The Evolution of DSP Processors

Presented to:
CS152, University of California at Berkeley

November 14, 1997

Jeff Bier
Berkeley Design Technology, Inc.
www.bdti.com
bier@bdti.com
(510) 665-1600

Outline

- DSP applications
- Digital filtering as a motivating problem
- The first generation of DSPs, with an example
- Comparison of DSP processors to general-purpose processors
- DSP evolution continues... later-generation DSPs and alternatives
- Conclusions

Who Cares?

- DSP is a key enabling technology for many types of electronic products
- DSP-intensive tasks are the performance bottleneck in many computer applications today
- Computational demands of DSP-intensive tasks are increasing very rapidly
- In many embedded applications, general-purpose microprocessors are not competitive with DSP-oriented processors today
- 1997 market for DSP processors: $3 billion

Example DSP Applications

- Digital cellular phones
- Automated inspection
- Vehicle collision avoidance
- Voice over Internet
- Motor control
- Consumer audio
- Voice mail
- Navigation equipment
- Audio production
- Videoconferencing
- Pagers
- Music synthesis, effects
- Satellite communications
- Seismic analysis
- Secure communications
- Tapeless answering machines
- Sonar
- Cordless phones
- Digital cameras
- Modems (POTS, ISDN, cable,...)
- Noise cancellation
- Medical ultrasound
- Patient monitoring
- Radar

Today's DSP "Killer Apps"

In terms of dollar volume, the biggest markets for DSP processors today include:

- **Digital cellular telephony**
- **Pagers and other wireless systems**
- **Modems**
- **Disk drive servo control**

  - **Most demand good performance**
  - **All demand low cost**
  - **Many demand high energy efficiency**

Trends are towards better support for these (and similar) major applications.

DSP Tasks for Microprocessors

- Speech and audio compression
- Filtering
- Modulation and demodulation
- Error correction coding and decoding
- Servo control
- Audio processing (e.g., surround sound, noise reduction, equalization, sample rate conversion, echo cancellation)
- Signaling (e.g., DTMF detection)
- Speech recognition
- Signal synthesis (e.g., music, speech synthesis)

What Do DSP Processors Need to Do Well?

Most DSP tasks require:

- **Repetitive numeric computations**
- **Attention to numeric fidelity**
- **High memory bandwidth, mostly via array accesses**
- **Real-time processing**

Processors must perform these tasks efficiently while minimizing:

- **Cost**
- **Power**
- **Memory use**
- **Development time**

FIR Filtering: A Motivating Problem



Each tap (M+1 taps total) nominally requires:

- **Two data fetches**
- **Multiply**
- **Accumulate**
- **Memory write-back to update delay line**

FIR Filter on Von Neumann Architecture

```
loop:
    mov    *r0,x0
    mov    *r1,y0
    mpy    x0,y0,a
    add    a,b
    mov    y0,*r2
    inc    r0
    inc    r1
    inc    r2
    dec    ctr
    tst    ctr
    jnz    loop
```



Problems: Bus / memory bandwidth bottleneck, control code overhead

First Generation DSP (1982): Texas Instruments TMS32010

- 16-bit fixed-point
- Harvard architecture
- Accumulator
- Specialized instruction set
- 390 ns MAC time (228 ns today)



TMS32010 FIR Filter Code

Here X4, H4, etc. are direct (absolute) memory addresses:

```
LT     X4        ; Load T with x(n-4)
MPY    H4        ; P = H4*X4

LTD    X3        ; Load T with x(n-3); x(n-4) = x(n-3);
                 ; Acc = Acc + P
MPY    H3        ; P = H3*X3

LTD    X2
MPY    H2

etc.
```

- **Two instructions per tap, but requires unrolling**

Features Common to Most DSP Processors

- Data path configured for DSP
- Specialized instruction set
- Multiple memory banks and buses
- Specialized addressing modes
- Specialized execution control
- Specialized peripherals for DSP

Data Path

DSP Processor

- Specialized hardware performs all key arithmetic operations in 1 cycle.
- Hardware support for managing numeric fidelity:
  - Shifters
  - Guard bits
  - Saturation

General-Purpose Processor

- Multiplies often take >1 cycle
- Shifts often take >1 cycle
- Other operations (e.g., saturation, rounding) typically take multiple cycles

Instruction Set

DSP Processor

- Specialized, complex instructions
- Multiple operations per instruction

```
mac x0,y0,a  x:(r0)+,x0  y:(r4)+,y0
```

General-Purpose Processor

- General-purpose instructions
- Typically only one operation per instruction

```
mov *r0,x0
mov *r1,y0
mpy x0,y0,a
add a,b
mov y0,*r2
inc r0
inc r1
```

