Homework 9

Out: 9 Apr. Due: 16 Apr.

Instructions: Submit your solutions in pdf format on Gradescope by **5pm on Friday, April 16**. Solutions may be written either in ETEX (with either machine-drawn or hand-drawn diagrams) or **legibly** by hand. (The ETEX source for this homework is provided in case you want to use it as a template.) Please be sure to begin the solution for each problem on a new page, and to tag each of your solutions to the correct problem! Per course policy, no late solutions will be accepted. Take time to write **clear** and **concise** answers; confused and long-winded solutions may be penalized. You are encouraged to form small groups (two to four people) to work through the homework, but you **must** write up all your solutions on your own. Depending on grading resources, we reserve the right to grade a random subset of the problems and check off the rest; so you are advised to attempt all the problems.

- 1. Your friend who works for a software company gives you a black box, which she claims has the following properties:¹
 - The box computes a bijection f from n-bit integers to n-bit integers, for any n (i.e., given as input an n-bit integer x, the box outputs an n-bit integer f(x) such that f(x) ≠ f(y) when x ≠ y).
 - The box runs in polynomial time (i.e., given as input an *n*-bit integer, the running time is $O(n^k)$ for some fixed constant k).
 - The inverse function f^{-1} cannot be computed in polynomial time.
 - (a) Show that, if your friend's claims are correct, then it must be the case that $P \neq NP$. [HINT: Show that the language $L = \{(x, y) : f^{-1}(x) < y\}$ belongs to $NP \setminus P$. Note that you need to show separately that L beongs to NP and that L does not belong to P.]
 - (b) Show further that, if your friend's claims are correct, then $NP \cap co-NP \neq P$.
- 2. Solve Problem 8.15 in Sipser. This problem asks you to show that determining who has a winning strategy in a certain two-person game called *PUZZLE* is PSPACE-complete.

[HINT: Don't forget to show that the problem is in PSPACE! To show hardness, try a reduction from TQBF or FORMULA_GAME.]

[continued on next page]

¹Your friend says that this box can help you in cryptographic applications, because using f you can construct a "code" f(x) from which x cannot be efficiently recovered.

- 3. The *in-place acceptance problem* for Turing machines, IPA_{TM} is the problem of deciding whether a given (one-tape, deterministic) Turing machine accepts a given input w without moving its head outside the left-most |w| + 1 squares of its tape.
 - (a) Show that IPA_{TM} is PSPACE-complete. [HINTS: Don't forget to show that IPA_{TM} belongs to PSPACE. To show hardness, do a straightforward, direct reduction from any $L \in$ PSPACE by "padding" the input with null symbols. There should be no need for any complex construction here.]
 - (b) A *linear-bounded automaton* (LBA) is a one-tape *nondeterministic* Turing machine that on input w never moves its head outside the leftmost c|w| squares of its tape, for some constant c^2 . Show that the problem of deciding whether a given string w is accepted by a given LBA is PSPACE-complete.

²LBAs are important because they recognize precisely the class of *context-sensitive* languages, i.e., languages defined by context-sensitive grammars (CSGs). CSGs are a generalization of context-free grammars in which production rules may be applied only when the non-terminal to be rewritten appears in a certain "context", i.e., is surrounded by certain specified other symbols.