

## Bellman-Ford. Dijkstra. Price Functions.

Given  $G = (V, E)$ ,  $w : E \rightarrow Z$ , on edges, and  $s \in V$ , find  $d(s, v)$   
 $\forall v \in V$ .

## Bellman-Ford. Dijkstra. Price Functions.

Given  $G = (V, E)$ ,  $w : E \rightarrow Z$ , on edges, and  $s \in V$ , find  $d(s, v)$   
 $\forall v \in V$ .

$d(s, v)$  - length of shortest path.

## Bellman-Ford. Dijkstra. Price Functions.

Given  $G = (V, E)$ ,  $w : E \rightarrow Z$ , on edges, and  $s \in V$ , find  $d(s, v)$   
 $\forall v \in V$ .

$d(s, v)$  - length of shortest path.

Dijkstra: Non-Negative edge weights:  $d(v) = \infty, d(s) = 0$ .

## Bellman-Ford. Dijkstra. Price Functions.

Given  $G = (V, E)$ ,  $w : E \rightarrow Z$ , on edges, and  $s \in V$ , find  $d(s, v)$   
 $\forall v \in V$ .

$d(s, v)$  - length of shortest path.

Dijkstra: Non-Negative edge weights:  $d(v) = \infty, d(s) = 0$ .

$S = \emptyset$ .

## Bellman-Ford. Dijkstra. Price Functions.

Given  $G = (V, E)$ ,  $w : E \rightarrow Z$ , on edges, and  $s \in V$ , find  $d(s, v)$   
 $\forall v \in V$ .

$d(s, v)$  - length of shortest path.

Dijkstra: Non-Negative edge weights:  $d(v) = \infty, d(s) = 0$ .

$S = \phi$ .

Find  $u = \operatorname{argmin}_{v \notin S} d(v)$ .

## Bellman-Ford. Dijkstra. Price Functions.

Given  $G = (V, E)$ ,  $w : E \rightarrow Z$ , on edges, and  $s \in V$ , find  $d(s, v)$   
 $\forall v \in V$ .

$d(s, v)$  - length of shortest path.

Dijkstra: Non-Negative edge weights:  $d(v) = \infty, d(s) = 0$ .

$S = \phi$ .

Find  $u = \operatorname{argmin}_{v \notin S} d(v)$ .

update( $u$ ): for  $e = (u, v), d(v) = \min(d(v), d(u) + w(e))$ .

## Bellman-Ford. Dijkstra. Price Functions.

Given  $G = (V, E)$ ,  $w : E \rightarrow Z$ , on edges, and  $s \in V$ , find  $d(s, v)$   
 $\forall v \in V$ .

$d(s, v)$  - length of shortest path.

Dijkstra: Non-Negative edge weights:  $d(v) = \infty, d(s) = 0$ .

$S = \phi$ .

Find  $u = \operatorname{argmin}_{v \notin S} d(v)$ .

update( $u$ ): for  $e = (u, v), d(v) = \min(d(v), d(u) + w(e))$ .

$S = S + u$ .

## Bellman-Ford. Dijkstra. Price Functions.

Given  $G = (V, E)$ ,  $w : E \rightarrow Z$ , on edges, and  $s \in V$ , find  $d(s, v)$   
 $\forall v \in V$ .

$d(s, v)$  - length of shortest path.

Dijkstra: Non-Negative edge weights:  $d(v) = \infty, d(s) = 0$ .

$S = \phi$ .

Find  $u = \operatorname{argmin}_{v \notin S} d(v)$ .

update( $u$ ): for  $e = (u, v), d(v) = \min(d(v), d(u) + w(e))$ .

$S = S + u$ .

(Reachable) Negative cycle, answer is undefined.



# Bellman-Ford. Dijkstra. Price Functions.

Given  $G = (V, E)$ ,  $w : E \rightarrow Z$ , on edges, and  $s \in V$ , find  $d(s, v)$   
 $\forall v \in V$ .

$d(s, v)$  - length of shortest path.

Dijkstra: Non-Negative edge weights:  $d(v) = \infty, d(s) = 0$ .

$S = \phi$ .

Find  $u = \operatorname{argmin}_{v \notin S} d(v)$ .

update( $u$ ): for  $e = (u, v), d(v) = \min(d(v), d(u) + w(e))$ .

$S = S + u$ .

(Reachable) Negative cycle, answer is undefined.

Find price Function:  $\phi : V \rightarrow Z$ .

# Bellman-Ford. Dijkstra. Price Functions.

Given  $G = (V, E)$ ,  $w : E \rightarrow Z$ , on edges, and  $s \in V$ , find  $d(s, v)$   
 $\forall v \in V$ .

$d(s, v)$  - length of shortest path.

Dijkstra: Non-Negative edge weights:  $d(v) = \infty, d(s) = 0$ .

$S = \phi$ .

Find  $u = \operatorname{argmin}_{v \notin S} d(v)$ .

update( $u$ ): for  $e = (u, v), d(v) = \min(d(v), d(u) + w(e))$ .

$S = S + u$ .

(Reachable) Negative cycle, answer is undefined.

Find price Function:  $\phi : V \rightarrow Z$ .

$c_\phi(e = (u, v)) = \phi(u) - \phi(v) + w(e)$ .

# Bellman-Ford. Dijkstra. Price Functions.

Given  $G = (V, E)$ ,  $w : E \rightarrow Z$ , on edges, and  $s \in V$ , find  $d(s, v)$   
 $\forall v \in V$ .

$d(s, v)$  - length of shortest path.

Dijkstra: Non-Negative edge weights:  $d(v) = \infty, d(s) = 0$ .

$S = \phi$ .

Find  $u = \operatorname{argmin}_{v \notin S} d(v)$ .

update( $u$ ): for  $e = (u, v), d(v) = \min(d(v), d(u) + w(e))$ .

$S = S + u$ .

(Reachable) Negative cycle, answer is undefined.

Find price Function:  $\phi : V \rightarrow Z$ .

$$c_\phi(e = (u, v)) = \phi(u) - \phi(v) + w(e).$$

Note:  $d(v) \leq d(u) + w(e)$

# Bellman-Ford. Dijkstra. Price Functions.

Given  $G = (V, E)$ ,  $w : E \rightarrow Z$ , on edges, and  $s \in V$ , find  $d(s, v)$   
 $\forall v \in V$ .

$d(s, v)$  - length of shortest path.

Dijkstra: Non-Negative edge weights:  $d(v) = \infty, d(s) = 0$ .

$S = \phi$ .

Find  $u = \operatorname{argmin}_{v \notin S} d(v)$ .

update( $u$ ): for  $e = (u, v), d(v) = \min(d(v), d(u) + w(e))$ .

$S = S + u$ .

(Reachable) Negative cycle, answer is undefined.

Find price Function:  $\phi : V \rightarrow Z$ .

$$c_\phi(e = (u, v)) = \phi(u) - \phi(v) + w(e).$$

Note:  $d(v) \leq d(u) + w(e) \implies d(u) + w(e) - d(v) \geq 0$ .

# Bellman-Ford. Dijkstra. Price Functions.

Given  $G = (V, E)$ ,  $w : E \rightarrow Z$ , on edges, and  $s \in V$ , find  $d(s, v)$   
 $\forall v \in V$ .

$d(s, v)$  - length of shortest path.

Dijkstra: Non-Negative edge weights:  $d(v) = \infty, d(s) = 0$ .

$S = \phi$ .

Find  $u = \operatorname{argmin}_{v \notin S} d(v)$ .

update( $u$ ): for  $e = (u, v), d(v) = \min(d(v), d(u) + w(e))$ .

$S = S + u$ .

(Reachable) Negative cycle, answer is undefined.

Find price Function:  $\phi : V \rightarrow Z$ .

$c_\phi(e = (u, v)) = \phi(u) - \phi(v) + w(e)$ .

Note:  $d(v) \leq d(u) + w(e) \implies d(u) + w(e) - d(v) \geq 0$ .

$\phi(v) = d(v)$  produces non-negative edge weights.

# Bellman-Ford. Dijkstra. Price Functions.

Given  $G = (V, E)$ ,  $w : E \rightarrow Z$ , on edges, and  $s \in V$ , find  $d(s, v)$   
 $\forall v \in V$ .

$d(s, v)$  - length of shortest path.

Dijkstra: Non-Negative edge weights:  $d(v) = \infty, d(s) = 0$ .

$S = \phi$ .

Find  $u = \operatorname{argmin}_{v \notin S} d(v)$ .

update( $u$ ): for  $e = (u, v), d(v) = \min(d(v), d(u) + w(e))$ .

$S = S + u$ .

(Reachable) Negative cycle, answer is undefined.

Find price Function:  $\phi : V \rightarrow Z$ .

$c_\phi(e = (u, v)) = \phi(u) - \phi(v) + w(e)$ .

Note:  $d(v) \leq d(u) + w(e) \implies d(u) + w(e) - d(v) \geq 0$ .

