


A MINI-COURSE ON THE PRINCIPLES OF LOW-PRESSURE DISCHARGES AND MATERIALS PROCESSING

Michael A. Lieberman

Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science
University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720



OUTLINE

- Introduction
- Summary of Plasma and Discharge Fundamentals
- Global Model of Discharge Equilibrium
 - Break —
- Inductive Discharges
- Reactive Neutral Balance in Discharges
- Adsorption and Desorption Kinetics
- Plasma-Assisted Etch Kinetics

INTRODUCTION TO PLASMA DISCHARGES AND PROCESSING





THE NANO-ELECTRONICS REVOLUTION

- Transistors/chip doubling every $1\frac{1}{2}$ –2 years since 1959
- 1,000,000-fold decrease in cost for the same performance

EQUIVALENT AUTOMOTIVE ADVANCE

- 4 million km/hr
- 1 million km/liter
- Never break down
- Throw away rather than pay parking fees
- 3 cm long × 1 cm wide
- Crash 3× a day

EVOLUTION OF ETCHING DISCHARGES




ISOTROPIC PLASMA ETCHING


1. Start with inert molecular gas CF_4
2. Make discharge to create reactive species:
$$\text{CF}_4 \longrightarrow \text{CF}_3 + \text{F}$$
3. Species reacts with material, yielding volatile product:
$$\text{Si} + 4\text{F} \longrightarrow \text{SiF}_4 \uparrow$$
4. Pump away product

ANISOTROPIC PLASMA ETCHING


5. Energetic ions bombard trench bottom, but not sidewalls:
 - (a) Increase etching reaction rate at trench bottom
 - (b) Clear passivating films from trench bottom



PLASMA DENSITY VERSUS TEMPERATURE




RELATIVE DENSITIES AND ENERGIES



Charged particle densities \ll neutral particle densities

NON-EQUILIBRIUM

- Energy coupling between electrons and heavy particles is weak



- Electrons are *not* in thermal equilibrium with ions or neutrals


$$T_e \gg T_i \quad \text{in plasma bulk}$$

$$\text{Bombarding } \mathcal{E}_i \gg \mathcal{E}_e \quad \text{at wafer surface}$$


- “High temperature processing at low temperatures”
 1. Wafer can be near room temperature
 2. Electrons produce free radicals \implies chemistry
 3. Electrons produce electron-ion pairs \implies ion bombardment

ELEMENTARY DISCHARGE BEHAVIOR

- Uniform density of electrons and ions n_e and n_i at time $t = 0$
- Low mass warm electrons quickly drain to the wall, forming sheaths



- Ion bombarding energy \mathcal{E}_i
= plasma-wall potential V_p



- Separation into bulk plasma and sheaths occurs for ALL discharges


SUMMARY OF PLASMA FUNDAMENTALS

THERMAL EQUILIBRIUM PROPERTIES

- **Electrons** generally near **thermal equilibrium**
Ions generally *not* in thermal equilibrium
- **Maxwellian** distribution of electrons

$$f_e(v) = n_e \left(\frac{m}{2\pi kT_e} \right)^{3/2} \exp \left(-\frac{mv^2}{2kT_e} \right)$$

where $v^2 = v_x^2 + v_y^2 + v_z^2$



- Pressure $p = nkT$
For neutral gas at room temperature (300 K)

$$n_g [\text{cm}^{-3}] \approx 3.3 \times 10^{16} p [\text{Torr}]$$

AVERAGES OVER MAXWELLIAN DISTRIBUTION


- Average energy

$$\left\langle \frac{1}{2}mv^2 \right\rangle = \frac{1}{n_e} \int d^3v \frac{1}{2}mv^2 f_e(v) = \frac{3}{2}kT_e$$

- Average speed

$$\bar{v}_e = \frac{1}{n_e} \int d^3v v f_e(v) = \left(\frac{8kT_e}{\pi m} \right)^{1/2}$$

