Three-Dimensional Thin-Film Li-Ion Microbatteries for Autonomous MEMS

Menachem Nathan, Diana Golodnitsky, Vladimir Yufit, Ela Strauss, Tania Ripenbein, Inna Shechtman, Svetlana Menkin, and Emanuel Peled

*Abstract—***Autonomous MEMS require similarly miniaturized power sources. In this paper, we present the first working three-dimensional (3-D) rechargeable Li-ion thin-film microbattery technology that is compatible with MEMS requirements. The technology has been developed, and full 3-D cells have been manufactured on both glass and silicon substrates. Our 3-D microbatteries have a sandwich-like structure of conformal thin-film electrodes, electrolyte and current collectors. The films are deposited sequentially on all available surfaces of a perforated substrate (e.g., silicon or a glass microchannel plate or "MCP") using wet chemistry. The substrate has thousands of high-aspect ratio holes per square cm, thereby providing more than an order of magnitude increase in surface area per given footprint (original 2-D substrate area). The full 3-D cell consists of a Ni cathode current** collector, a MoO_vS_z cathode, a hybrid polymer electrolyte (HPE) **and a lithiated graphite anode that also serves as anode current** collector. One 3-D cell with a roughly $1-\mu$ m-thick cathode ran at **C/10 to 2C charge/discharge rates and room temperature for 200 cycles with 0.2% per cycle capacity loss and about 100% Faradaic** efficiency. The cell exhibited a capacity of $2 \text{ mA} \cdot \text{h/cm}^2$, about **30 times higher than the capacity of a similarly built planar (2-D) cell with the same footprint and same cathode thickness. [1461]**

*Index Terms—***Li-ion 3-D thin-film microbattery, lithium 3-D thin-film microbattery, MEMS, microchannel plate.**

I. INTRODUCTION

T HE miniaturization of electrical, mechanical, and optical systems using MEMS technologies has provided systems and devices with a total volume on the order of at most a few tens of mm^3 . The recognition that such miniaturized systems and devices need similarly miniaturized power sources has led to a quest for true three-dimensional (3–D) microbatteries (MBs). A recent review of the field [\[1](#page-5-0)] presents a wide array of ideas for various architectures and technologies, but states that the construction of an operational, fully 3-D microbattery "has yet to be achieved." In fact, a realistic technology of such a microbattery based on conformal thin-film structures that follow a 3-D surface was suggested already in 1998 [\[2](#page-5-0)], and its development has continued since [[3\]](#page-5-0)–[\[8](#page-5-0)], [\[20](#page-5-0)], culminating in the fabrication of working prototypes, one of which is described in de-

Manuscript received November 12, 2004; revised March 3, 2005. The financial support for this project was provided by RAMOT—Tel Aviv University Authority for Applied Research and Industrial Development, Ltd. Subject Editor O. Tabata.

M. Nathan, V. Yufit, and T. Ripenbein are with the Department of Physical Electronics, School of Electrical Engineering, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 69978, Israel (e-mail: nathan@eng.tau.ac.il).

D. Golodnitsky is with the School of Chemistry and Wolfson Applied Materials Research Center, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 69978, Israel.

E. Strauss, I. Shechtman, S. Menkin, and E. Peled are with the School of Chemistry, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 69978, Israel.

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/JMEMS.2005.851860

tail in this paper. The thin-film aspect is important, because it provides distinct advantages over conventional bulk MB's [\[9](#page-5-0)], [\[10](#page-5-0)], which essentially miniaturize the geometry of standard batteries. The correct frame of reference for comparison of our 3-D thin-film MB's are planar (2-D) thin-film batteries, best represented by the Li and Li-ion technology developed at Oak Ridge National Laboratories (ONRL) by Bates and coworkers [\[11](#page-5-0)]. 2-D thin-film batteries cannot be considered "microbatteries" since they require relatively large footprints of at least a few cm^2 in order to provide reasonable capacity and energy.