$\phi(v) = d(v)$  produces non-negative edge weights.

Shortest path under  $c_\phi(e)$  is same as under  $w(e)$ .

# Bellman-Ford. Dijkstra. Price Functions.

Given  $G = (V, E)$ ,  $w : E \rightarrow Z$ , on edges, and  $s \in V$ , find  $d(s, v)$   
 $\forall v \in V$ .

$d(s, v)$  - length of shortest path.

Dijkstra: Non-Negative edge weights:  $d(v) = \infty, d(s) = 0$ .

$S = \phi$ .

Find  $u = \operatorname{argmin}_{v \notin S} d(v)$ .

update( $u$ ): for  $e = (u, v), d(v) = \min(d(v), d(u) + w(e))$ .

$S = S + u$ .

(Reachable) Negative cycle, answer is undefined.

Find price Function:  $\phi : V \rightarrow Z$ .

$c_\phi(e = (u, v)) = \phi(u) - \phi(v) + w(e)$ .

Note:  $d(v) \leq d(u) + w(e) \implies d(u) + w(e) - d(v) \geq 0$ .

$\phi(v) = d(v)$  produces non-negative edge weights.

Shortest path under  $c_\phi(e)$  is same as under  $w(e)$ .

Path  $p = [(u, v), (v, w)], c_\phi(p) = \phi(u) + w(u, v) - \phi(v) + \phi(v) + w(v, w) - \phi(w) = w(p) + \phi(u) - \phi(w)$ .  $p$   
from  $s$  to  $t, \sum_{e \in p} c_\phi(e) = \phi(s) - \phi(t) + w(p)$ .

# Bellman-Ford. Dijkstra. Price Functions.

Given  $G = (V, E)$ ,  $w : E \rightarrow Z$ , on edges, and  $s \in V$ , find  $d(s, v)$   
 $\forall v \in V$ .

$d(s, v)$  - length of shortest path.

Dijkstra: Non-Negative edge weights:  $d(v) = \infty, d(s) = 0$ .

$S = \phi$ .

Find  $u = \operatorname{argmin}_{v \notin S} d(v)$ .

update( $u$ ): for  $e = (u, v), d(v) = \min(d(v), d(u) + w(e))$ .

$S = S + u$ .

(Reachable) Negative cycle, answer is undefined.

Find price Function:  $\phi : V \rightarrow Z$ .

$c_\phi(e = (u, v)) = \phi(u) - \phi(v) + w(e)$ .

Note:  $d(v) \leq d(u) + w(e) \implies d(u) + w(e) - d(v) \geq 0$ .

$\phi(v) = d(v)$  produces non-negative edge weights.

Shortest path under  $c_\phi(e)$  is same as under  $w(e)$ .

Path  $p = [(u, v), (v, w)], c_\phi(p) = \phi(u) + w(u, v) - \phi(v) + \phi(v) + w(v, w) - \phi(w) = w(p) + \phi(u) - \phi(w)$ .  
from  $s$  to  $t, \sum_{e \in p} c_\phi(e) = \phi(s) - \phi(t) + w(p)$ .

Thus:  $d(s, v)$  is a price function whose reduced costs make all edge weights positive.



## Bellman/Dijkstra.

Approach: Add  $s$ , with  $w(s, v) = 0$  for all  $v \in V$ .

## Bellman/Dijkstra.

Approach: Add  $s$ , with  $w(s, v) = 0$  for all  $v \in V$ .

Bellman/Dijkstra Round: Have  $d(v)$ .

## Bellman/Dijkstra.

Approach: Add  $s$ , with  $w(s, v) = 0$  for all  $v \in V$ .

Bellman/Dijkstra Round: Have  $d(v)$ .

For all  $e = (u, v)$ ,  $w(e) \leq 0$ ,  $d(v) = \min(d(v), d(u) + w(e))$ .

$S = \phi$ .

## Bellman/Dijkstra.

Approach: Add  $s$ , with  $w(s, v) = 0$  for all  $v \in V$ .

Bellman/Dijkstra Round: Have  $d(v)$ .

For all  $e = (u, v)$ ,  $w(e) \leq 0$ ,  $d(v) = \min(d(v), d(u) + w(e))$ .

$S = \phi$ .

Find  $u = \operatorname{argmin}_{v \notin S} d(v)$ .

# Bellman/Dijkstra.

Approach: Add  $s$ , with  $w(s, v) = 0$  for all  $v \in V$ .

Bellman/Dijkstra Round: Have  $d(v)$ .

For all  $e = (u, v)$ ,  $w(e) \leq 0$ ,  $d(v) = \min(d(v), d(u) + w(e))$ .

$S = \phi$ .

Find  $u = \operatorname{argmin}_{v \notin S} d(v)$ .

update( $u$ ): for  $e = (u, v)$ ,  $d(v) = \min(d(v), d(u) + w(e))$ .

# Bellman/Dijkstra.

Approach: Add  $s$ , with  $w(s, v) = 0$  for all  $v \in V$ .

Bellman/Dijkstra Round: Have  $d(v)$ .

For all  $e = (u, v)$ ,  $w(e) \leq 0$ ,  $d(v) = \min(d(v), d(u) + w(e))$ .

$S = \phi$ .

Find  $u = \operatorname{argmin}_{v \notin S} d(v)$ .

update( $u$ ): for  $e = (u, v)$ ,  $d(v) = \min(d(v), d(u) + w(e))$ .

$S = S + u$ .

## Bellman/Dijkstra.

Approach: Add  $s$ , with  $w(s, v) = 0$  for all  $v \in V$ .

Bellman/Dijkstra Round: Have  $d(v)$ .

For all  $e = (u, v)$ ,  $w(e) \leq 0$ ,  $d(v) = \min(d(v), d(u) + w(e))$ .

$S = \phi$ .

Find  $u = \operatorname{argmin}_{v \notin S} d(v)$ .

update( $u$ ): for  $e = (u, v)$ ,  $d(v) = \min(d(v), d(u) + w(e))$ .

$S = S + u$ .

Claim: After  $k$  rounds,  $d(v) \leq \text{path length with } \leq k \text{ negative edges}$ .

## Bellman/Dijkstra.

Approach: Add  $s$ , with  $w(s, v) = 0$  for all  $v \in V$ .

Bellman/Dijkstra Round: Have  $d(v)$ .

For all  $e = (u, v)$ ,  $w(e) \leq 0$ ,  $d(v) = \min(d(v), d(u) + w(e))$ .

$S = \phi$ .

Find  $u = \operatorname{argmin}_{v \notin S} d(v)$ .

update( $u$ ): for  $e = (u, v)$ ,  $d(v) = \min(d(v), d(u) + w(e))$ .

$S = S + u$ .

Claim: After  $k$  rounds,  $d(v) \leq \text{path length with } \leq k \text{ negative edges}$ .

Induction.



## Bellman/Dijkstra.

Approach: Add  $s$ , with  $w(s, v) = 0$  for all  $v \in V$ .

Bellman/Dijkstra Round: Have  $d(v)$ .

For all  $e = (u, v)$ ,  $w(e) \leq 0$ ,  $d(v) = \min(d(v), d(u) + w(e))$ .

$S = \phi$ .

Find  $u = \operatorname{argmin}_{v \notin S} d(v)$ .

update( $u$ ): for  $e = (u, v)$ ,  $d(v) = \min(d(v), d(u) + w(e))$ .

$S = S + u$ .

Claim: After  $k$  rounds,  $d(v) \leq$  path length with  $\leq k$  negative edges.

Induction.

$O(n)$  iterations of Bellman/Dijkstra is good.

## Bellman/Dijkstra.

Approach: Add  $s$ , with  $w(s, v) = 0$  for all  $v \in V$ .

Bellman/Dijkstra Round: Have  $d(v)$ .

For all  $e = (u, v)$ ,  $w(e) \leq 0$ ,  $d(v) = \min(d(v), d(u) + w(e))$ .

$S = \phi$ .

Find  $u = \operatorname{argmin}_{v \notin S} d(v)$ .

update( $u$ ): for  $e = (u, v)$ ,  $d(v) = \min(d(v), d(u) + w(e))$ .

$S = S + u$ .

Claim: After  $k$  rounds,  $d(v) \leq$  path length with  $\leq k$  negative edges.

Induction.

$O(n)$  iterations of Bellman/Dijkstra is good.

$O(n(n + m \log n))$

## Bellman/Dijkstra.

Approach: Add  $s$ , with  $w(s, v) = 0$  for all  $v \in V$ .

Bellman/Dijkstra Round: Have  $d(v)$ .

For all  $e = (u, v)$ ,  $w(e) \leq 0$ ,  $d(v) = \min(d(v), d(u) + w(e))$ .

$S = \phi$ .

Find  $u = \operatorname{argmin}_{v \notin S} d(v)$ .

update( $u$ ): for  $e = (u, v)$ ,  $d(v) = \min(d(v), d(u) + w(e))$ .

$S = S + u$ .

