Ekya – Continuous Learning of Video Analytics Models on Edge Compute Servers

Romil Bhardwaj, Zhengxu Xia, Ganesh Ananthanarayanan, Junchen Jiang, Yuanchao Shu, Nikolaos Karianakis, Kevin Hsieh, Victor Bahl, Ion Stoica







Video data is everywhere



Why video analytics at the edge?

1. Privacy

- Video data is sensitive
- Regulations prohibit uploading to public cloud providers

2. Bandwidth

- Expensive to provision
- Not always feasible
- Can be unreliable

Edge Video Analytics Setup



The challenge of data drift^[1,2]

Class Distribution

- Edge devices run lightweight models which have limited generalizability
- Observed data can be different than the training data, resulting in reduced accuracy
- Example Class Distribution Shifts



Tackling data drift with continuous learning

- To counter data drift, we can adapt our models by **continuously learning** on incoming data
- Retraining is done periodically (creating "retraining windows")



The cost of continuous learning

- Retraining models requires GPU-time, a precious quantity in resource constrained environments
- To retrain, we must **borrow resources** from inference and reallocate them to training
- Directly impacts inference accuracy because of dropped frames and downsampling



Resource demands of continuous learning

• The cost of retraining depends on the **configuration (hyperparameters)** chosen for retraining.

Hyperparameters:

- Layers to train
- Data sampling rate
- Learning rate
- Number of epochs
- Size of last hidden layer



ResNet18 Hyperparameters vs Cost

Summary thus far



Summary thus far





Working Example

2 retraining windows Retraining Period = 120s

Retraining Configurations

| Window | Video | Configuration | End Accuracy | GPU Seconds |
|-------------|---------|---------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Window 1 | Video A | Cfg1A | 75 | 85 |
| | | Cfg2A | 70 | 65 |
| | Video B | Cfg1B | 90 | 80 |
| | | Cfg2B | 85 | 50 |
| Window 2 | Video A | Cfg1A | 95 | 90 |
| | | Cfg2A | 90 | 50 |
| | Video B | Cfg1B | 98 | 80 |
| | | Cfg2B | 90 | 70 |



Example – Fair Scheduler

| Windo | w | Video | Configuration | End Accuracy | GPU Seconds |
|----------|---------|-------|---------------|--------------|-------------|
| Window 1 | Video A | Cfg1A | 75 | 85 | |
| | | Cfg2A | 70 | 65 | |
| | Video B | Cfg1B | 90 | 80 | |
| | | Cfg2B | 85 | 50 | |
| Window 2 | Video A | Cfg1A | 95 | 90 | |
| | | Cfg2A | 90 | 50 | |
| | Video B | Cfg1B | 98 | 80 | |
| | | Cfg2B | 90 | 70 | |

• Allocates equal resources, picks configurations which give highest accuracy



| Window | Video | Configuration | End Accuracy | GPU Seconds |
|----------|---------|---------------|--------------|-------------|
| Window 1 | Video A | Cfg1A | 75 | 85 |
| | | Cfg2A | 70 | 65 |
| | Video B | Cfg1B | 90 | 80 |
| | | Cfg2B | 85 | 50 |
| Window 2 | Video A | Cfg1A | 95 | 90 |
| | | Cfg2A | 90 | 50 |
| | Video B | Cfg1B | 98 | 80 |
| | | Cfg2B | 90 | 70 |

- Example a smarter schedule
- Picks more efficient hyperparameters, prioritizes Job B first





Resource Allocation



Ekya Thief Scheduler



Microprofiler

Accuracy+Resource

estimation through

hyperparameter sweep

and early stopping

- Goal: Maximize mean inference accuracy across all jobs
- Start with a fair allocation to all video streams V
- For each camera, evaluate all pairs of candidate configurations and pick the one which gives highest predicted accuracy
- For each thief job $j \in J$:
 - For victim job $k \in \{J j\}$:
 - Steal a quantum of resource δ from k and allocate
 - Repeat configuration picking with new resource a
 - If expected mean inference does not improve, stop stealing from k. Else, steal again.

Evaluation

- Implement Ekya using Ray's actor model
 - Nvidia MPS as the resource broker, pytorch for training and inference
- Four datasets
 - Cityscapes, Waymo both dashcam videos of driving in different cities
 - UrbanTraffic and UrbanBuilding (New!) Cameras from Bellevue and Las Vegas
- Baselines fair scheduler picking different configurations



Scaling with increasing video streams



Scaling with resources and datasets

• 0 to 8 GPUs running on 8 video streams on Cityscapes and Waymo



Ekya Ablation

Which components of Ekya contribute to its performance?



- **Continuous learning** counters data drift for lightweight models, but has a resource cost
- Ekya intelligently allocates resources and picks efficient hyperparameters for retraining to enable continuous learning in resource constrained settings
- Ekya requires **4x fewer resources** to achieve the same inference accuracy as baselines