Memory Architecture

DSP Processor

- Harvard architecture
- 2-4 memory accesses/ cycle
- No caches—on-chip SRAM

General-Purpose Processor

- Von Neumann architecture
- Typically 1 access/ cycle
- May use caches





Addressing

DSP Processor

- Dedicated address generation units
- Specialized addressing modes; e.g.:
  - Autoincrement
  - Modulo (circular)
  - Bit-reversed (for FFT)
- Good immediate data support

General-Purpose Processor

- Often, no separate address generation unit
- General-purpose addressing modes

Execution Control

- Hardware support for fast looping
- “Fast interrupts” for I/O handling
- Real-time debugging support

Specialized Peripherals for DSPs

- Synchronous serial ports
- Parallel ports
- Timers
- On-chip A/D, D/A converters
- Host ports
- Bit I/O ports
- On-chip DMA controller
- Clock generators

- On-chip peripherals often designed for “background” operation, even when core is powered down.

Second Generation DSPs (1987)
Example: Motorola DSP56001

- 24-bit data, instructions
- 3 memory spaces (X, Y, P)
- Parallel moves
- Single- and multi-instruction hardware loops
- Modulo addressing
- 75 ns MAC (21 ns today)




```
move   #Xaddr,r0
move   #Haddr,r4
rep    #Ntaps
mac    x0,y0,a   x:(r0)+,x0   y:(r4)+,y0
```

- Other second-generation processors: AT&T DSP16A, Analog Devices ADSP-2100, Texas Instruments TMS320C50

Low-Cost General-Purpose Processor vs. Low-Cost DSP



Third Generation DSPs (1995)
Examples: Motorola DSP56301, TI TMS320C541

- Enhanced conventional DSP architectures
- 3.0 or 3.3 volts
- More on-chip memory
- Application-specific function units in data path or as co-processors
- More sophisticated debugging and application development tools
- DSP cores (Pine and Oak from DSP Group, cDSP from TI)
- 20 ns MAC (10 ns today)

Architectural innovation mostly limited to adding application-specific function units and miscellaneous minor refinements.

- Also, multiple processors/chip (TI TMS320C80, Motorola MC68356)

Fourth Generation (1997-1998)
Examples: TI TMS320C6201, Intel Pentium with MMX

Today's top DSP performers adopt architectures far different from conventional DSP processor designs.

- **Blazing clock speeds and superscalar architectures make some general-purpose processors, such as the PowerPC 604e, top floating-point performers, *despite lack of many DSP features***
- **Multimedia SIMD extensions, such as MMX, offer strong fixed-point performance on general-purpose processors**
- ***But strong DSP tools for general-purpose processors are lacking***
- **VLIW-like architectures, such as that of the TI TMS320C6201, achieve top performance via high parallelism and increased clock speeds**
- **3 ns MAC throughput... but expensive, power-hungry**

VLIW

Very long instruction word (VLIW) architectures are garnering increased attention for DSP applications.

Notable recent introductions include Texas Instruments' TMS320C62xx and Philips' TM1000.



Major features:

- **Multiple independent operations per cycle**
  - **Packed into a single large "instruction" or "packet"**
- **More regular, orthogonal, RISC-like operations**
- **Large, uniform register sets**

VLIW

Advantages:

- **Increased performance**
- **More regular architectures**
  - **Potentially easier to program; better compiler targets**
- **Scalable?**

Disadvantages:

- **New kinds of programming/compiler complexity**
- **Code size bloat**
  - **High program memory bandwidth requirements**
- **High power consumption**

General-Purpose Processors are Catching Up

“Go where the cycles are...”

General-purpose processors are increasingly adding DSP capabilities via a variety of mechanisms:

- **Add single-instruction, multiple-data instruction set extensions (e.g., MMX Pentium)**
- **Integrate a fixed-point DSP processor-like data path and related resources with an existing μC/μP core (e.g. Hitachi SH-DSP)**
- **Add a DSP co-processor to an existing μC/μP core (e.g., ARM Piccolo)**
- **Create an all-new, hybrid architecture (e.g., Siemens TriCore)**

The General-Purpose Processor Threat

High-performance general-purpose processors for PCs and workstations are increasingly suitable for some DSP applications.

- **E.g., Intel MMX Pentium, Motorola/IBM PowerPC 604e**

These processors achieve excellent to outstanding floating- and/or fixed-point DSP performance via:

- **Very high clock rates (200-500 MHz)**
- **Superscalar ("multi-issue") architectures**
- **Single-cycle multiplication and arithmetic ops.**
- **Good memory bandwidth**
- **Branch prediction**
- **In some cases, single-instruction, multiple-data (SIMD) ops.**



High-Performance General-Purpose Processors



Advantages:

- Strong DSP performance
- Already present in PCs
- Strong tool support for the major processors
- Cost-performance can rival that of floating-point DSPs

Disadvantages:

- Lack of execution timing predictability
- Difficulty of developing optimized DSP code
- Limited DSP-oriented tool support
- High power consumption
- Cost-performance does not approach that of fixed-point DSPs

Real-Time Suitability

The most important DSP applications are real-time applications.