- Average electron flux lost to a wall



$$\Gamma_e = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv_x \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv_y \int_0^{\infty} dv_z v_z f_e(v) = \frac{1}{4}n_e\bar{v}_e \quad [\text{m}^{-2}\text{-s}^{-1}]$$

- Average kinetic energy lost per electron lost to a wall

$$\mathcal{E}_e = 2T_e$$



FORCES ON PARTICLES

- For a unit volume of electrons (or ions)

$$mn_e \frac{d\mathbf{u}_e}{dt} = qn_e \mathbf{E} - \nabla p_e - mn_e \nu_m \mathbf{u}_e$$

mass \times acceleration = electric field force +
 + pressure gradient force + friction (gas drag) force

- m = electron mass
- n_e = electron density
- \mathbf{u}_e = electron flow velocity
- $q = -e$ for electrons ($+e$ for ions)
- \mathbf{E} = electric field
- $p_e = n_e k T_e =$ electron pressure
- $\nu_m =$ collision frequency of electrons with neutrals



BOLTZMANN FACTOR FOR ELECTRONS

- If **electric field** and **pressure gradient** forces almost balance


$$0 \approx -en_e \mathbf{E} - \nabla p_e$$

- Let $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla\Phi$ and $p_e = n_e kT_e$

$$\nabla\Phi = \frac{kT_e}{e} \frac{\nabla n_e}{n_e}$$

- Put $kT_e/e = T_e$ (volts) and integrate to obtain

$$n_e(\mathbf{r}) = n_{e0} e^{\Phi(\mathbf{r})/T_e}$$



PLASMA DIELECTRIC CONSTANT ϵ_p

- RF discharges are driven at a frequency ω

$$E(t) = \text{Re}(\tilde{E} e^{j\omega t}), \quad \text{etc.}$$

- Define ϵ_p from the total current in Maxwell's equations

$$\nabla \times \tilde{H} = \underbrace{\tilde{J}_c + j\omega\epsilon_0\tilde{E}}_{\text{Total current } \tilde{J}} \equiv j\omega\epsilon_p\tilde{E}$$

- Conduction current is $\tilde{J}_c = -en_e\tilde{u}_e$

$$\text{Newton's law is } j\omega m\tilde{u}_e = -e\tilde{E} - m\nu_m\tilde{u}_e$$

Solve for \tilde{u}_e and evaluate \tilde{J}_c to obtain

$$\epsilon_p \equiv \epsilon_0\kappa_p = \epsilon_0 \left[1 - \frac{\omega_{pe}^2}{\omega(\omega - j\nu_m)} \right]$$

with $\omega_{pe} = (e^2 n_e / \epsilon_0 m)^{1/2}$ the electron plasma frequency

- For $\omega \gg \nu_m$, ϵ_p is mainly real (nearly lossless dielectric)

PLASMA CONDUCTIVITY σ_p

- It is useful to introduce rf plasma conductivity $\tilde{J}_c \equiv \sigma_p \tilde{E}$
- Since \tilde{J}_c is a linear function of \tilde{E} [p. 16]

$$\sigma_p = \frac{e^2 n_e}{m(\nu_m + j\omega)}$$

- DC plasma conductivity ($\omega \ll \nu_m$)

$$\sigma_{dc} = \frac{e^2 n_e}{m\nu_m}$$

- RF current flowing through the plasma heats electrons (just like a resistor)

SUMMARY OF DISCHARGE FUNDAMENTALS



ELECTRON COLLISIONS WITH ARGON

- Maxwellian electrons collide with Ar atoms (density n_g)

$$\frac{\# \text{ collisions of a particular kind}}{\text{s-m}^3} = \nu n_e = K n_g n_e$$

ν = collision frequency [s^{-1}], $K(T_e)$ = rate coefficient [m^3/s]