Claim: After  $k$  rounds,  $d(v) \leq$  path length with  $\leq k$  negative edges.

Induction.

$O(n)$  iterations of Bellman/Dijkstra is good.

$O(n(n + m \log n))$  or slightly better.

Quadratic time.

# Bellman/Dijkstra.

Approach: Add  $s$ , with  $w(s, v) = 0$  for all  $v \in V$ .

Bellman/Dijkstra Round: Have  $d(v)$ .

For all  $e = (u, v)$ ,  $w(e) \leq 0$ ,  $d(v) = \min(d(v), d(u) + w(e))$ .

$S = \phi$ .

Find  $u = \operatorname{argmin}_{v \notin S} d(v)$ .

update( $u$ ): for  $e = (u, v)$ ,  $d(v) = \min(d(v), d(u) + w(e))$ .

$S = S + u$ .

Claim: After  $k$  rounds,  $d(v) \leq$  path length with  $\leq k$  negative edges.

Induction.

$O(n)$  iterations of Bellman/Dijkstra is good.

$O(n(n + m \log n))$  or slightly better.

Quadratic time.

Scaling algorithm:  $O(m\sqrt{n} \log nC)$  by Goldberg.

Example(Intuition?): fix one negative vertex.

Negative vertex:  $v$ .

Example(Intuition?): fix one negative vertex.

Negative vertex:  $v$ .

Has negative arcs from it.

## Example(Intuition?): fix one negative vertex.

Negative vertex:  $v$ .

Has negative arcs from it.

Set all  $\forall e' \in E, w(e') \leq 0$  set  $w'(e) = 0$ .

Otherwise set  $w'(e) = w(e)$

## Example(Intuition?): fix one negative vertex.

Negative vertex:  $v$ .

Has negative arcs from it.

Set all  $\forall e' \in E, w(e') \leq 0$  set  $w'(e) = 0$ .

Otherwise set  $w'(e) = w(e)$

$d(v) = 0$ .  $S = \{v\}$

Run update ( $v$ ).

...Dijkstra..



## Example(Intuition?): fix one negative vertex.

Negative vertex:  $v$ .

Has negative arcs from it.

Set all  $\forall e' \in E, w(e') \leq 0$  set  $w'(e) = 0$ .

Otherwise set  $w'(e) = w(e)$

$d(v) = 0$ .  $S = \{v\}$

Run update ( $v$ ).

...Dijkstra..

## Example(Intuition?): fix one negative vertex.

Negative vertex:  $v$ .

Has negative arcs from it.

Set all  $\forall e' \in E, w(e') \leq 0$  set  $w'(e) = 0$ .

Otherwise set  $w'(e) = w(e)$

$d(v) = 0$ .  $S = \{v\}$

Run update ( $v$ ).

...Dijkstra..

Observe: vertices put in  $S$  once.

## Example(Intuition?): fix one negative vertex.

Negative vertex:  $v$ .

Has negative arcs from it.

Set all  $\forall e' \in E, w(e') \leq 0$  set  $w'(e) = 0$ .

Otherwise set  $w'(e) = w(e)$

$d(v) = 0$ .  $S = \{v\}$

Run update ( $v$ ).

...Dijkstra..

Observe: vertices put in  $S$  once.

Correct distances, w.r.t.  $w'(e)$ .

## Example(Intuition?): fix one negative vertex.

Negative vertex:  $v$ .

Has negative arcs from it.

Set all  $\forall e' \in E, w(e') \leq 0$  set  $w'(e) = 0$ .

Otherwise set  $w'(e) = w(e)$

$d(v) = 0$ .  $S = \{v\}$

Run update ( $v$ ).

...Dijkstra..

Observe: vertices put in  $S$  once.

Correct distances, w.r.t.  $w'(e)$ .

If no negative cycle in  $w'(e)$ .

## Example(Intuition?): fix one negative vertex.

Negative vertex:  $v$ .

Has negative arcs from it.

Set all  $\forall e' \in E, w(e') \leq 0$  set  $w'(e) = 0$ .

Otherwise set  $w'(e) = w(e)$

$d(v) = 0$ .  $S = \{v\}$

Run update ( $v$ ).

...Dijkstra..

Observe: vertices put in  $S$  once.

Correct distances, w.r.t.  $w'(e)$ .

If no negative cycle in  $w'(e)$ .

## Example(Intuition?): fix one negative vertex.

Negative vertex:  $v$ .

Has negative arcs from it.

Set all  $\forall e' \in E, w(e') \leq 0$  set  $w'(e) = 0$ .

Otherwise set  $w'(e) = w(e)$

$d(v) = 0$ .  $S = \{v\}$

Run update ( $v$ ).

...Dijkstra..

Observe: vertices put in  $S$  once.

Correct distances, w.r.t.  $w'(e)$ .

If no negative cycle in  $w'(e)$ .

Reduced costs of  $w'(e)$  w.r.t  $d(\cdot)$  are positive w.r.t.

## Example(Intuition?): fix one negative vertex.

Negative vertex:  $v$ .

Has negative arcs from it.

Set all  $\forall e' \in E, w(e') \leq 0$  set  $w'(e) = 0$ .

Otherwise set  $w'(e) = w(e)$

$d(v) = 0$ .  $S = \{v\}$

Run update ( $v$ ).

...Dijkstra..

Observe: vertices put in  $S$  once.

Correct distances, w.r.t.  $w'(e)$ .

If no negative cycle in  $w'(e)$ .

Reduced costs of  $w'(e)$  w.r.t  $d(\cdot)$  are positive w.r.t.

Reduce number of negative vertices by one.

# Hop Distance

$d^h(u, v)$  shortest distance using at most  $h$  negative edges.



# Hop Distance

$d^h(u, v)$  shortest distance using at most  $h$  negative edges.

$u$  and  $v$  are  $h$ -hop connected:  $d^h(u, v) < 0$  or  $d^h(v, u) < 0$ .

# Hop Distance

$d^h(u, v)$  shortest distance using at most  $h$  negative edges.

$u$  and  $v$  are  $h$ -hop connected:  $d^h(u, v) < 0$  or  $d^h(v, u) < 0$ .

True/False: If  $u$  and  $v$  are not  $h$ -hop connected they are not  $h+1$  connected.

True/False: If  $u$  and  $v$  are not  $h$ -hop connected they are not  $h-1$  connected.

## Eliminating many negative vertices.

Negative vertices are independent if they are not 1-hop connected.

## Eliminating many negative vertices.

Negative vertices are independent if they are not 1-hop connected.

Idea: Running “Dijkstra” makes them all not-negative.

## Eliminating many negative vertices.

Negative vertices are independent if they are not 1-hop connected.

Idea: Running “Dijkstra” makes them all not-negative.

$S$  is independent if all pairs  $u, v \in S$  are independent.

## Eliminating many negative vertices.

Negative vertices are independent if they are not 1-hop connected.

Idea: Running “Dijkstra” makes them all not-negative.

$S$  is independent if all pairs  $u, v \in S$  are independent.

For  $e = (u, v)$ ,  $u \notin S$ , set  $w'(u, v) = 0$  if  $w(u, v) < 0$ .

## Eliminating many negative vertices.

Negative vertices are independent if they are not 1-hop connected.

Idea: Running “Dijkstra” makes them all not-negative.

$S$  is independent if all pairs  $u, v \in S$  are independent.

For  $e = (u, v)$ ,  $u \notin S$ , set  $w'(u, v) = 0$  if  $w(u, v) < 0$ .  
else  $w'(u, v) = w(u, v)$ .

## Eliminating many negative vertices.

Negative vertices are independent if they are not 1-hop connected.

Idea: Running “Dijkstra” makes them all not-negative.

$S$  is independent if all pairs  $u, v \in S$  are independent.

For  $e = (u, v)$ ,  $u \notin S$ , set  $w'(u, v) = 0$  if  $w(u, v) < 0$ .  
else  $w'(u, v) = w(u, v)$ .

update vertices in  $S$ , run Dijkstra.



## Eliminating many negative vertices.

Negative vertices are independent if they are not 1-hop connected.

Idea: Running “Dijkstra” makes them all not-negative.

$S$  is independent if all pairs  $u, v \in S$  are independent.

For  $e = (u, v)$ ,  $u \notin S$ , set  $w'(u, v) = 0$  if  $w(u, v) < 0$ .  
else  $w'(u, v) = w(u, v)$ .

update vertices in  $S$ , run Dijkstra.

Reduced costs of  $w'(e)$  w.r.t.  $d(v)$  are non-negative.

## Eliminating many negative vertices.

Negative vertices are independent if they are not 1-hop connected.

Idea: Running “Dijkstra” makes them all not-negative.

$S$  is independent if all pairs  $u, v \in S$  are independent.

For  $e = (u, v)$ ,  $u \notin S$ , set  $w'(u, v) = 0$  if  $w(u, v) < 0$ .  
else  $w'(u, v) = w(u, v)$ .

update vertices in  $S$ , run Dijkstra.