The basic proposition behind the architecture of our 3-D microbatteries is that the main disadvantage (i.e., large required footprint) of planar 2-D thin-film batteries can be eliminated. The capacity of such batteries is limited by the active cathode volume, given by the footprint area multiplied by the cathode thickness. In the best case, the cathode may be 5 μ m thick, a more typical maximum thickness being $2 \mu m$ [\[1](#page-5-0)]. The maximum expected energy density for a thin-film system is $\sim 2 \text{ J mm}^{-3}$ or 0.56 mWh mm^{-3} (560 mWh/ml) [\[1\]](#page-5-0). In terms of capacity, a recent experimental study of commercial thin-film batteries [\[12](#page-5-0)] with a footprint of 3 cm^2 found that they have a capacity of 0.4 mAh (i.e. 0.133 mAh/ cm^2). If one could increase the active cathode area for the same substrate footprint, one could increase the energy density and capacity. As disclosed in [[2\]](#page-5-0) and shown in Fig. 1(a), a significant gain in geometrical area for film deposition (and therefore cathode volume) can be achieved by having a perforated substrate instead of a full substrate. The perforations may have any shape. We refer to them as "through-holes." The area gain (AG) depends on the number of holes [or "microchannels" in a MCP, see Fig. 1(b)] and on the aspect ratio (height/diameter) of these holes, and, for circular holes, may be estimated from the following equation:

$$
AG = \frac{\pi d}{(d+s)^2} \left(t - \frac{d}{2} \right) + 2 \tag{1}
$$

where d is the microchannel diameter (μm) , s is the interchannel spacing (μm) , and t is the substrate thickness (μm) . Equation (1) reflects the gain in exposed areas due to the added internal cylindrical envelope sidewalls minus the lost top and bottom cylinder "caps," per footprint unit area. For example, for a substrate with $d = 50 \,\mu\mathrm{m}, s = 10 \,\mu\mathrm{m}$ and $t = 500 \,\mu\mathrm{m}$, the active surface area gain is about 23. A more exact mathematical model that looks at the "tiling" of the footprint area with various hole geometries predicts a slightly larger value of AG (by about 10%), and indicates that a geometry of hexagonal holes separated by constant thickness walls [as in the MCP shown in Fig. 3(a)] is close to optimal. Clearly, if one takes advantage of all exposed surfaces in such

Fig. 1. (a) Schematic description of a 3-D thin-film battery on a perforated substrate, illustrating the cross-section area gain. (b) Schematic view of an MCP substrate.

Fig. 2. (a) Schematic isometric view of the 3-D thin-film microbattery. (b) Cross-section through a row of holes.

a perforated substrate, one can make a battery with much larger specific performance parameters (e.g., capacity and energy) on the same original substrate footprint.

II. EXPERIMENTAL

We developed and characterized 3-D-MCP-based microbatteries comprising a nickel cathode current collector, a nominally $MoS₂$ cathode, a Hybrid Polymer Electrolyte (HPE) and a lithiated graphite anode that also served as anode current collector. The MCP substrate was a 13 mm diameter, 0.5 mm thick disc, with 50 μ m diameter hexagonal microchannels separated by

 $10-\mu m$ walls, and was chosen for the perfection and smoothness of its microchannels. The electrode area of the active cell was 0.2 cm^2 . Similar microbatteries were made on perforated silicon, with through-holes formed by inductive coupled plasma etching. All layers except the anode were thin conformal films, formed such that they continuously followed the contour of all available surfaces. A schematic isometric view of our MB is shown in Fig. 2(a), and a cross-section through a row of holes is shown in Fig. 2(b). Every layer is continuous and interconnected between all holes through both top and bottom surfaces. The anode fills in the center hole left in each microchannel after the formation of the other layers. Note that the smallest unit that

Fig. 3. (a) Top SEM view of the Ni-plated MCP substrate. (b) Cross-sectional SEM view of the Ni layer deposited on the MCP substrate.

Fig. 4. SEM image of the Ni-plated MCP substrate tilted at 45°.

can in principle serve as an independent MB is 50 μ m in diameter and 500 μ m long.

The batteries were cycled at room temperature in a Maccor series 2000 battery test system. Scanning electron and optical microscopies were used to characterize the morphology, and various techniques were used to characterize the structure and chemistry of the layers. Following is a short description of each layer, its formation process and its properties.