- Electron-Ar collision processes




- Rate coefficient $K(T_e)$ is average of cross section $\sigma(v_R)$ [m^2] over Maxwellian distribution

$$K(T_e) = \langle \sigma v_R \rangle_{\text{Maxwellian}}$$



v_R = relative velocity of colliding particles

ELECTRON-ARGON RATE COEFFICIENTS



ION COLLISIONS WITH ARGON

- Argon ions collide with Ar atoms



- Total cross section for room temperature ions $\sigma_i \approx 10^{-14} \text{ cm}^2$
- Ion-neutral mean free path (distance ion travels before colliding)

$$\lambda_i = \frac{1}{n_g \sigma_i}$$

- Practical formula

$$\lambda_i(\text{cm}) = \frac{1}{330 p}, \quad p \text{ in Torr}$$

THREE ENERGY LOSS PROCESSES

1. Collisional energy \mathcal{E}_c lost per electron-ion pair created

$$K_{iz}\mathcal{E}_c = K_{iz}\mathcal{E}_{iz} + K_{ex}\mathcal{E}_{ex} + K_{el}(2m/M)(3T_e/2)$$

$$\implies \mathcal{E}_c(T_e) \quad (\text{voltage units})$$

\mathcal{E}_{iz} , \mathcal{E}_{ex} , and $(3m/M)T_e$ are energies lost by an electron due to an ionization, excitation, and elastic scattering collision

2. Electron kinetic energy lost to walls

$$\mathcal{E}_e = 2T_e$$


3. Ion kinetic energy lost to walls is mainly due to the dc potential \bar{V}_s across the sheath

$$\mathcal{E}_i \approx \bar{V}_s$$


- Total energy lost per electron-ion pair lost to walls

$$\mathcal{E}_T = \mathcal{E}_c + \mathcal{E}_e + \mathcal{E}_i$$

COLLISIONAL ENERGY LOSSES



BOHM (ION LOSS) VELOCITY u_B



- Due to formation of a “presheath”, ions arrive at the plasma-sheath edge with directed energy $kT_e/2$

$$\frac{1}{2}Mu_i^2 = \frac{kT_e}{2}$$

- Electron-ion pairs are lost at the Bohm velocity at the plasma-sheath edge (density n_s)

$$u_i = u_B = \left(\frac{kT_e}{M} \right)^{1/2}$$

STEADY STATE DIFFUSION

- Particle balance: losses to walls = creation in volume


$$\nabla \cdot \Gamma_{e,i} = \nu_{iz} n_e$$

- Ambipolar: equal fluxes (and densities) of electrons and ions

$$\Gamma = -D_a \nabla n$$

$$D_a = kT_e / M \nu_i = \text{ambipolar diffusion coefficient}$$


- Boundary condition: $\Gamma_{\text{wall}} = n_s u_B$ at plasma-sheath edge



$$\implies h_l \equiv n_s / n_0 = \text{edge-to-center density ratio}$$

PLASMA DIFFUSION AT LOW PRESSURES

- Plasma density profile is relatively flat in the center and falls sharply near the sheath edge



- Ion and electron loss flux to the wall is

$$\Gamma_{\text{wall}} = n_s u_B \equiv h_l n_0 u_B$$


- The edge-to-center density ratio is

$$h_l \equiv \frac{n_s}{n_0} \approx \frac{0.86}{(3 + l/2\lambda_i)^{1/2}}$$

where $\lambda_i =$ ion-neutral mean free path [p. 21]

- Applies for pressures < 100 mTorr in argon

PLASMA DIFFUSION IN LOW PRESSURE CYLINDRICAL DISCHARGE



- Loss fluxes to the axial and radial walls are

$$\Gamma_{\text{axial}} = h_l n_0 u_B, \quad \Gamma_{\text{radial}} = h_R n_0 u_B$$

where the edge-to-center density ratios are


$$h_l \approx \frac{0.86}{(3 + l/2\lambda_i)^{1/2}}, \quad h_R \approx \frac{0.8}{(4 + R/\lambda_i)^{1/2}}$$

