s Applying the Chernoff bound with  $\mu = 4(n-2)$  and  $\delta = \frac{3}{4}$ , we obtain  $\Pr[Y \le n-2] \le e^{-(3/4)^2 \cdot 4(n-2) \cdot 1/2} = e^{-9(n-2)/8}$ . The required result now follows.

Several students simply claimed that the minimum number of edges between vertices of the same color is  $\frac{1}{2} \binom{n}{2}$ , but in fact it should be  $2\binom{n/2}{2}$  as explained above. It is not valid to assume that, because each color appears on half of the vertices, half of the edges must be between vertices of the same color.

(d) There are  $2^n$  different ways to color the graph. By part (c) and a union bound over colorings, we have 2pts

 $\Pr_G[\exists \text{ an assignment of colors to } G \text{ with } \leq n-2 \text{ violated edges}] \leq 2^n \cdot e^{-\frac{9}{8}(n-2)} \leq \frac{1}{4}$ 

for  $n \ge 9$ . When an assignment has n-1 violated edges, then removing any n-2 edges still leaves at least one violated edge. Since with probability  $\ge \frac{3}{4}$  every assignment has  $\ge n-1$  violated edges, we see that if we remove any n-2 edges of G there is still no valid 2-coloring.

(e) Fix a sequence of k distinct vertices  $v_1, \ldots, v_k$  in G. The probability (over G) that  $(v_1, \ldots, v_k)$  is a 3pts cycle in G is  $p^k$ . There are at most  $n^k$  sequences of k distinct vertices, and for each such sequence, we may assign an indicator variable that tells us whether it corresponds to a k-cycle in G. By linearity of expectation, the expected number of k-cycles in G is at most  $n^k \cdot p^k = 16^k$ .

The expected number of cycles of length at most  $\frac{1}{8} \log n$  is bounded by  $\sum_{k=3}^{\frac{1}{8} \log n} 16^k \le 16^{\frac{1}{8} \log n+1} = 16\sqrt{n}$ .

- (f) By Markov's inequality, with probability at least 3/4 (over G), G has at most  $64\sqrt{n}$  cycles of length 2pts at most  $\frac{1}{8}\log n$ . We may delete an edge from each of these cycles, thereby removing at most  $64\sqrt{n}$  edges.
- (g) Taking a union bound over the *complements* of the events in parts (b), (d), (f), we know that for all 4pts sufficiently large n, with probability at least  $1/2 2^{-\Omega(n)} > 0$  over G from  $\mathcal{G}_{n,16/n}$ , G satisfies the properties in parts (b), (d) and (f) simultaneously. This means that by deleting at most  $64\sqrt{n}$  edges from G, we obtain a graph G' with the following properties:
  - the induced subgraph on any subset of  $\frac{1}{8} \log n$  vertices of G' is 2-colorable (by part (f));
  - G' has at most 10(n-1) edges (by part (b));
  - G' is not 2-colorable even if we delete any  $n 2 64\sqrt{n}$  edges (by part (d); note that we may have already deleted  $64\sqrt{n}$  edges from G itself in part (f)).

Finally, note that for sufficiently large n we have (very crudely)  $n - 2 - 64\sqrt{n} \ge \frac{1}{2}(n-1) = \frac{1}{20} \times 10(n-1)$ , so the number of edges we can delete is at least a 0.05 fraction of the edges of G (and thus also of G'). Thus G' is the required graph  $G_n$ , and we have verified the existence of G' by the probabilistic method.

Note: Many students did not use a union bound in this part, but instead multiplied the probabilities of good/bad events happening. This is invalid because none of these events are independent!!! Additionally, some students hand-waved this entire part: it is necessary to explicitly use the probabilistic method and the union bound to deduce the existence of G'.