

```
Lagrangian Dual and Central Path.
         \min t f_0(x) - \sum_{i=1} \ln(-f_i(x))
     Optimality condition? Take Derivative.
       t \nabla f_0(x) - \sum_{i=1} \frac{\nabla f_i(x)}{f_i(x)} = 0 \nabla f_0(x) - \sum_{i=1} \frac{1}{f_i(x)} \nabla f_i(x) = 0
     Or, \nabla f_0(x) = \sum_{i=1} \frac{\nabla f_i(x)}{tf_i(x)} (Opposing force fields.)
Recall, Lagrangian: L(\lambda, x) = f_0(x) + \sum_i \lambda_i f_i(x).
     Fix \lambda, optimize for x^* give valid lower bound on solution.
        Optimality Condition.
          Derivative: \nabla f_0(x) + \sum_{i=1} \lambda_i \nabla f_i(x) = 0.
     Take \lambda_i^{(t)} = -\frac{1}{tt(x)}. x(t) = x^*(\lambda^{(t)})! Same optimal point!
     Value? Found \lambda where:
       \min_{x} L(\lambda, x) = f_0(x) + \sum_{i=1} \lambda_i f_i(x) = f_0(x) - \frac{m}{t}
     Central point x(t) within \frac{m}{t} of optimal primal!!!!
       L(\lambda, x(t)) \ge f_0(x) - \frac{m}{t} \implies \min_x L(\lambda, x) + \frac{m}{t} \ge f_0(x)
          \implies OPT + \frac{m}{t} \ge f_0(x)
...Central Path Evolution
     Old point x = x(t) versus x^+ = x(\mu t)?
```

Minimizing:  $F(x) = \mu t f_0(x) + \sum_{i=0}^n \ln(-f_i(t))$ . We proved:  $F(x) - F(x^+) \le m(\mu - 1 - \ln \mu)$ . Choose  $\mu = 1 + \delta$ .  $\ln(1+x) \approx x - x^2/2$ .  $\implies \ln(1+\delta) = \delta - \delta^2/2$  $F(x) - F(x^+) = m(1 + \delta - 1 - (\delta - \delta^2/2)) = m(\delta^2/2).$ Choose  $\delta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}$  or  $\mu = (1 + \delta)$ .  $F(x) - F(x^+) = (\delta^2/2) = \frac{1}{2}$ Modifying *t* by factor of  $(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}})$ , optimal still close! t can be arbitrarily large! The value of the objective function can be gigantic and change by an enormous amount. but current point is very close to optimal. Intuition here?

### Central path.

 $\min_{x} f_0(x), f_i(x) \leq 0.$  $\min_{x} tf_0(x) - \sum_{i>0} \ln(-f_i(x))$ Optimal: x(t) is feasible.  $f_0(x(t)) \leq OPT + \frac{m}{t}$ Algorithm: take  $t \to \infty$ . Finding x(t)? Assume you have x(t), change  $t = \mu t$ , for  $\mu > 1$ . Find  $x(\mu t)$ . Idea: newton's method. Should show new optimal point not too different from old. Next.

# An attempt at intuition.

 $\min \sum_i x_i, x \ge 0.$ optimum is 0. Central path:  $F_t(x) = t \sum_i x_i + \sum_i \ln x_i$ Optimum:  $x_i = \frac{1}{t}$ .  $t \rightarrow \mu t$ New optimum:  $x_i^+ = \frac{1}{ut}$ . Notice: the change in x is quite small. Roughly  $(\mu - 1)\frac{1}{t} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{mt}}$  where *t* is large. Intuitively: new point is very close to old point.

## Slightly more generally. Only one vertex on polytope. *n* inequalities, *n* unkonwns: min cx, Ax > b. Is solution bounded or unbounded? Alg: Linear equation solve for intersection of *n* inequalities. check if there is some direction of improvement. Evolution of central path. Optimal x(t): $\nabla f_0(x) + \sum_{i=1} \frac{1}{tf_i(x)} \nabla f_i(x) = 0$ $tc = -\sum_i \frac{a_i}{a_i x - b_i}$ $s_i = a_i x - b_i$ . "Distance' to constraint. Recall previous example: x > 0, the $x_i$ are slack variables. s = Ax - b. Given solution to x(t) with b - Ax(t) = s(t). Then $Ax(\mu t) - b = s(t)/\mu$ works. Since only *n* inequalities, can just solve to get next point. Answer is easy too. Newton's Method. $f(x) = \log(a_i x - b_i)$