- Applies for pressures < 100 mTorr in argon

GLOBAL MODEL OF DISCHARGE EQUILIBRIUM

PARTICLE BALANCE AND T_e

- Assume uniform cylindrical plasma absorbing power P_{abs}



- Particle balance

Production due to ionization = loss to the walls

$$K_{\text{iz}} n_g \eta_0 \pi R^2 l = (2\pi R^2 h_l \eta_0 + 2\pi R l h_R \eta_0) u_B$$

- Solve to obtain

$$\frac{K_{\text{iz}}(T_e)}{u_B(T_e)} = \frac{1}{n_g d_{\text{eff}}}$$


where

$$d_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{Rl}{Rh_l + lh_R}$$

is an effective plasma size


- Given n_g and $d_{\text{eff}} \implies$ electron temperature T_e
- T_e varies over a narrow range of 2–5 volts

ELECTRON TEMPERATURE IN ARGON DISCHARGE



ION ENERGY FOR LOW VOLTAGE SHEATHS

- \mathcal{E}_i = energy entering sheath + energy gained traversing sheath
- Ion energy entering sheath = $T_e/2$ (voltage units)
- Sheath voltage determined from particle conservation



$$\Gamma_i = n_s u_B, \quad \Gamma_e = \underbrace{\frac{1}{4} n_s \bar{v}_e}_{\text{Random flux at sheath edge}} e^{-\bar{V}_s/T_e}$$

with $\bar{v}_e = (8eT_e/\pi m)^{1/2}$

Random flux
at sheath edge

- The ion and electron fluxes at the wall must balance



$$\bar{V}_s = \frac{T_e}{2} \ln \left(\frac{M}{2\pi m} \right)$$

or $\bar{V}_s \approx 4.7 T_e$ for argon

- Accounting for the initial ion energy, $\mathcal{E}_i \approx 5.2 T_e$

ION ENERGY FOR HIGH VOLTAGE SHEATHS

- Large ion bombarding energies can be gained near rf-driven electrodes



- The sheath thickness s is given by the Child law

$$\bar{J}_i = en_s u_B = \frac{4}{9} \epsilon_0 \left(\frac{2e}{M} \right)^{1/2} \frac{\bar{V}_s^{3/2}}{s^2}$$

- Estimating ion energy is not simple as it depends on the type of discharge and the application of rf or dc bias voltages

POWER BALANCE AND n_0

- Assume low voltage sheaths at all surfaces

$$\mathcal{E}_T(T_e) = \underbrace{\mathcal{E}_c(T_e)}_{\text{Collisional}} + \underbrace{2 T_e}_{\text{Electron}} + \underbrace{5.2 T_e}_{\text{Ion}}$$

- Power balance

Power in = power out

$$P_{\text{abs}} = (h_l n_0 2\pi R^2 + h_R n_0 2\pi R l) u_B e \mathcal{E}_T$$

- Solve to obtain

$$n_0 = \frac{P_{\text{abs}}}{A_{\text{eff}} u_B e \mathcal{E}_T}$$

where

$$A_{\text{eff}} = 2\pi R^2 h_l + 2\pi R l h_R$$

is an effective area for particle loss

- Density n_0 is proportional to the absorbed power P_{abs}
- Density n_0 depends on pressure p through h_l , h_R , and T_e

PARTICLE AND POWER BALANCE

- Particle balance \implies electron temperature T_e
(independent of plasma density)

- Power balance \implies plasma density n_0
(once electron temperature T_e is known)

EXAMPLE 1

- Let $R = 0.15$ m, $l = 0.3$ m, $n_g = 3.3 \times 10^{19}$ m⁻³ ($p = 1$ mTorr at 300 K), and $P_{\text{abs}} = 800$ W
- Assume low voltage sheaths at all surfaces
- Find $\lambda_i = 0.03$ m. Then $h_l \approx h_R \approx 0.3$ and $d_{\text{eff}} \approx 0.17$ m [pp. 21, 27, 29]
- From the T_e versus $n_g d_{\text{eff}}$ figure, $T_e \approx 3.5$ V [p. 30]
- From the \mathcal{E}_c versus T_e figure, $\mathcal{E}_c \approx 42$ V [p. 23].
Adding $\mathcal{E}_e = 2T_e \approx 7$ V and $\mathcal{E}_i \approx 5.2T_e \approx 18$ V yields $\mathcal{E}_T = 67$ V [p. 22]
- Find $u_B \approx 2.9 \times 10^3$ m/s and find $A_{\text{eff}} \approx 0.13$ m² [pp. 24, 33]
- Power balance yields $n_0 \approx 2.0 \times 10^{17}$ m⁻³ [p. 33]
- Ion current density $J_{il} = e h_l n_0 u_B \approx 2.9$ mA/cm²
- Ion bombarding energy $\mathcal{E}_i \approx 18$ V [p. 31]