A. Ni Current Collector

An electroless method, which is known to provide conformal coatings, was used to deposit the nickel current collector on all available surfaces of an MCP substrate. The MCP was made of soda-lime glass, with a composition as follows (w/w%): $SiO₂$ (72.8) , Na₂O (13.7) , CaO (8.8) , MgO (4.0) , Fe₂O₃ (0.12) , Al_2O_3 (0.1). Prior to Ni plating, the substrate surface was treated using conventional methods [[13\]](#page-5-0), [\[14](#page-5-0)] by sequential soaking and degreasing in a detergent solution and ethanol, in an ultrasonic bath at room temperature. This was followed by immersion of the MCP in boiling cyclohexane and concentrated (98%) sulfuric acid. The thoroughly degreased surface was etched in the 1:4 mixture of hydrofluoric (40%) and sulfuric (98%) acids. The conventional electroless deposition of nickel involves several sequential stages that include sensitization, activation and nickel reduction [[15\]](#page-5-0), [\[16](#page-5-0)]. Figs. 3 and 4 show planar (3a), cross-sectional (3b), and tilted (4) SEM views of the MCP with a deposited nickel current collector. The electroless process yields a uniform $2-4 \mu$ m-thick nickel layer both inside the microchannels and on the flat areas. We obtained conformal and highly adherent deposits of the nickel current collector with complete coverage of the microchannels. After thorough washing with deionized water, the Ni-plated MCP was subjected to electrochemical cathode deposition.

B. Cathode

An inexpensive and relatively simple electrodeposition method was developed for preparation of low-cost and low-toxicity molybdenum sulfide thin cathode layers. Electrodeposition was carried out in the electrolytic bath containing tetrathiomolybdate $(MoS₄²⁻)$ anions as the electroactive species. The bath was prepared by mixing of aqueous solutions of Na_2S and Na_2MoO_4 and by adjusting the pH to 7.5–8.0 through addition of HCl or KH_2PO_4 . A special flow system was constructed in order to ensure conformal deposition inside the high aspect ratio channels. The Ni-coated perforated sample was placed between two graphite plates acting as counter electrodes. The cell was connected to the reservoir of electrolytic bath via a peristaltic pump that provided a constant flow rate of 0.4 L/min. A thin-film molybdenum sulfide layer was obtained from the electroreduction of tetrathiomolybdate anions by applying a negative constant potential/current to the Ni-coated MCP. The process followed the reaction:

$$
M_0S_4^{2-} + 2H_2O + 2e^- \rightarrow M_0S_2 + 2HS^- + 2OH^-.
$$

A highly adherent, homogeneous, compact film about 1 μ m thick could be deposited on the nickel-coated glass substrate by pulse current deposition [\[5](#page-5-0)]. The structure of the cathode material inside the hole was identical with that on flat areas (Fig. 5). Details on the structure and composition of the cathode film are given elsewhere [\[3](#page-5-0)], [\[5](#page-5-0)].

As reported in more detail in [\[3](#page-5-0)] and [[5\]](#page-5-0), an EDS analysis indicated a large atomic surface concentration of oxygen, while powder XRD patterns indicated that the electrodeposited film were mainly amorphous. High-resolution XPS measurements showed that the cathode surface included mainly molybdenum oxides, while its bulk had similar concentrations of Mo-S and Mo-O bond-containing compounds. TOF-SIMS spectra showed the presence of polyion clusters containing both oxygen and sulfur (e.g. MoOS, MoO_2S and MoS_2O), indicating formation of molybdenum oxysulfide during electrodeposition. In agreement with the XPS data, the concentration of sulfur containing fragments, calculated from the TOF-SIMS spectra, increased with sputtering time. For example, after 11 minutes of sputtering that penetrated into a depth of close to 100 nm, the predominant species were $MoS₂$ and $MoO₂S$ [[5\]](#page-5-0).

C. Polymer Electrolyte Membrane

We chose a commercially available PVDF-2801 copolymer (Kynar) to serve as a hybrid polymer electrolyte (HPE). $SiO₂$

Fig. 5. Cross-sectional SEM view of the MCP coated by nickel current collector and molybdenum oxysulfide cathode at different magnifications.

Fig. 6. SEM micrograph of the polymer electrolyte membrane.

Fig. 7. SEM micrograph of the PVDF-Si O_2 membrane on the MCP at different magnifications.