Reduced costs of  $w'(e)$  w.r.t.  $d(v)$  are non-negative.

For reduced costs of  $w(e)$  w.r.t.  $d(v)$ ?

## Eliminating many negative vertices.

Negative vertices are independent if they are not 1-hop connected.

Idea: Running “Dijkstra” makes them all not-negative.

$S$  is independent if all pairs  $u, v \in S$  are independent.

For  $e = (u, v)$ ,  $u \notin S$ , set  $w'(u, v) = 0$  if  $w(u, v) < 0$ .  
else  $w'(u, v) = w(u, v)$ .

update vertices in  $S$ , run Dijkstra.

Reduced costs of  $w'(e)$  w.r.t.  $d(v)$  are non-negative.

For reduced costs of  $w(e)$  w.r.t.  $d(v)$ ?

$S$  are no longer negative vertices.

# Remoteness

Set  $S$  is *r-remote* if  $S$  can reach  $\leq n/r$  vertices with  $r$ -hop paths.

# Remoteness

Set  $S$  is *r-remote* if  $S$  can reach  $\leq n/r$  vertices with  $r$ -hop paths.

Elimination Algorithm.

# Remoteness

Set  $S$  is  $r$ -remote if  $S$  can reach  $\leq n/r$  vertices with  $r$ -hop paths.

Elimination Algorithm.

Make  $r$  copies of graph,

# Remoteness

Set  $S$  is  $r$ -remote if  $S$  can reach  $\leq n/r$  vertices with  $r$ -hop paths.

Elimination Algorithm.

Make  $r$  copies of graph,  
connect successive levels by (directed) negative edges.

# Remoteness

Set  $S$  is  $r$ -remote if  $S$  can reach  $\leq n/r$  vertices with  $r$ -hop paths.

Elimination Algorithm.

Make  $r$  copies of graph,

connect successive levels by (directed) negative edges.

And connect copies of vertices by 0-weight edges.



# Remoteness

Set  $S$  is  $r$ -remote if  $S$  can reach  $\leq n/r$  vertices with  $r$ -hop paths.

Elimination Algorithm.

Make  $r$  copies of graph,

connect successive levels by (directed) negative edges.

And connect copies of vertices by 0-weight edges.

*and edge back from last to first*

# Remoteness

Set  $S$  is  $r$ -remote if  $S$  can reach  $\leq n/r$  vertices with  $r$ -hop paths.

Elimination Algorithm.

Make  $r$  copies of graph,

connect successive levels by (directed) negative edges.

And connect copies of vertices by 0-weight edges.

*and edge back from last to first*

Copies of positive edges are internal to level.

# Remoteness

Set  $S$  is  $r$ -remote if  $S$  can reach  $\leq n/r$  vertices with  $r$ -hop paths.

Elimination Algorithm.

Make  $r$  copies of graph,

connect successive levels by (directed) negative edges.

And connect copies of vertices by 0-weight edges.

*and edge back from last to first*

Copies of positive edges are internal to level.

# Remoteness

Set  $S$  is  $r$ -remote if  $S$  can reach  $\leq n/r$  vertices with  $r$ -hop paths.

Elimination Algorithm.

Make  $r$  copies of graph,

connect successive levels by (directed) negative edges.

And connect copies of vertices by 0-weight edges.

*and edge back from last to first*

Copies of positive edges are internal to level.

“Computation dag” of  $r$  iterations of Dijkstra/Bellman. (except for edge back.)

# Remoteness

Set  $S$  is  $r$ -remote if  $S$  can reach  $\leq n/r$  vertices with  $r$ -hop paths.

Elimination Algorithm.

Make  $r$  copies of graph,

connect successive levels by (directed) negative edges.

And connect copies of vertices by 0-weight edges.

*and edge back from last to first*

Copies of positive edges are internal to level.

“Computation dag” of  $r$  iterations of Dijkstra/Bellman. (except for edge back.)

Do DAG computation.  $O((m + n \log n)r)$  time.

# Remoteness

Set  $S$  is  $r$ -remote if  $S$  can reach  $\leq n/r$  vertices with  $r$ -hop paths.

Elimination Algorithm.

Make  $r$  copies of graph,

connect successive levels by (directed) negative edges.

And connect copies of vertices by 0-weight edges.

*and edge back from last to first*

Copies of positive edges are internal to level.

“Computation dag” of  $r$  iterations of Dijkstra/Bellman. (except for edge back.)

Do DAG computation.  $O((m + n \log n)r)$  time.

Only negative edges back to first level.

# Remoteness

Set  $S$  is  $r$ -remote if  $S$  can reach  $\leq n/r$  vertices with  $r$ -hop paths.

Elimination Algorithm.

Make  $r$  copies of graph,

connect successive levels by (directed) negative edges.

And connect copies of vertices by 0-weight edges.

*and edge back from last to first*

Copies of positive edges are internal to level.

“Computation dag” of  $r$  iterations of Dijkstra/Bellman. (except for edge back.)

Do DAG computation.  $O((m + n \log n)r)$  time.

Only negative edges back to first level.

Paths in this graph have only  $n/r$  negative arcs in their path!

# Remoteness

Set  $S$  is  $r$ -remote if  $S$  can reach  $\leq n/r$  vertices with  $r$ -hop paths.

Elimination Algorithm.

Make  $r$  copies of graph,

connect successive levels by (directed) negative edges.

And connect copies of vertices by 0-weight edges.

*and edge back from last to first*

Copies of positive edges are internal to level.

“Computation dag” of  $r$  iterations of Dijkstra/Bellman. (except for edge back.)

Do DAG computation.  $O((m + n \log n)r)$  time.

Only negative edges back to first level.

Paths in this graph have only  $n/r$  negative arcs in their path!

So  $n/r$  iterations of Bellman/Ford is enough!



# Remoteness

Set  $S$  is  $r$ -remote if  $S$  can reach  $\leq n/r$  vertices with  $r$ -hop paths.

Elimination Algorithm.

Make  $r$  copies of graph,

connect successive levels by (directed) negative edges.

And connect copies of vertices by 0-weight edges.

*and edge back from last to first*

Copies of positive edges are internal to level.

“Computation dag” of  $r$  iterations of Dijkstra/Bellman. (except for edge back.)

Do DAG computation.  $O((m + n \log n)r)$  time.

Only negative edges back to first level.

Paths in this graph have only  $n/r$  negative arcs in their path!

So  $n/r$  iterations of Bellman/Ford is enough!

So  $n/r \times O(r(m + n \log n))$

# Remoteness

Set  $S$  is  $r$ -remote if  $S$  can reach  $\leq n/r$  vertices with  $r$ -hop paths.

Elimination Algorithm.

Make  $r$  copies of graph,

connect successive levels by (directed) negative edges.

And connect copies of vertices by 0-weight edges.

*and edge back from last to first*

Copies of positive edges are internal to level.

“Computation dag” of  $r$  iterations of Dijkstra/Bellman. (except for edge back.)

Do DAG computation.  $O((m + n \log n)r)$  time.

Only negative edges back to first level.

Paths in this graph have only  $n/r$  negative arcs in their path!

So  $n/r$  iterations of Bellman/Ford is enough!

So  $n/r \times O(r(m + n \log n)) = O(n(m + n \log n))!!!$

# Remoteness

Set  $S$  is  $r$ -remote if  $S$  can reach  $\leq n/r$  vertices with  $r$ -hop paths.

Elimination Algorithm.

Make  $r$  copies of graph,

connect successive levels by (directed) negative edges.

And connect copies of vertices by 0-weight edges.

*and edge back from last to first*

Copies of positive edges are internal to level.

“Computation dag” of  $r$  iterations of Dijkstra/Bellman. (except for edge back.)

Do DAG computation.  $O((m + n \log n)r)$  time.

Only negative edges back to first level.

Paths in this graph have only  $n/r$  negative arcs in their path!

So  $n/r$  iterations of Bellman/Ford is enough!

So  $n/r \times O(r(m + n \log n)) = O(n(m + n \log n))!!!$  Doh!!!!

# Remoteness

Set  $S$  is  $r$ -remote if  $S$  can reach  $\leq n/r$  vertices with  $r$ -hop paths.

Elimination Algorithm.

Make  $r$  copies of graph,

connect successive levels by (directed) negative edges.

And connect copies of vertices by 0-weight edges.

*and edge back from last to first*

Copies of positive edges are internal to level.

“Computation dag” of  $r$  iterations of Dijkstra/Bellman. (except for edge back.)

Do DAG computation.  $O((m + n \log n)r)$  time.

Only negative edges back to first level.

Paths in this graph have only  $n/r$  negative arcs in their path!

So  $n/r$  iterations of Bellman/Ford is enough!

So  $n/r \times O(r(m + n \log n)) = O(n(m + n \log n))!!!$  Doh!!!!

No improvement.

## This time use remoteness.

Set  $S$  is  $r$ -remote if  $S$  can reach  $\leq n/r$  vertices with  $r$ -hop paths.