EXAMPLE 2

- Apply a strong dc magnetic field along the cylinder axis
⇒ particle loss to radial wall is inhibited
- Assume no radial losses, then $d_{\text{eff}} = l/2h_l \approx 0.5$ m
- From the T_e versus $n_g d_{\text{eff}}$ figure, $T_e \approx 3.3$ V (was 3.5 V)
- From the \mathcal{E}_c versus T_e figure, $\mathcal{E}_c \approx 46$ V. Adding $\mathcal{E}_e = 2T_e \approx 6.6$ V and $\mathcal{E}_i \approx 5.2T_e \approx 17$ V yields $\mathcal{E}_T = 70$ V
- Find $u_B \approx 2.8 \times 10^3$ m/s and find $A_{\text{eff}} = 2\pi R^2 h_l \approx 0.043$ m²
- Power balance yields $n_0 \approx 5.8 \times 10^{17}$ m⁻³ (was 2×10^{17} m⁻³)
- Ion current density $J_{il} = eh_l n_0 u_B \approx 7.8$ mA/cm²
- Ion bombarding energy $\mathcal{E}_i \approx 17$ V
⇒ Slight decrease in electron temperature T_e
⇒ Significant increase in plasma density n_0

EXPLAIN WHY!

- What happens to T_e and n_0 if there is a sheath voltage $V_s = 500$ V at each end plate?

ELECTRON HEATING MECHANISMS

- Discharges can be distinguished by electron heating mechanisms
 - (a) **Ohmic (collisional) heating** (capacitive, inductive discharges)
 - (b) **Stochastic (collisionless) heating** (capacitive, inductive discharges)
 - (c) **Resonant wave-particle interaction heating** (Electron cyclotron resonance and helicon discharges)
- Although the heated electrons provide the ionization required to sustain the discharge, the electrons tend to short out the applied heating fields within the bulk plasma
- Achieving adequate electron heating is a key issue

INDUCTIVE DISCHARGES


DESCRIPTION AND MODEL

MOTIVATION


- High density (compared to capacitive discharge)
- Independent control of plasma density and ion energy
- Simplicity of concept
- RF rather than microwave powered
- No source magnetic fields

CYLINDRICAL AND PLANAR CONFIGURATIONS

- Cylindrical coil




- Planar coil



HIGH DENSITY REGIME

- Inductive coil launches decaying wave into plasma



- Wave decays exponentially into plasma

$$\tilde{E} = \tilde{E}_0 e^{-z/\delta_p}, \quad \delta_p = \frac{c}{\omega} \frac{1}{\text{Im}(\kappa_p^{1/2})}$$

where $\kappa_p =$ plasma dielectric constant [p. 16]


$$\kappa_p = 1 - \frac{\omega_{pe}^2}{\omega(\omega - j\nu_m)}$$

For typical high density, low pressure ($\nu_m \ll \omega$) discharge

$$\delta_p \approx \frac{c}{\omega_{pe}} \sim 1-2 \text{ cm}$$

TRANSFORMER MODEL

- For simplicity consider a **long cylindrical discharge**



- Current \tilde{I}_{rf} in \mathcal{N} turn coil induces current \tilde{I}_p in 1-turn plasma skin

