
Notes for Lecture 8

In the previous lectures, we have seen that in the reduction from MAX-3-SAT to MAX-3-SAT where each variable occurs a bounded number of times we required the construction of a graph $G(V, E)$ with the following properties:

- There is a constant d such that for every n there is a graph $G(V, E)$, $|V| = n$ with maximum degree d such that $\forall S \subseteq V, |S| \leq \frac{|V|}{2}$ the number of edges with one endpoint in S and one endpoint in $V - S$ is $\geq |S|$.

In addition, we required that $\forall n$ this graph should be efficiently constructed. Note that for our purposes, multigraphs are allowed.

Definition 1 (*Edge-expansion of a graph*) We define the edge-expansion of a graph G :

$$h(G) = \min_{|S| \leq |V|/2} \frac{\text{edges}(S, V - S)}{|S|}$$

In what follows, we consider $G = (V, E)$ to be a given graph and $M \in \mathbb{R}^{V \times V}$ its adjacency matrix, that is

$$M(u, v) := \text{number of edges between } u \text{ and } v \tag{1}$$

Note that M is symmetric.

Definition 2 If $M \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $xM = \lambda x$ then λ is an eigenvalue of M and x is an eigenvector of M .

Example 1 Let M be the adjacency matrix of a d -regular graph. Then $(1, 1, \dots, 1) \cdot M = (d, d, \dots, d) = d(1, 1, \dots, 1)$. Therefore, the vector $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ is an eigenvector of M with corresponding eigenvalue d .

Generally, $xM = \lambda x \Rightarrow x(M - \lambda I) = 0 \Rightarrow \det(M - \lambda I) = 0$. $\det(M - \lambda I)$ is a polynomial in λ over \mathbb{C} of degree n , and it has n roots (counting multiplicities). Therefore, λ is an eigenvalue of M iff it is a root of $\det(M - \lambda I)$ and so, counting multiplicities, M has n eigenvalues.

Theorem 3 If $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is symmetric then the following properties hold:

1. all n eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ are real
2. one can find an orthogonal set of eigenvectors x_1, \dots, x_n such that x_i has corresponding eigenvalue λ_i and $x_i \perp x_j$ for $i \neq j$.

We note that a multiple of an eigenvector is also an eigenvector and therefore we can assume w.l.o.g. that all the x_i have length one.

Lemma 4 Let $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ symmetric. Then $\lambda_1 = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \|x\|=1} \{xMx^T\}$, where $xMx^T = \sum_{i,j} x(i)x(j)M(i,j)$

PROOF:

- (a) Assume $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \cdots \geq \lambda_n$. Then $x_1Mx_1^T = \lambda_1x_1x_1^T = \lambda_1$ therefore, $\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \|x\|=1} \{xMx^T\} \geq \lambda_1$.
- (b) Conversely, let x be any vector of length one, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\|x\| = 1$. Let $x = a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + \cdots + a_nx_n$.

$$\begin{aligned} xMx^T &= \sum_{i,j} x(i)x(j)M(i,j) = \left(\sum_i a_ix_i\right)M\left(\sum_i a_ix_i\right)^T = \\ &= \left(\sum_i \lambda_ia_ix_i\right)\left(\sum_j a_jx_j\right)^T = \sum_i \lambda_ia_i^2 \leq \max_i \lambda_i \sum_i a_i^2 = \lambda_1 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \|x\|=1} \{xMx^T\} \leq \lambda_1$.

□

We can also prove that $\lambda_2 = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \|x\|=1, x \perp x_1} \{xMx^T\}$. For (a) use $x = x_2$, and conclude $\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \|x\|=1, x \perp x_1} \{xMx^T\} \geq \lambda_2$.

For (b) take any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\|x\| = 1, x \perp x_1$.

Let $x = a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + \cdots + a_nx_n$. Then $xMx^T = \sum_{i=2}^n \lambda_ia_i^2 = \lambda_2$.

A similar argument shows that

$$\max\{|\lambda_2|, \dots, |\lambda_n|\} = \max_{x \perp x_1, \|x\|=1} |xMx^T| \quad (2)$$

Theorem 5 Let G be a d -regular graph and M its adjacency matrix. Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ its eigenvalues and x_1, \dots, x_n the corresponding eigenvectors. Then $\lambda_1 = d$.

PROOF: Trivially, $\lambda_1 \geq d$ because d is an eigenvalue for some i .

Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\|x\| = 1, xM = \lambda_1x$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \sum_{u,v} M(u,v)(x(u) - x(v))^2 = 2d \sum_v x(v)^2 - 2 \sum_{u,v} x(u)x(v)M(u,v) \\ &= 2d\|x\|^2 - 2xMx^T = 2d - 2\lambda_1 \Rightarrow d \geq \lambda_1 \end{aligned}$$

Since $d \leq \lambda_1$ and $d \geq \lambda_1$ it follows $d = \lambda_1$. \square

It is helpful to think of the vector x as a labelling of the graph. So far, we have proved that the largest eigenvalue is d . Now we will prove that if the second largest eigenvalue is also equal to d then the graph is disconnected.

To see this fact, choose $x_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ and x_2 another eigenvector orthogonal to x_1 . x_2 should be $(x_2(1), \dots, x_2(n))$ with $\sum_i x_2(i) = 0$. Therefore, some entries should be positive and some others should be negative(*).

$$0 \leq \sum_{u,v} M(u,v)(x_2(u) - x_2(v))^2 = 2d - 2\lambda_2 = 0$$

Therefore, for x_2 any two adjacent vertices must have identical labels and the only way for condition (*) to hold is the graph to be disconnected.

Conversely, if the graph is disconnected then $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = d$ (Exercise).

We now turn the discussion to the edge-expansion of the graph (definition 1). Observe that $h(G) = 0$ iff graph is disconnected, equivalently iff $\lambda_2 = d$. Assume that $\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 > \epsilon$. Then $h(G) > \epsilon'$. In fact,

Theorem 6 $\lambda_2 \geq d - 2h \Rightarrow h \geq \frac{d - \lambda_2}{2}$

PROOF: Let S be the set that achieves $h(G) = \frac{\text{edges}(S, V-S)}{|S|}$

Remember that $\lambda_2 = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \|x\|=1, x \perp x_1} \{xMx^T\}$

Define x' based on S , such that $x' \perp (1, 1, \dots, 1)$.

Prove that $x'Mx'^T \geq (d - 2h) \cdot \|x'\|^2$.

For $x = \frac{x'}{\|x'\|^2}$ we have $xMx^T \geq d - 2h \Rightarrow \lambda_2 \geq d - 2h$. \square

Theorem 7 $h \leq \sqrt{d(d - \lambda_2)} \Rightarrow h^2 \geq d(d - \lambda_2)$.

Before we see the proof of the latest theorem let's consider the solution to our previous exercise.

Assume G is disconnected with S and $V - S$ the two connected components.

Let $p = \frac{|S|}{|V|}$, $q = \frac{|V-S|}{|V|}$. Assign

$$x(v) = \begin{cases} q & \text{if } v \in S \\ -p & \text{if } v \notin S \end{cases}$$

First, observe that $x \perp (1, 1, \dots, 1)$ since $\sum_v x(v) = q \cdot |S| - p \cdot |V - S| = qpn - pqn = 0$.

Second, look at $xM = \underbrace{(dq, dq, \dots, dq)}_{|S|}, \underbrace{(-pd, -pd, \dots, -pd)}_{|V-S|} = dx$.

Therefore, if the graph is disconnected we have $\lambda_2 = d$.

We will see the proof of the latest theorem in the following lecture.