## This time use remoteness.

Set  $S$  is  $r$ -remote if  $S$  can reach  $\leq n/r$  vertices with  $r$ -hop paths.

Elimination Algorithm.

## This time use remoteness.

Set  $S$  is  $r$ -remote if  $S$  can reach  $\leq n/r$  vertices with  $r$ -hop paths.

Elimination Algorithm.

Make  $r$  copies of reachable vertices,

## This time use remoteness.

Set  $S$  is  $r$ -remote if  $S$  can reach  $\leq n/r$  vertices with  $r$ -hop paths.

Elimination Algorithm.

Make  $r$  copies of reachable vertices,  
connect successive levels by (directed) negative edges.



## This time use remoteness.

Set  $S$  is *r-remote* if  $S$  can reach  $\leq n/r$  vertices with  $r$ -hop paths.

Elimination Algorithm.

Make  $r$  copies of reachable vertices,  
connect successive levels by (directed) negative edges.  
And connect copies of vertices by 0-weight edges.

## This time use remoteness.

Set  $S$  is  $r$ -remote if  $S$  can reach  $\leq n/r$  vertices with  $r$ -hop paths.

Elimination Algorithm.

Make  $r$  copies of reachable vertices,  
connect successive levels by (directed) negative edges.  
And connect copies of vertices by 0-weight edges.  
*and edge back from last to first*

## This time use remoteness.

Set  $S$  is  $r$ -remote if  $S$  can reach  $\leq n/r$  vertices with  $r$ -hop paths.

Elimination Algorithm.

Make  $r$  copies of reachable vertices,

connect successive levels by (directed) negative edges.

And connect copies of vertices by 0-weight edges.

*and edge back from last to first*

Copies of positive edges are internal to level.

## This time use remoteness.

Set  $S$  is  $r$ -remote if  $S$  can reach  $\leq n/r$  vertices with  $r$ -hop paths.

Elimination Algorithm.

Make  $r$  copies of reachable vertices,

connect successive levels by (directed) negative edges.

And connect copies of vertices by 0-weight edges.

*and edge back from last to first*

Copies of positive edges are internal to level.

Zero out weights on negative  $v \notin S$ .

## This time use remoteness.

Set  $S$  is  $r$ -remote if  $S$  can reach  $\leq n/r$  vertices with  $r$ -hop paths.

Elimination Algorithm.

Make  $r$  copies of reachable vertices,

connect successive levels by (directed) negative edges.

And connect copies of vertices by 0-weight edges.

*and edge back from last to first*

Copies of positive edges are internal to level.

Zero out weights on negative  $v \notin S$ .

## This time use remoteness.

Set  $S$  is  $r$ -remote if  $S$  can reach  $\leq n/r$  vertices with  $r$ -hop paths.

Elimination Algorithm.

Make  $r$  copies of reachable vertices,

connect successive levels by (directed) negative edges.

And connect copies of vertices by 0-weight edges.

*and edge back from last to first*

Copies of positive edges are internal to level.

Zero out weights on negative  $v \notin S$ .

The vertices  $v \notin S$  are  $r$ -hop far.

## This time use remoteness.

Set  $S$  is  $r$ -remote if  $S$  can reach  $\leq n/r$  vertices with  $r$ -hop paths.

Elimination Algorithm.

Make  $r$  copies of reachable vertices,

connect successive levels by (directed) negative edges.

And connect copies of vertices by 0-weight edges.

*and edge back from last to first*

Copies of positive edges are internal to level.

Zero out weights on negative  $v \notin S$ .

The vertices  $v \notin S$  are  $r$ -hop far.

Thus, must use  $\geq r$  negative nodes in  $S$ .

## This time use remoteness.

Set  $S$  is  $r$ -remote if  $S$  can reach  $\leq n/r$  vertices with  $r$ -hop paths.

Elimination Algorithm.

Make  $r$  copies of reachable vertices,

connect successive levels by (directed) negative edges.

And connect copies of vertices by 0-weight edges.

*and edge back from last to first*

Copies of positive edges are internal to level.

Zero out weights on negative  $v \notin S$ .

The vertices  $v \notin S$  are  $r$ -hop far.

Thus, must use  $\geq r$  negative nodes in  $S$ .

thus the  $n/r$  iterations is enough to see all negative paths.



## This time use remoteness.

Set  $S$  is  $r$ -remote if  $S$  can reach  $\leq n/r$  vertices with  $r$ -hop paths.

Elimination Algorithm.

Make  $r$  copies of reachable vertices,

connect successive levels by (directed) negative edges.

And connect copies of vertices by 0-weight edges.

*and edge back from last to first*

Copies of positive edges are internal to level.

Zero out weights on negative  $v \notin S$ .

The vertices  $v \notin S$  are  $r$ -hop far.

Thus, must use  $\geq r$  negative nodes in  $S$ .

thus the  $n/r$  iterations is enough to see all negative paths.

## This time use remoteness.

Set  $S$  is  $r$ -remote if  $S$  can reach  $\leq n/r$  vertices with  $r$ -hop paths.

Elimination Algorithm.

Make  $r$  copies of reachable vertices,

connect successive levels by (directed) negative edges.

And connect copies of vertices by 0-weight edges.

*and edge back from last to first*

Copies of positive edges are internal to level.

Zero out weights on negative  $v \notin S$ .

The vertices  $v \notin S$  are  $r$ -hop far.

Thus, must use  $\geq r$  negative nodes in  $S$ .

thus the  $n/r$  iterations is enough to see all negative paths.

$$O(n/r) \times O((r(n/r + m/r \log n)) + (n + m \log n))$$

## This time use remoteness.

Set  $S$  is  $r$ -remote if  $S$  can reach  $\leq n/r$  vertices with  $r$ -hop paths.

Elimination Algorithm.

Make  $r$  copies of reachable vertices,

connect successive levels by (directed) negative edges.

And connect copies of vertices by 0-weight edges.

*and edge back from last to first*

Copies of positive edges are internal to level.

Zero out weights on negative  $v \notin S$ .

The vertices  $v \notin S$  are  $r$ -hop far.

Thus, must use  $\geq r$  negative nodes in  $S$ .

thus the  $n/r$  iterations is enough to see all negative paths.

$$O(n/r) \times O((r(n/r + m/r \log n)) + (n + m \log n)) = O(n/r(n + m \log n)).$$

## This time use remoteness.

Set  $S$  is  $r$ -remote if  $S$  can reach  $\leq n/r$  vertices with  $r$ -hop paths.

Elimination Algorithm.

Make  $r$  copies of reachable vertices,

connect successive levels by (directed) negative edges.

And connect copies of vertices by 0-weight edges.

*and edge back from last to first*

Copies of positive edges are internal to level.

Zero out weights on negative  $v \notin S$ .

The vertices  $v \notin S$  are  $r$ -hop far.

Thus, must use  $\geq r$  negative nodes in  $S$ .

thus the  $n/r$  iterations is enough to see all negative paths.

$O(n/r) \times O((r(n/r + m/r \log n)) + (n + m \log n)) = O(n/r(n + m \log n))$ .

$n/r$  iterations of Bellman/Dijkstra to get rid of  $|S|$  negative vertices.

## This time use remoteness.

Set  $S$  is  $r$ -remote if  $S$  can reach  $\leq n/r$  vertices with  $r$ -hop paths.

Elimination Algorithm.

Make  $r$  copies of reachable vertices,  
connect successive levels by (directed) negative edges.

And connect copies of vertices by 0-weight edges.

*and edge back from last to first*

Copies of positive edges are internal to level.

Zero out weights on negative  $v \notin S$ .

The vertices  $v \notin S$  are  $r$ -hop far.

Thus, must use  $\geq r$  negative nodes in  $S$ .

thus the  $n/r$  iterations is enough to see all negative paths.

$O(n/r) \times O((r(n/r + m/r \log n)) + (n + m \log n)) = O(n/r(n + m \log n))$ .

$n/r$  iterations of Bellman/Dijkstra to get rid of  $|S|$  negative vertices.

To get rid of all of them:  $(n/|S|)(n/r)$  versus  $n$ .

## This time use remoteness.

Set  $S$  is  $r$ -remote if  $S$  can reach  $\leq n/r$  vertices with  $r$ -hop paths.

Elimination Algorithm.

Make  $r$  copies of reachable vertices,  
connect successive levels by (directed) negative edges.

And connect copies of vertices by 0-weight edges.

*and edge back from last to first*

Copies of positive edges are internal to level.

Zero out weights on negative  $v \notin S$ .

The vertices  $v \notin S$  are  $r$ -hop far.

Thus, must use  $\geq r$  negative nodes in  $S$ .

thus the  $n/r$  iterations is enough to see all negative paths.

$O(n/r) \times O((r(n/r + m/r \log n)) + (n + m \log n)) = O(n/r(n + m \log n))$ .

$n/r$  iterations of Bellman/Dijkstra to get rid of  $|S|$  negative vertices.

To get rid of all of them:  $(n/|S|)(n/r)$  versus  $n$ .