(Aerosil 130) was added to the polymer matrix to enhance the ionic conductivity and electrolyte uptake. A slurry was made out of these components. The thickness of the membrane and its morphology depended on the percent of solids in the casting slurry, and the type of solvent and pore former. The homogeneous polymer membrane is shown in Fig. 6. A few sequential spin-coating and vacuum pulling steps were employed to insert the membrane slurry into the microchannels. The SEM image in Fig. 7 shows the conformal coating of the microchannels by the polymer electrolyte membrane.

D. Anode

We chose MCMB 6–28 (mesocarbon microbeads, Osaka Gas Corporation) as the anode lithium intercalation host material. The MCMB was dried at 200 $\rm ^{\circ}C$ for about 8 h. An anode slurry was prepared by mixing the appropriate amounts of MCMB, polymer binder and a solvent. As with the HPE membrane, consecutive spin-coating and vacuum steps were used to fill the remaining cylindrical cavities of the microchannels with the anode material. Fig. 8 shows a cross-sectional SEM micrograph of the 3-D-MCP cell.

The 3-D-MCP-coated structure was soaked in 1M $LiPF_6$ 1EC:1DEC or 1M $LiBF₄$ 1 EC: 9 DEC electrolyte under vacuum to ensure complete wetting of the materials. A lithium foil was placed on the top of the graphite to provide lithium intercalation during the 10 hours rest time of the cell before the first cycle. The final product—a MCP substrate with channels coated by nickel current collector, molybdenum oxysulfide cathode, polymer membrane and anode—was assembled in a 2033 button cell for testing in the Maccor tester. Its ohmic resistance was about 25 Ω .

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Battery Testing

3-D-MCP microbattery cells were tested at room temperature at 200 to 1000 μ A/cm². The voltage cutoff was 1.3 to 2.2 V. Similarly prepared planar (2-D) microbatteries with identical layer structures were tested for comparison under the same operating conditions.

Fig. 9 shows charge/discharge curves of the 3-D-MCP cell. The sloping character of the curves is similar to that of planar cells and typical of lithium insertion/de-insertion into a singlephase host material according to the reaction

$$
MoO_vS_z + xLi^+ + xe \rightarrow Li_xMoO_vS_z
$$

Fig. 10 shows discharge curves after 2 and 19 cycles at 0.2 and 1.0 mA/cm^2 . The discharge profile remained almost unchanged upon prolonged cycling. Fig. 10 shows however that there was a significant discharge overpotential when the rate increased by a factor of 5 (curve 2). The first-cycle utilization of the cathode-active material approached 100%. Fig. 11(a) shows that the Li-ion/HPE/ $\text{MoO}_{v}\text{S}_{z}$ cell ran for about 200 successive cycles with 0.2% per cycle capacity loss and almost 100% Faradaic efficiency. As reported previously [[5\]](#page-5-0), the surface of the electrodeposited cathode is highly oxidized. This

Fig. 8. Top view SEM micrograph of the full 3-D-MCP cell filled with the graphite anode. The polishing needed to remove the top surface causes smudging artifacts on the glass inter-cavity walls.

Fig. 10. Curves of the 3-D-MCP cell at different current densities. Curve 1: $i_d = 0.2 \text{ mA/cm}^2$. Curve 2: $i_d = 1.0 \text{ mA/cm}^2$.

results from the high surface area of the nanosize molybdenum oxysulfide and its increased sensitivity to the environment. The surface oxide layer increases the open cell voltage (OCV) of the Li cell and causes some irreversible processes like oxide reduction to occur during the first few charge/discharge cycles. Consequently, the discharge capacity loss is more pronounced

Fig. 11. (a) Cycle life of the 3-D-MCP cell. (b) Comparison of the capacity between the 3-D MB and a 2-D thin-film battery fabricated in parallel.

in the initial cycling. The surface oxides, however, do not affect the degradation rate of the battery upon prolonged cycling. The cell retained 60% of its capacity after 200 100% DOD cycles. Fig. 12 shows that a discharge current density increase was followed by a capacity drop. The capacity value was restored immediately upon decreasing the discharge rate.

Fig. 12. Plot of charge/discharge capacities versus cycle number of the 3-D-MCP cell.