- **Many of these are "hard real-time" applications: failure to meet a real-time deadline creates a serious malfunction.**

High-performance GPPs make heavy use of dynamic features:

- **Caches, branch prediction, dynamic superscalar execution, data-dependent instruction execution times, etc.**

These features result in timing behavior that appears to be stochastic.

- **This seriously complicates development of DSP applications.**

PC applications are further complicated by the lack of real-time support in PC operating systems.

Example of Optimization Challenge

Vector addition on PowerPC 604e:

```
@vec_add_loop:
 lfsu fpTemp1,4(rAAddr)        # Load A data, ptr. update
 lfsu fpTemp2,4(rBAddr)        # Load B data, ptr. update
 fadds fpSum,fpTemp1,fpTemp2   # Perform add operation
 stfsu fpSum,4(rCAddr)         # Store sum, ptr. update
bdnz @vec_add_loop             # loop
```

Q: How many instruction cycles per iteration?

TMS320C6201 FIR Filter Inner Loop

```
LOOP:

        ADD     .L1  A0,A3,A0    ; Sum0 += P0
||      ADD     .L2  B1,B7,B1    ; Sum1 += P1
||      MPYHL   .M1X  A2,B2,A3   ; P0 = h(i)*s(i)
||      MPYLH   .M2X  A2,B2,B7   ; P1 = h(i+1)*s(i+1)
||      LDW     .D2  *B4++,B2    ; h(i) & h(i+1)
||      LDW     .D1  *A7--,A2    ; s(i) & s(i+1)
||[B0]  ADD     .S2  -1,B0,B0    ; Cond. dec loop counter
||[B0]  B       .S1  LOOP        ; Cond. Branch to LOOP
```

Latencies:

- **Multiply: 2 cycles; load: 5 cycles; branch: 6 cycles**

Predicated execution for all instructions.

Throughput: 2 16-bit MACs/cycle

MMX Pentium FIR Filter Inner Loop

```
loop1:
   pmaddwd mm0, COEFaddr[edi]     4 MADs (reg, mem)
   paddd   mm7, mm2               Complete earlier accum.
   pmaddwd mm1, COEFaddr[edi+8]   next 4 MADs
   paddd   mm7, mm3               Complete earlier accum.
   movq    mm2, [esi+16]          Load next 4 data items
   movq    mm3, [esi+24]          Load next 4 data items
   paddd   mm7, mm0               Complete earlier accum.
   pmaddwd mm2, COEFaddr[edi+16]  Again, with feeling
   paddd   mm7, mm1                (unrolled to avoid
   pmaddwd mm3, COEFaddr[edi+24]    load-related stall)
   movq    mm0, [esi+32]
   movq    mm1, [esi+40]
   add     edi, 32                Update coeff. ptr.
   add     esi, 32                Update data ptr.
   dec     ecx                    Decrement loop count.
   jnz     loop1                  Branch to top of loop
```

MMX Pentium FIR Filter Inner Loop

Latencies:

- **Multiply: 3 cycles (not 3 *instructions*)**

Superscalar execution

- **Up to two instructions/cycle**
- **Can pair one simple MMX instruction with another simple or complex MMX instruction or non-MMX integer instruction**
- **Complicated pairing rules**

Branch prediction

Throughput: ~2 16-bit MACs/cycle

Processor DSP Speed

BDTImarks™



Conclusions

- DSP processor performance has increased by a factor of about 150x over the past 15 years (~40%/year)
- Processor architectures for DSP will be increasingly specialized for applications, especially communications applications
- General-purpose processors will become viable for many DSP applications
- Users of processors for DSP will have an expanding array of choices
- Selecting processors requires a careful, application-specific analysis

For More Information

http://www.bdti.com	**Collection of BDTI's papers on DSP processors, tools, and benchmarking.**
http://www.eg3.com/dsp	**Links to other good DSP sites.**
Microprocessor Report	**For info on newer DSP processors.**
***DSP Processor Fundamentals*, BDTI**	**Textbook on DSP Processors**
IEEE *Spectrum*, July, 1996	**Article on DSP Benchmarks**
***Embedded Systems Prog.* October, 1996**	**Article on Choosing a DSP Processor**
Or, Join BDTI...	**We're hiring (see www.bdti.com)**