Thus, if  $|S|r \geq n$ , it is win!

## This time use remoteness.

Set  $S$  is  $r$ -remote if  $S$  can reach  $\leq n/r$  vertices with  $r$ -hop paths.

Elimination Algorithm.

Make  $r$  copies of reachable vertices,  
connect successive levels by (directed) negative edges.

And connect copies of vertices by 0-weight edges.

*and edge back from last to first*

Copies of positive edges are internal to level.

Zero out weights on negative  $v \notin S$ .

The vertices  $v \notin S$  are  $r$ -hop far.

Thus, must use  $\geq r$  negative nodes in  $S$ .

thus the  $n/r$  iterations is enough to see all negative paths.

$O(n/r) \times O((r(n/r + m/r \log n)) + (n + m \log n)) = O(n/r(n + m \log n))$ .

$n/r$  iterations of Bellman/Dijkstra to get rid of  $|S|$  negative vertices.

To get rid of all of them:  $(n/|S|)(n/r)$  versus  $n$ .

Thus, if  $|S|r \geq n$ , it is win!

Find remote set with big  $r$  and big  $S$ .

## Betweenness

$v$  is  $h$ -hop between  $s$  and  $t$  if  $d^h(s, v) < h$  and  $d^h(v, t) < h$ .



## Betweenness

$v$  is  $h$ -hop between  $s$  and  $t$  if  $d^h(s, v) < h$  and  $d^h(v, t) < h$ .

For pair,  $s, t$ ,  $B^h(s, t)$  is set of vertices between  $s$  and  $t$ .

## Betweenness

$v$  is  $h$ -hop between  $s$  and  $t$  if  $d^h(s, v) < h$  and  $d^h(v, t) < h$ .

For pair,  $s, t$ ,  $B^h(s, t)$  is set of vertices between  $s$  and  $t$ .

How large?

## Betweenness

$v$  is  $h$ -hop between  $s$  and  $t$  if  $d^h(s, v) < h$  and  $d^h(v, t) < h$ .

For pair,  $s, t$ ,  $B^h(s, t)$  is set of vertices between  $s$  and  $t$ .

How large? Could be a lot.

## Betweenness

$v$  is  $h$ -hop between  $s$  and  $t$  if  $d^h(s, v) < h$  and  $d^h(v, t) < h$ .

For pair,  $s, t$ ,  $B^h(s, t)$  is set of vertices between  $s$  and  $t$ .

How large? Could be a lot.

For  $b \log n$  vertices, compute  $h$ -hop in-distances and out-distances.

## Betweenness

$v$  is  $h$ -hop between  $s$  and  $t$  if  $d^h(s, v) < 0$  and  $d^h(v, t) < 0$ .

For pair,  $s, t$ ,  $B^h(s, t)$  is set of vertices between  $s$  and  $t$ .

How large? Could be a lot.

For  $b \log n$  vertices, compute  $h$ -hop in-distances and out-distances.

Compute reduced costs using these potential functions.

## Betweenness

$v$  is  $h$ -hop between  $s$  and  $t$  if  $d^h(s, v) < \infty$  and  $d^h(v, t) < \infty$ .

For pair,  $s, t$ ,  $B^h(s, t)$  is set of vertices between  $s$  and  $t$ .

How large? Could be a lot.

For  $b \log n$  vertices, compute  $h$ -hop in-distances and out-distances.

Compute reduced costs using these potential functions.

Time:  $O(hb \log n(m + n \log n))$ .

## Betweenness

$v$  is  $h$ -hop between  $s$  and  $t$  if  $d^h(s, v) < 0$  and  $d^h(v, t) < 0$ .

For pair,  $s, t$ ,  $B^h(s, t)$  is set of vertices between  $s$  and  $t$ .

How large? Could be a lot.

For  $b \log n$  vertices, compute  $h$ -hop in-distances and out-distances.

Compute reduced costs using these potential functions.

Time:  $O(hb \log n(m + n \log n))$ .

Lemma: W.h.p.  $|B^h(s, t)| \leq n/b$ .

## Betweenness

$v$  is  $h$ -hop between  $s$  and  $t$  if  $d^h(s, v) < b$  and  $d^h(v, t) < b$ .

For pair,  $s, t$ ,  $B^h(s, t)$  is set of vertices between  $s$  and  $t$ .

How large? Could be a lot.

For  $b \log n$  vertices, compute  $h$ -hop in-distances and out-distances.

Compute reduced costs using these potential functions.

Time:  $O(hb \log n(m + n \log n))$ .

Lemma: W.h.p.  $|B^h(s, t)| \leq n/b$ .

Proof sketch: Consider  $s, t$ , sort  $B^h(s, t)$  vertices by  $d^h(s, u) + d^h(u, t)$ .

W.h.p. vertex is in smallest  $n/b$  vertices of  $B^h(s, t)$ .

Observe:



## Betweenness

$v$  is  $h$ -hop between  $s$  and  $t$  if  $d^h(s, v) < b$  and  $d^h(v, t) < b$ .

For pair,  $s, t$ ,  $B^h(s, t)$  is set of vertices between  $s$  and  $t$ .

How large? Could be a lot.

For  $b \log n$  vertices, compute  $h$ -hop in-distances and out-distances.

Compute reduced costs using these potential functions.

Time:  $O(hb \log n(m + n \log n))$ .

Lemma: W.h.p.  $|B^h(s, t)| \leq n/b$ .

Proof sketch: Consider  $s, t$ , sort  $B^h(s, t)$  vertices by  $d^h(s, u) + d^h(u, t)$ .

W.h.p. vertex is in smallest  $n/b$  vertices of  $B^h(s, t)$ .

Observe:

Price function adjustments, make paths positive!

# Betweenness

$v$  is  $h$ -hop between  $s$  and  $t$  if  $d^h(s, v) < b$  and  $d^h(v, t) < b$ .

For pair,  $s, t$ ,  $B^h(s, t)$  is set of vertices between  $s$  and  $t$ .

How large? Could be a lot.

For  $b \log n$  vertices, compute  $h$ -hop in-distances and out-distances.

Compute reduced costs using these potential functions.

Time:  $O(hb \log n(m + n \log n))$ .

Lemma: W.h.p.  $|B^h(s, t)| \leq n/b$ .

Proof sketch: Consider  $s, t$ , sort  $B^h(s, t)$  vertices by  $d^h(s, u) + d^h(u, t)$ .

W.h.p. vertex is in smallest  $n/b$  vertices of  $B^h(s, t)$ .

Observe:

Price function adjustments, make paths positive!

And keep shortest paths ordered. So other nodes are positive.

## Betweenness

$v$  is  $h$ -hop between  $s$  and  $t$  if  $d^h(s, v) < b$  and  $d^h(v, t) < b$ .

For pair,  $s, t$ ,  $B^h(s, t)$  is set of vertices between  $s$  and  $t$ .

How large? Could be a lot.

For  $b \log n$  vertices, compute  $h$ -hop in-distances and out-distances.

Compute reduced costs using these potential functions.

Time:  $O(hb \log n(m + n \log n))$ .

Lemma: W.h.p.  $|B^h(s, t)| \leq n/b$ .

Proof sketch: Consider  $s, t$ , sort  $B^h(s, t)$  vertices by  $d^h(s, u) + d^h(u, t)$ .

W.h.p. vertex is in smallest  $n/b$  vertices of  $B^h(s, t)$ .

Observe:

Price function adjustments, make paths positive!

And keep shortest paths ordered. So other nodes are positive.

Thus, the  $|B^h(s, t)| \leq n/b$

□.

## Negative Sandwich, Betweenness, Remoteness

$(s, t, S)$  is a  $h$ -hop negative sandwich if  $\forall u \in S, d^h(s, u) + d^h(u, t) < 0$   
for negative vertices  $S$ .

# Negative Sandwich, Betweenness, Remoteness

$(s, t, S)$  is a  $h$ -hop negative sandwich if  $\forall u \in S, d^h(s, u) + d^h(u, t) < 0$   
for negative vertices  $S$ .

negative between vertices..

# Negative Sandwich, Betweenness, Remoteness

$(s, t, S)$  is a  $h$ -hop negative sandwich if  $\forall u \in S, d^h(s, u) + d^h(u, t) < 0$   
for negative vertices  $S$ .

negative between vertices..

# Negative Sandwich, Betweenness, Remoteness

$(s, t, S)$  is a  $h$ -hop negative sandwich if  $\forall u \in S, d^h(s, u) + d^h(u, t) < 0$   
for negative vertices  $S$ .

negative between vertices..

Price function:  $\phi(u) = \min(0, \max(d^{h+r}(s, u), -d^{h+r}(u, t)))$ .

# Negative Sandwich, Betweenness, Remoteness

$(s, t, S)$  is a  $h$ -hop negative sandwich if  $\forall u \in S, d^h(s, u) + d^h(u, t) < 0$   
for negative vertices  $S$ .

negative between vertices..