In parallel, 2-D planar MoO_yS_z/Li -ion cells were designed and electrochemically tested for comparison purposes. The various layers (Ni current collector, cathode, HPE and anode) were deposited on a flat substrate to the same thickness and under the same conditions as the 3-D MB's. A 3-D cell on MCP exhibited 20 to 30 times higher capacity than that of a planar 2-D thin-film cell with the same footprint. The capacity gain correlated completely with the geometrical area gain of the MCP, see Fig. 11(b). The reversible 2.0 mAh/cm² battery capacity seen in Fig. 9 and Fig. 11(b) is about 15 times (1500%) higher than the 0.133 mAh/cm² capacity reported for the best commercial 2-D thin-film batteries in [12]. Note that the cathode thickness in those batteries is probably greater than $1 \mu m$, which means that the factor of 15 is an underestimate of the true 3-D gain. Our results may also be compared with the reported 80% gain in capacity (to 0.125 mA/cm^2) provided by carbon micropillar architectures [17], MB's based on which will bear the closest resemblance to our own.

With a cathode thickness of 3 μ m, our microbatteries will have a projected geometrical energy density (per cm^2 of substrate footprint) of 10.5 mWh/cm^2 , vs. a maximum of about 1 mWh/cm^2 attainable in 2-D thin-film batteries [18]. In other words, our 3-D thin-film MBs are realistically expected to provide an order of magnitude higher geometric energy density than the best existing 2-D microbatteries. Furthermore, we expect our 3-D thin-film MBs with a modified cathode to outperform all 2-D thin-film MB technologies as well as proposed alternative 3-D microbatteries [1] in terms of power, energy and rate characteristics.

IV. CONCLUSION

The technology described in this paper represents the first working 3-D rechargeable Li-ion thin-film microbattery technology. The development process had to solve complicated technical barriers, such as insertion and deposition of a sandwich of thin-films in long (500 μ m) and narrow ($< 50 \,\mu$ m) channels, as well as on the two remaining flat top and bottom surfaces of the perforated substrate. Full-cell 3-D thin-film microbatteries were fabricated for the first time on multichannel plate substrates.

