Simple but slow: $O(n^2)$ algorithms

**Serial Algorithm**
- **Algorithm**: compares each particle with every other particle and checks for the interaction radius.
- Most comparisons are outside interaction range as shown in figure.

**OpenMP, Pthreads**
- **Algorithm**: simply splits the particles into $p$ equal sized subsets and accesses all non-owned particles in the interaction check.

**MPI Algorithm**
- **Code**: gathers all particles on local node and then compares with local particles.

**Big Idea to go faster**
- **Before implementing parallelism work out best serial algorithm.**
Faster $O(n)$ serial algorithm – “Binning”

Main idea
• Since all far-field interactions are ignored creating a “local neighborhood” through binning can alleviate most of the unnecessary checks since all grey particles can be ignored.

Time complexity
• Checking only neighboring “bins” reduces the checks from $O(n)$ for each particle to $O(9d)$ where $d$ is the average number of particles in each cell (assume bin size $\geq$ cutoff).
• Since it is said within the statement of the problem that density is uniform and we can see in the common files that the domain size increases to maintain a constant density we can consider the $d$ number to be a small constant (In practice $d<3-4$ for bin size $\sim$ cutoff).
• Overall complexity becomes $O(9d \cdot n) = O(n)$.
O(n) serial algorithm – “Binning”

Implementation details

• Particles need to be assigned to bins at every timestep which presents at least two different options:
  1) deleting list and rebinning every timestep (depending on how bins are implemented potentially time-consuming)
  2) maintaining bins and moving particles. (Can create a lot of overhead in checking for new particles being added to your bin – particles may “jump” past neighbor bin)

Common problems

• If particles seem to be accelerating most likely scenario is that interactions are not happening when they should be
• In case of 2nd implementation of binning remember to check for total particle count and ensure no particles are lost in moving
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O(n) Shared memory Implementation

Race conditions
• While the algorithm doesn’t change much from the serial the biggest challenge is avoiding excessive synchronization between threads while ensuring that all threads are on the same step of the algorithm (ex: rebinning, calculating forces, moving particles, etc.) or at least that they are not too far ahead to risk correctness

Common problems
• Dead lock between competing threads for a particular set of bins if locking not implemented carefully (avoid acquiring multiple locks)
• Inaccurate results from race conditions for updating particle acceleration (harder to spot)
• Slower performance than serial because of excessive synchronization
O(n) MPI Implementation

**Implementation options**
- Can implement analogously to shared memory implementation with messages instead of shared variables
- Second implementation splits particles based on location onto processors in addition to bins and must implement proper particle movement between processors

**Common problems**
- Takes much longer to code correctly
- Deadlock problems can occur if implemented with blocking send/receive pairs
- Particles not interacting with neighboring bins from other processors (both N,S,E,W and diagonally)
- Particles **disappearing** at processor borders
- Must deal with ghostzones