Price function:  $\phi(u) = \min(0, \max(d^{h+r}(s, u), -d^{h+r}(u, t)))$ .

Any vertex that is not  $r$ -between  $s$  and  $t$  is  $r$ -remote from  $S$ .



# Negative Sandwich, Betweenness, Remoteness

$(s, t, S)$  is a  $h$ -hop negative sandwich if  $\forall u \in S, d^h(s, u) + d^h(u, t) < 0$   
for negative vertices  $S$ .

negative between vertices..

Price function:  $\phi(u) = \min(0, \max(d^{h+r}(s, u), -d^{h+r}(u, t)))$ .

Any vertex that is not  $r$ -between  $s$  and  $t$  is  $r$ -remote from  $S$ .

Recall: only  $n/r$  in between.

# Negative Sandwich, Betweenness, Remoteness

$(s, t, S)$  is a  $h$ -hop negative sandwich if  $\forall u \in S, d^h(s, u) + d^h(u, t) < 0$   
for negative vertices  $S$ .

negative between vertices..

Price function:  $\phi(u) = \min(0, \max(d^{h+r}(s, u), -d^{h+r}(u, t)))$ .

Any vertex that is not  $r$ -between  $s$  and  $t$  is  $r$ -remote from  $S$ .

Recall: only  $n/r$  in between.

$S$  is  $r$ -remote set.

# Negative Sandwich, Betweenness, Remoteness

$(s, t, S)$  is a  $h$ -hop negative sandwich if  $\forall u \in S, d^h(s, u) + d^h(u, t) < 0$   
for negative vertices  $S$ .

negative between vertices..

Price function:  $\phi(u) = \min(0, \max(d^{h+r}(s, u), -d^{h+r}(u, t)))$ .

Any vertex that is not  $r$ -between  $s$  and  $t$  is  $r$ -remote from  $S$ .

Recall: only  $n/r$  in between.

$S$  is  $r$ -remote set.

Find large sandwich.

# Negative Sandwich, Betweenness, Remoteness

$(s, t, S)$  is a  $h$ -hop negative sandwich if  $\forall u \in S, d^h(s, u) + d^h(u, t) < 0$  for negative vertices  $S$ .

negative between vertices..

Price function:  $\phi(u) = \min(0, \max(d^{h+r}(s, u), -d^{h+r}(u, t)))$ .

Any vertex that is not  $r$ -between  $s$  and  $t$  is  $r$ -remote from  $S$ .

Recall: only  $n/r$  in between.

$S$  is  $r$ -remote set.

Find large sandwich. That is,  $|S|/r \geq n$ .

## Proper hop distance.

Hop distance is distance with  $\leq h$  negative hops.

## Proper hop distance.

Hop distance is distance with  $\leq h$  negative hops.

Proper is with *exact*  $h$  negative hops.

## Proper hop distance.

Hop distance is distance with  $\leq h$  negative hops.

Proper is with *exact*  $h$  negative hops.

Lemma:  $O(h(m + n \log n))$  time for set  $S$  of negative vertices,

## Proper hop distance.

Hop distance is distance with  $\leq h$  negative hops.

Proper is with *exact*  $h$  negative hops.

Lemma:  $O(h(m + n \log n))$  time for set  $S$  of negative vertices,

(i) finds pair  $s, t \in S$  with proper  $h$ -hop distance  $\leq h$  or



## Proper hop distance.

Hop distance is distance with  $\leq h$  negative hops.

Proper is with *exact*  $h$  negative hops.

Lemma:  $O(h(m + n \log n))$  time for set  $S$  of negative vertices,

(i) finds pair  $s, t \in S$  with proper  $h$ -hop distance  $\leq h$  or

(ii) distance  $d_S(t, V)$  for all  $V$  in  $G_S$ .

## Proper hop distance.

Hop distance is distance with  $\leq h$  negative hops.

Proper is with *exact*  $h$  negative hops.

Lemma:  $O(h(m + n \log n))$  time for set  $S$  of negative vertices,

(i) finds pair  $s, t \in S$  with proper  $h$ -hop distance  $\leq h$  or

(ii) distance  $d_S(t, V)$  for all  $V$  in  $G_S$ .

## Proper hop distance.

Hop distance is distance with  $\leq h$  negative hops.

Proper is with *exact*  $h$  negative hops.

Lemma:  $O(h(m + n \log n))$  time for set  $S$  of negative vertices,

(i) finds pair  $s, t \in S$  with proper  $h$ -hop distance  $\leq h$  or

(ii) distance  $d_S(t, V)$  for all  $V$  in  $G_S$ .

$G_S$  is  $G$  where negative weights are zero'd outside of  $S$ .

## Proper hop distance.

Hop distance is distance with  $\leq h$  negative hops.

Proper is with *exact*  $h$  negative hops.

Lemma:  $O(h(m + n \log n))$  time for set  $S$  of negative vertices,

(i) finds pair  $s, t \in S$  with proper  $h$ -hop distance  $\leq h$  or

(ii) distance  $d_S(t, V)$  for all  $V$  in  $G_S$ .

$G_S$  is  $G$  where negative weights are zero'd outside of  $S$ .

Proof: Consider  $G_S$ . Compute  $d^h(S, t)$  and  $d^{h+1}(S, t)$  for all  $t$ .

## Proper hop distance.

Hop distance is distance with  $\leq h$  negative hops.

Proper is with *exacty*  $h$  negative hops.

Lemma:  $O(h(m + n \log n))$  time for set  $S$  of negative vertices,

(i) finds pair  $s, t \in S$  with proper  $h$ -hop distance  $\leq h$  or

(ii) distance  $d_S(t, V)$  for all  $V$  in  $G_S$ .

$G_S$  is  $G$  where negative weights are zero'd outside of  $S$ .

Proof: Consider  $G_S$ . Compute  $d^h(S, t)$  and  $d^{h+1}(S, t)$  for all  $t$ .

If change, then *exactly*  $h + 1$  negative vertices.

## Proper hop distance.

Hop distance is distance with  $\leq h$  negative hops.

Proper is with *exactly*  $h$  negative hops.

Lemma:  $O(h(m + n \log n))$  time for set  $S$  of negative vertices,

(i) finds pair  $s, t \in S$  with proper  $h$ -hop distance  $\leq h$  or

(ii) distance  $d_S(t, V)$  for all  $V$  in  $G_S$ .

$G_S$  is  $G$  where negative weights are zero'd outside of  $S$ .

Proof: Consider  $G_S$ . Compute  $d^h(S, t)$  and  $d^{h+1}(S, t)$  for all  $t$ .

If change, then *exactly*  $h + 1$  negative vertices.

Pick subpath starting and ending in  $S$ .

## Proper hop distance.

Hop distance is distance with  $\leq h$  negative hops.

Proper is with *exactly*  $h$  negative hops.

Lemma:  $O(h(m + n \log n))$  time for set  $S$  of negative vertices,

(i) finds pair  $s, t \in S$  with proper  $h$ -hop distance  $\leq h$  or

(ii) distance  $d_S(t, V)$  for all  $V$  in  $G_S$ .

$G_S$  is  $G$  where negative weights are zero'd outside of  $S$ .

Proof: Consider  $G_S$ . Compute  $d^h(S, t)$  and  $d^{h+1}(S, t)$  for all  $t$ .

If change, then *exactly*  $h + 1$  negative vertices.

Pick subpath starting and ending in  $S$ .

Note: Case (ii), gives price function to make  $S$  non-negative.

## Proper hop distance.

Hop distance is distance with  $\leq h$  negative hops.

Proper is with *exacty*  $h$  negative hops.

Lemma:  $O(h(m + n \log n))$  time for set  $S$  of negative vertices,

(i) finds pair  $s, t \in S$  with proper  $h$ -hop distance  $\leq h$  or

(ii) distance  $d_S(t, V)$  for all  $V$  in  $G_S$ .

$G_S$  is  $G$  where negative weights are zero'd outside of  $S$ .

Proof: Consider  $G_S$ . Compute  $d^h(S, t)$  and  $d^{h+1}(S, t)$  for all  $t$ .

If change, then *exactly*  $h + 1$  negative vertices.

Pick subpath starting and ending in  $S$ .

Note: Case (ii), gives price function to make  $S$  non-negative.

Case (i): Can be used to make sandwich.



# Large sandwich

Sample a set  $U$  with probability  $q$ .

# Large sandwich

Sample a set  $U$  with probability  $q$ .

Use proper hop lemma on  $U$ .

Either fix  $|U|$  negative vertices.

# Large sandwich

Sample a set  $U$  with probability  $q$ .

Use proper hop lemma on  $U$ .

Either fix  $|U|$  negative vertices.

Or: find pair  $s, t$  in  $S$  with negative proper  $h$  hop distance using  $S$ .

At least  $h$  negative vertices sampled in  $(s, t, S)$ .

# Large sandwich

Sample a set  $U$  with probability  $q$ .

Use proper hop lemma on  $U$ .