The capacity gain of the 3-D thin-film microbattery formed on an MCP substrate correlates extremely well with the geometrical area gain provided by the microchannels, which can reach 25–30. The most likely use of these types of batteries will be in autonomous MEMS systems that require a battery footprint of less than 3×3 mm². Based on the results on the first prototype, as well as realistic assumptions of thicker and higher voltage cathodes, we predict that a 10 $mm²$ footprint 3-D microbattery formed in a 0.5-mm-thick substrate will provide up to 1 mWh, enough for many projected autonomous MEMS uses, for example in smart drug delivery [19] and other miniaturized implantable devices.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. W. Long, B. Dunn, D. R. Rolison, and H. S. White, "Three-dimensional battery architectures," *Chem. Rev.*, vol. 104, no. 10, pp. 4463–4492, Aug. 2004.
- [2] M. Nathan, D. Haronian, and E. Peled, "Micro Electrochemical Energy Storage Cells," U.S. Patent no. 6 197 450, Mar. 2001.
- [3] V. Yufit, M. Nathan, D. Golodnitsky, and E. Peled, *J. Power Sources*, vol. 122, pp. 169–173, July 2003.
- [4] V. Yufit, K. Freedman, M. Nathan, L. Burstein, D. Golodnitsky, and E. Peled, "Thin-film iron sulfide cathodes for lithium and Li-ion/polymer electrolyte microbatteries," *Electrochimica Acta*, vol. 50/2–50/3, pp. 417–420, 2004.
- [5] D. Golodnitsky, V. Yufit, M. Nathan, I. Shechtman, T. Ripenbein, E. Strauss, S. Menkin, and E. Peled, "Advanced materials for three-dimensional (3-D) thin-film microbatteries," *J. Power Sources*, 2005.
- [6] M. Nathan, E. Peled, D. Golodnitsky, V. Yufit, Y. Lavi, M. Kastner, and E. Sverdlov, "Development of lithium and lithium-ion on-chip microbattery," in *ABS-ECS Annual Meeting*, San Francisco, CA, 2001, Abstract no. 293.
- [7] V. Yufit, M. Nathan, E. Peled, D. Golodnitsky, Y. Lavi, and E. Sverdlov, "High capacity 3-D on-chip microbattery," in *Meeting of the Electrochemical Society*, Salt Lake City, UT, Oct. 2002, Abstract no. 210.
- [8] D. Golodnitsky, V. Yufit, M. Nathan, I. Shechtman, T. Ripenbein, E. Strauss, S. Menkin, and E. Peled, "Advanced materials for three-dimensional thin-film microbatteries," in *Lithium Battery Meeting*, Graz, Austria, Apr. 2004, Abstract no. 11.
- [9] R. Wartena, A. E. Curtright, C. B. Arnold, A. Pique, and K. E. Swider-Lyons, "Li-ion microbatteries generated by a laser direct-write method," *J. Power Sources*, vol. 126, no. 1–2, pp. 193–202, Feb. 2004.
- [10] P. H. Humble and J. N. Harb, "Optimization of nickel-zinc microbatteries for hybrid powered microsensor system," *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, vol. 150, no. 9, pp. A1182–A1187, Sep. 2003.
- [11] J. B. Bates, N. J. Dudney, B. Neudecker, A. Ueda, and C. D. Evans, "Thin-film lithium and lithium-ion batteries," *Solid State Ionics*, vol. 135, pp. 33–45, Nov. 2000.
- [12] G. Nagasubramanian and D. H. Doughty, "Electrical characterization of all-solid-state thin-film batteries," *J. Power Sources*, vol. 136, pp. 395–400, 2004.
- [13] I. Rodriguez, P. Spicar-Mihalic, C. L. Kuyper, G. S. Fiorini, and D. T. Chiu, "Rapid prototyping of glass microchannels," *Analytica Chimica Acta*, vol. 496, no. 1–2, pp. 205–215, Oct. 2003.
- [14] M. Carbonnier and M. Romand, "Tin-free electroless metallization of glass substrates using different PACVD surface treatment processes," *Surface Coating Technol.*, vol. 162, pp. 19–30, Dec. 2002.
- [15] F. A. Lowenheim, Ed., *Modern Electroplating*, 3rd ed: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1974.
- [16] G. O. Mallory and J. B. Hajdu, Eds., *Electroless Plating Fundamentals and Applications*. New York: Noyes William Andrew, 1990.
- [17] J. B. Wang, L. Taherabadi, G. Jia, M. Madou, Y. Yeh, and B. Dunn, "C-MEMS for the manufacturing of 3-D microbatteries," *Electrochemical and Solid State Letters*, vol. 7, no. 11, pp. A435–A438, 2004.
- [18] J. L. Souquet and M. Duclot, "Thin-film lithium batteries," *Solid State Ionics*, vol. 148, pp. 375–379, Jun. 2002.
- [19] D. A. LaVan, T. McGuire, and R. Langer, "Small scale systems for in-vivo drug delivery," *Nature Biotechnol.*, vol. 21, pp. 1184–1191, Oct. 2003.
- [20] D. Golodnitsky, V. Yufit, M. Nathan, and E. Peled, "Development of 3-D microbattery—progress report," in *204th ECS Meeting*, Orlando, FL, Oct. 2003, Abstract no. 1278.

Menachem Nathan received the Ph.D. degree from Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, in 1979.

Currently, he is Head of the Department of Physical Electronics, School of Electrical Engineering, Tel Aviv University, Israel. Before joining Tel Aviv University, he held a Postdoctoral position at the IBM Research Labs, Yorktown Heights, NY (1979–1981), and was a Senior Scientist at Martin Marietta Laboratories in Baltimore, MD (1981–1988). His present research interests include 3-D microbatteries, MEMS and MOEMS with

applications to bio- and chemo-sensors and inertial devices, photonic crystal displacement sensors, soft lithography of submicron optical elements, and modeling and simulations in materials science. He has published about 80 papers in refereed journals, and holds 18 patents and patent applications in a wide variety of subjects, including a basic U.S. and European patent on the 3-D thin-film microbattery technology.

Diana Golodnitsky received the Ph.D. degree in electrochemistry from the State Technological University, Kazan, Russia, in 1984.