 $s_i = b_i - a_i x$  $f'(x) = \frac{a_i}{s_i}$   $f''(x) = \frac{1}{s_i^2} a_i a_i^T$   $f'''(a) = a_i^{\otimes 3} \frac{1}{s_i^3}$  $f(x+u) = f(x) + f'(x) \cdot u + u^{\otimes 2} \cdot f''(x)$ The minimizer?  $-\frac{1}{2}(f''(x))^{-1}f'(x)$ . Self-Concordance:  $|f'''(x)| \le 2f''(x)^{3/2}$ . Newton:  $x = x - \frac{f'(x)}{f''(x)}$ . If f'(x) is linear, goes to f'(x) = 0. Scaled by slope, f''(x), of f'(x). Another Newton Method Analysis: potential function: ||f'(x)|| / ||f''(x)||.

Idea: if f''(x) does not change, then f'(x) = 0.  $f'''(x) < f'(x)^{3/2} \rightarrow f''(x)$  does not change much. potential ||f'(x')|| / ||f''(x')|| decreases.

#### More generally.

General Ax > b, min cx. Given solution to x(t) with b - Ax(t) = s(t). Then  $b - Ax(\mu t) = s(t)/\mu$  is optimal:  $\mu tc = -\sum_{i} \frac{a_i}{a \cdot r - b}$ Overdetermined if more than n inequalities, so maybe not possible. So, need to find solution to:  $\mu tc = -\sum_{i} \frac{a_i}{a_i x_i - b_i}$ Showed solution is at least close in value to old solution on F(x). One thing to note: if you know the optimal vertex (tight constraints). then you are done. Idea: close enough to tight constraints. Done. Close enough to a vertex, can jump to vertex. Cramer's rule, gives estimate of how close the closest two vertices can be.

### Behavior of log barrier.

What about the ratio?  $\left|\frac{g''(\psi)}{2\sigma'(\chi_{r})}\right|$ What if  $f(x) = \log x$  and recall q(x) = f'(x)?  $(\log x)' = 1/x, (\log x)'' = -1/x^2, (\log x)''' = 2/x^3.$  $|(\log x)'''| = 2|(\log x)''|^{3/2}.$ Thus, this ratio is around 1/x. Newton analysis we did:  $\left|\frac{g''(\psi)}{2\sigma'(x_{c})}\right|(x-x^{+}) < 1.$ Quadratic convergence: ratio is small.

#### Interior Point Method.

Find central point. Recall:  $F(x) = tf_0(x) - \sum_i \log(-f_i(x))$ . Find point:  $G(x) = \nabla F(x) = 0$ . Newton: find all zeros of vector valued G(x)!  $g_1(x) = \frac{t\partial f_0(x)}{\partial x_1} - \sum_i \frac{\partial f_i(x)}{\partial x_1} \frac{1}{f_i(x)}$ Newton:  $\implies |(x_{n+1}-\alpha)| \leq |\frac{g'(\psi)}{2\alpha''(x_n)}|(x_n-\alpha)^2.$ Recall, distance for x to  $x^+$  is pretty small. On the order of 1/t.

# What about the ratio? $\left|\frac{g''(\psi)}{2g'(\chi)}\right|$

## Another Type of IPM strategy.

 $\min xc. Ax > b.$  $F(x) = tcx - \sum_i \log(a_i x - b_i).$  $\nabla F(x) = tc - \sum_i \frac{a_i}{c_i}$ Introduce dual variables:  $\lambda_i$ . Approximate Complementary slackness.  $\lambda_i s_i = \frac{1}{t}$  verse  $\lambda_i s_i = 0$ s = b - Ax

Predictor-Corrector: (1) decrease F(x)(2) Fix complementary slackness.

Gives another possibility: Explicitly maintain primal-dual solution: (x, s)

```
Gradient descent and Newton.

minimize f(x).

Gradient descent.

x = x - \alpha f'(x).

Decreases function until gradient changes sign.

If f''(y) \le M for y = x - \alpha f'(x).

Improve when: f'(y) > f'(x) - \int_x^y f''(y) dx > 0.

Also: f'(y) > f'(x) - M(y - x) > 0.

When: (y - x) \le f'(x)/M.

set \alpha = 1/M.

For linear function: f'(x)? Optimum? Is infinitely far.

Newton: x = x - \frac{f'(x)}{f''(x)}.

If f''(x) \ge m, then f'(x) = 0. x: (x' - x) \le f'(x)/m.

Estimate of how far is f'(x)/f''(x). Analysis: the estimate decreases.
```

f''(x) does not also