Either fix  $|U|$  negative vertices.

Or: find pair  $s, t$  in  $S$  with negative proper  $h$  hop distance using  $S$ .

At least  $h$  negative vertices sampled in  $(s, t, S)$ .

Expected size of  $S$  is  $h/q$ .

# Large sandwich

Sample a set  $U$  with probability  $q$ .

Use proper hop lemma on  $U$ .

Either fix  $|U|$  negative vertices.

Or: find pair  $s, t$  in  $S$  with negative proper  $h$  hop distance using  $S$ .

At least  $h$  negative vertices sampled in  $(s, t, S)$ .

Expected size of  $S$  is  $h/q$ .

# Large sandwich

Sample a set  $U$  with probability  $q$ .

Use proper hop lemma on  $U$ .

Either fix  $|U|$  negative vertices.

Or: find pair  $s, t$  in  $S$  with negative proper  $h$  hop distance using  $S$ .

At least  $h$  negative vertices sampled in  $(s, t, S)$ .

Expected size of  $S$  is  $h/q$ .

Let  $k$  be number of negative vertices.

# Large sandwich

Sample a set  $U$  with probability  $q$ .

Use proper hop lemma on  $U$ .

Either fix  $|U|$  negative vertices.

Or: find pair  $s, t$  in  $S$  with negative proper  $h$  hop distance using  $S$ .

At least  $h$  negative vertices sampled in  $(s, t, S)$ .

Expected size of  $S$  is  $h/q$ .

Let  $k$  be number of negative vertices.

Set  $q = 2\sqrt{h/k}$ .

# Large sandwich

Sample a set  $U$  with probability  $q$ .

Use proper hop lemma on  $U$ .

Either fix  $|U|$  negative vertices.

Or: find pair  $s, t$  in  $S$  with negative proper  $h$  hop distance using  $S$ .

At least  $h$  negative vertices sampled in  $(s, t, S)$ .

Expected size of  $S$  is  $h/q$ .

Let  $k$  be number of negative vertices.

Set  $q = 2\sqrt{h/k}$ .

Expected size of  $U$  is  $qk = \Omega(\sqrt{kh})$ .



# Large sandwich

Sample a set  $U$  with probability  $q$ .

Use proper hop lemma on  $U$ .

Either fix  $|U|$  negative vertices.

Or: find pair  $s, t$  in  $S$  with negative proper  $h$  hop distance using  $S$ .

At least  $h$  negative vertices sampled in  $(s, t, S)$ .

Expected size of  $S$  is  $h/q$ .

Let  $k$  be number of negative vertices.

Set  $q = 2\sqrt{h/k}$ .

Expected size of  $U$  is  $qk = \Omega(\sqrt{kh})$ .

Expected size of  $S$  is  $h/q = \Omega(\sqrt{kh})$ .

# Large sandwich

Sample a set  $U$  with probability  $q$ .

Use proper hop lemma on  $U$ .

Either fix  $|U|$  negative vertices.

Or: find pair  $s, t$  in  $S$  with negative proper  $h$  hop distance using  $S$ .

At least  $h$  negative vertices sampled in  $(s, t, S)$ .

Expected size of  $S$  is  $h/q$ .

Let  $k$  be number of negative vertices.

Set  $q = 2\sqrt{h/k}$ .

Expected size of  $U$  is  $qk = \Omega(\sqrt{kh})$ .

Expected size of  $S$  is  $h/q = \Omega(\sqrt{kh})$ .

# Putting it together.

Steps:

## Putting it together.

Steps:

Betweeness:  $\tilde{O}(h^2 m)$  to get  $h$ -betweenness down to  $n/h$ .

## Putting it together.

Steps:

Betweeness:  $\tilde{O}(h^2 m)$  to get  $h$ -betweenness down to  $n/h$ .

Proper hops:  $\tilde{O}(hm)$  time  
to get remote  $\Omega(\sqrt{nh})$  vertices

## Putting it together.

Steps:

Betweeness:  $\tilde{O}(h^2 m)$  to get  $h$ -betweenness down to  $n/h$ .

Proper hops:  $\tilde{O}(hm)$  time

to get remote  $\Omega(\sqrt{nh})$  vertices

Fix remote vertices  $O(\sqrt{nh})$  in time  $\tilde{O}(\sqrt{nh} m)$

Total time  $\tilde{O}((h^2 + \sqrt{nh}/h)m)$  to fix  $\sqrt{nh}$  remote vertices.

## Putting it together.

Steps:

Betweeness:  $\tilde{O}(h^2 m)$  to get  $h$ -betweenness down to  $n/h$ .

Proper hops:  $\tilde{O}(hm)$  time

to get remote  $\Omega(\sqrt{nh})$  vertices

Fix remote vertices  $O(\sqrt{nh})$  in time  $\tilde{O}(\sqrt{nh} m)$

Total time  $\tilde{O}((h^2 + \sqrt{nh}/h)m)$  to fix  $\sqrt{nh}$  remote vertices.

## Putting it together.

Steps:

Betweeness:  $\tilde{O}(h^2 m)$  to get  $h$ -betweenness down to  $n/h$ .

Proper hops:  $\tilde{O}(hm)$  time

to get remote  $\Omega(\sqrt{nh})$  vertices

Fix remote vertices  $O(\sqrt{nh})$  in time  $\tilde{O}(\sqrt{nh}rm)$

Total time  $\tilde{O}((h^2 + \sqrt{nh}/h)m)$  to fix  $\sqrt{nh}$  remote vertices.

Roughly  $n/\sqrt{nh}$  iterations.



## Putting it together.

Steps:

Betweeness:  $\tilde{O}(h^2 m)$  to get  $h$ -betweenness down to  $n/h$ .

Proper hops:  $\tilde{O}(hm)$  time

to get remote  $\Omega(\sqrt{nh})$  vertices

Fix remote vertices  $O(\sqrt{nh})$  in time  $\tilde{O}(\sqrt{nh}rm)$

Total time  $\tilde{O}((h^2 + \sqrt{nh}/h)m)$  to fix  $\sqrt{nh}$  remote vertices.

Roughly  $n/\sqrt{nh}$  iterations.

Time:  $\tilde{O}(n/\sqrt{nh}(h^2 + \sqrt{nh}h)m) = \tilde{O}(\sqrt{n}(h^{3/2} + \sqrt{nh}^{1/2})m)$ .

## Putting it together.

Steps:

Betweeness:  $\tilde{O}(h^2 m)$  to get  $h$ -betweenness down to  $n/h$ .

Proper hops:  $\tilde{O}(hm)$  time

to get remote  $\Omega(\sqrt{nh})$  vertices

Fix remote vertices  $O(\sqrt{nh})$  in time  $\tilde{O}(\sqrt{nh} m)$

Total time  $\tilde{O}((h^2 + \sqrt{nh}/h)m)$  to fix  $\sqrt{nh}$  remote vertices.

Roughly  $n/\sqrt{nh}$  iterations.

Time:  $\tilde{O}(n/\sqrt{nh}(h^2 + \sqrt{nh}h)m) = \tilde{O}(\sqrt{n}(h^{3/2} + \sqrt{nh}^{1/2})m)$ .

$$h = n^{1/5}$$

## Putting it together.

Steps:

Betweeness:  $\tilde{O}(h^2 m)$  to get  $h$ -betweenness down to  $n/h$ .

Proper hops:  $\tilde{O}(hm)$  time

to get remote  $\Omega(\sqrt{nh})$  vertices

Fix remote vertices  $O(\sqrt{nh})$  in time  $\tilde{O}(\sqrt{nh} m)$

Total time  $\tilde{O}((h^2 + \sqrt{nh}/h)m)$  to fix  $\sqrt{nh}$  remote vertices.

Roughly  $n/\sqrt{nh}$  iterations.

Time:  $\tilde{O}(n/\sqrt{nh}(h^2 + \sqrt{nh}h)m) = \tilde{O}(\sqrt{n}(h^{3/2} + \sqrt{nh}^{1/2})m)$ .

$h = n^{1/5} \implies \tilde{O}(n^{4/5}m)$ .

## Putting it together.

Steps:

Betweeness:  $\tilde{O}(h^2 m)$  to get  $h$ -betweenness down to  $n/h$ .

Proper hops:  $\tilde{O}(hm)$  time

to get remote  $\Omega(\sqrt{nh})$  vertices

Fix remote vertices  $O(\sqrt{nh})$  in time  $\tilde{O}(\sqrt{nh} m)$

Total time  $\tilde{O}((h^2 + \sqrt{nh}/h)m)$  to fix  $\sqrt{nh}$  remote vertices.

Roughly  $n/\sqrt{nh}$  iterations.

Time:  $\tilde{O}(n/\sqrt{nh}(h^2 + \sqrt{nh}h)m) = \tilde{O}(\sqrt{n}(h^{3/2} + \sqrt{nh}^{1/2})m)$ .

$h = n^{1/5} \implies \tilde{O}(n^{4/5} m)$ .

Oh my.