\Rightarrow A transformer

PLASMA RESISTANCE AND INDUCTANCE

- Plasma resistance R_p

$$R_p = \frac{1}{\sigma_{dc}} \frac{\text{circumference of plasma loop}}{\text{average cross sectional area of loop}}$$

where [p. 17]

$$\sigma_{dc} = \frac{e^2 n_{es}}{m \nu_m}$$

with n_{es} = density at plasma-sheath edge

$$\implies R_p = \frac{\pi R}{\sigma_{dc} l \delta_p}$$

- Plasma inductance L_p


$$L_p = \frac{\text{magnetic flux produced by plasma current}}{\text{plasma current}}$$

- Using magnetic flux = $\pi R^2 \mu_0 \tilde{I}_p / l$

$$\implies L_p = \frac{\mu_0 \pi R^2}{l}$$

COUPLING OF COIL TO PLASMA

- Model the source as a transformer



$$\tilde{V}_{rf} = j\omega L_{11} \tilde{I}_{rf} + j\omega L_{12} \tilde{I}_p$$

$$\tilde{V}_p = j\omega L_{21} \tilde{I}_{rf} + j\omega L_{22} \tilde{I}_p$$

- Transformer inductances

$$L_{11} = \frac{\text{magnetic flux linking coil}}{\text{coil current}} = \frac{\mu_0 \pi b^2 \mathcal{N}^2}{l}$$

$$L_{12} = L_{21} = \frac{\text{magnetic flux linking plasma}}{\text{coil current}} = \frac{\mu_0 \pi R^2 \mathcal{N}}{l}$$

$$L_{22} = L_p = \frac{\mu_0 \pi R^2}{l}$$

SOURCE CURRENT AND VOLTAGE

- Put $\tilde{V}_p = -\tilde{I}_p R_p$ in transformer equations and solve for the impedance $Z_s = \tilde{V}_{\text{rf}}/\tilde{I}_{\text{rf}}$ seen at coil terminals

$$Z_s = j\omega L_{11} + \frac{\omega^2 L_{12}^2}{R_p + j\omega L_p} \equiv R_s + j\omega L_s$$

- Equivalent circuit at coil terminals

$$R_s = \mathcal{N}^2 \frac{\pi R}{\sigma_{\text{dc}} l \delta_p}$$


$$L_s = \frac{\mu_0 \pi R^2 \mathcal{N}^2}{l} \left(\frac{b^2}{R^2} - 1 \right)$$

- Power balance $\implies \tilde{I}_{\text{rf}}$

$$P_{\text{abs}} = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{I}_{\text{rf}}^2 R_s$$

- From source impedance $\implies V_{\text{rf}}$

$$\tilde{V}_{\text{rf}} = \tilde{I}_{\text{rf}} Z_s$$




EXAMPLE

- Assume plasma radius $R = 10$ cm, coil radius $b = 15$ cm, length $l = 20$ cm, $\mathcal{N} = 3$ turns, gas density $n_g = 6.6 \times 10^{14}$ cm $^{-3}$ (20 mTorr argon at 300 K), $\omega = 85 \times 10^6$ s $^{-1}$ (13.56 MHz), absorbed power $P_{\text{abs}} = 600$ W, and low voltage sheaths
- At 20 mTorr, $\lambda_i \approx 0.15$ cm, $h_l \approx h_R \approx 0.1$, $d_{\text{eff}} \approx 34$ cm [pp. 21, 27, 29]
- Particle balance (T_e versus $n_g d_{\text{eff}}$ figure [p. 30]) yields $T_e \approx 2.1$ V
- Collisional energy losses (\mathcal{E}_c versus T_e figure [p. 23]) are $\mathcal{E}_c \approx 110$ V. Adding $\mathcal{E}_e + \mathcal{E}_i = 7.2 T_e$ yields total energy losses $\mathcal{E}_T \approx 126$ V [p. 22]
- $u_B \approx 2.3 \times 10^5$ cm/s [p. 24] and $A_{\text{eff}} \approx 185$ cm 2 [p. 33]
- Power balance yields $n_e \approx 7.1 \times 10^{11}$ cm $^{-3}$ and $n_{se} \approx 7.4 \times 10^{10}$ cm $^{-3}$ [p. 33]
- Use n_{se} to find skin depth $\delta_p \approx 2.0$ cm [p. 41]; estimate $\nu_m = K_{\text{el}} n_g$ (K_{el} versus T_e figure [p. 20]) to find $\nu_m \approx 3.4 \times 10^7$ s $^{-1}$
- Use ν_m and n_{se} to find $\sigma_{\text{dc}} \approx 61$ $\Omega^{-1}\text{-m}^{-1}$ [p. 17]
- Evaluate impedance elements $R_s \approx 23.5$ Ω and $L_s \approx 2.2$ μH ;
 $|Z_s| \approx \omega L_s \approx 190$ Ω [p. 45]
- Power balance yields $\tilde{I}_{\text{rf}} \approx 7.1\text{A}$; from source impedance $|Z_s| = 190$ Ω ,
 $\tilde{V}_{\text{rf}} \approx 1360$ V [p. 45]