She currently holds an Associate Professor position at School of Chemistry and Wolfson Applied Materials Research Center of Tel Aviv University, Israel. Before joining TAU, she was engaged in R&D of materials, electrodeposition and electroless technologies for Aircraft industry at Research Institute of Aircraft Technology, Kazan (1974–1991). Her present scientific interests focus on electrochemistry

of lithium and lithium-ion batteries, including 3-D-micro- and nano-structures; characterization of innovative materials for advanced energy technologies using SS-NMR, XRD, SEM, EDX, XPS, TOF SIMS, DSC, and TGA methods. She has coauthored 63 papers, two book chapters, and about 100 conference publications and holds a number of patents. She is an active referee of seven scientific journals, including the *Journal of the Electrochemical Society*, *Electrochimica Acta*, and *Solid State Ionics*.

Vladimir Yufit received the B.Sc. and M.Sc. degrees in chemistry from Tel-Aviv University, Israel, in 1998 and 2002, respectively. He is currently working towards the Ph.D. degree in physical electronics in the School of Electrical Engineering at TAU.

His research interests include thin-film deposition, electrodeposition, microfabrication, materials characterization, ion-conducting polymers, graphite intercalation compounds, and 3-D-microbatteries for powering microelectronic and MEMS devices.

Ela Strauss received the Ph.D. degree in chemistry from Tel Aviv University (TAU), Israel, in 2000.

She is currently a Postdoctoral Fellow at TAU, working on the development of 3-D lithium ion microbatteries. Before joining this project she held a postdoctoral position in the physics department (biophysics) at Hunter College, CUNY, NY, where her research dealt with the investigation and characterization of direct electron transfer via protein/enzyme-immobilized nanoscale electrodes using a scanning probe microscope equipped with

electrochemistry capabilities. Her current research interests lie in interdisciplinary research at the interface between electrochemistry, physics, and biology. She is interested in particular in the application of electrochemistry for the development and investigation of microchemo- and biosensors, micro- and nanoelectrodes, ion mass transport in polymer electrolytes, and electrochemistry of lithium ion and lithium batteries.

Tania Ripenbein received the B.Sc. degree in chemistry in 1998 and the M.Sc. degree (with high honors) in electrochemistry from Tel-Aviv University (TAU), Tel-Aviv, Israel, in 2003. Her research interests included the development of composite nanometric Pt and Pt alloys catalysts for DMFC. At present, she is working towards the Ph.D. degree in physical electronics in the School of Electrical Engineering and TAU, where her research is focused on the development of the novel technological processes and preparation of Li-ion 3-D-on-Si microbatteries.

Inna Shechtman received the B.Sc. degree in chemical engineering from the Technological University of Krasnodar, Russia, in 1994 and the M.Sc. degree in chemical engineering from Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Beer-Sheva, Israel, in 2000. Her specialization is in polymer engineering. During her M.Sc. research, she was involved in investigations of polymer microstructures and gelation studies of polysaccharide ethers.

Her current research focuses on development of thin polymer membranes with good elastic and mechanical properties for lithium ion and lithium 3-D microbatteries.

Svetlana Menkin is working towards the B.Sc. degree in chemistry from Tel-Aviv University, Tel-Aviv, Israel. She is currently a Research Assistant working on the development of 3-D lithium ion microbatteries for MEMS.

Emanuel Peled received the Ph.D degree (*summa cum laude*) in physical chemistry from the Hebrew University, Jerusalem, Israel, in 1972.

Currently, he is Professor of Chemistry at Tel-Aviv University, Israel. He spent a sabbatical year with GTE in 1978, and in summer 1986, he was a Distinguished Visiting Scientist at Jet Propulsion Laboratories, California Institute of Technology (CalTech), Pasadena. The 1993 winner of the Electrochemical Society Battery Division Research Award and the Landau research Award, Prof. Peled

has published over 125 papers and he holds 30 patents and patents pending. Involved in both basic and applied research, has many interests including the electrochemistry of active metals and lithium alloys in nonaqueous solutions, polymer electrolytes, batteries, fuel cells and membranes. He was involved in the development of several battery systems including lithium-polymer electrolyte pyrite, lithium and calcium-thionyl chloride, lithium-sulfur, thermal and 3-D on a silicon chip lithium ion microbattery. He is the developer of the Solid Electrolyte Interphase (SEI) model for active metal nonaqueous batteries. High power direct methanol and direct ethylene glycol fuel cells having world record power were recently developed in his group.