MATCHING DISCHARGE TO POWER SOURCE

- Consider an rf power source connected to a discharge




- Source impedance $Z_T = R_T + jX_T$ is given
Discharge impedance $Z_L = R_L + jX_L$
- Time-average power delivered to discharge $P_{\text{abs}} = \frac{1}{2} \text{Re}(\tilde{V}\tilde{I}^*)$
- For fixed source \tilde{V}_T and Z_T , maximize power delivered to discharge

$$\begin{aligned} X_L &= -X_T \\ R_L &= R_T \end{aligned}$$

MATCHING NETWORK

- Insert lossless matching network between power source and coil



Power source

Matching network

Discharge coil

- Continue EXAMPLE [p. 46] with $R_s = 23.5 \Omega$ and $\omega L_s = 190 \Omega$; assume $R_T = 50 \Omega$ (corresponds to a conductance $1/R_T = 1/50 \text{ S}$)
- Choose C_1 such that the conductance seen looking to the right at terminals AA' is $1/50 \text{ S}$

$$\Rightarrow C_1 = 71 \text{ pF}$$


- Choose C_2 to cancel the reactive part of the impedance seen at AA'

$$\Rightarrow C_2 = 249 \text{ pF}$$


- For $P_{\text{abs}} = 600 \text{ W}$, the 50Ω source supplies $\tilde{I}_{\text{rf}} = 4.9 \text{ A}$
- Voltage at source terminals (AA') = $\tilde{I}_{\text{rf}} R_T = 245 \text{ V}$

PLANAR COIL DISCHARGE

- Magnetic field produced by planar coil



- RF power is deposited in a ring-shaped plasma volume




- As for a cylindrical discharge, there is a primary (L_{11}), coupling ($L_{12} = L_{21}$) and secondary ($L_p = L_{22}$) inductance

PLANAR COIL FIELDS

- A ring-shaped plasma forms because

$$\text{Induced electric field} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{on axis} \\ \text{max,} & \text{at } r \approx \frac{1}{2}R_{\text{wall}} \\ 0, & \text{at } r = R_{\text{wall}} \end{cases}$$

- Measured radial variation of B_r (and E_θ) at three distances below the window (5 mTorr argon, 500 W, Hopwood et al, 1993)



INDUCTIVE DISCHARGES

POWER BALANCE

RESISTANCE AT HIGH AND LOW DENSITIES

- Plasma resistance seen by the coil [p. 45]


$$R_s = R_p \frac{\omega^2 L_{12}^2}{R_p^2 + \omega^2 L_p^2}$$

- High density (normal inductive operation) [p. 45]

$$R_s \propto R_p \propto \frac{1}{\sigma_{dc} \delta_p} \propto \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_e}}$$


- Low density (skin depth > plasma size)

$R_s \propto$ number of electrons in the heating volume $\propto n_e$




POWER BALANCE

- Drive discharge with rf current




- Power absorbed by discharge is $P_{abs} = \frac{1}{2} |\tilde{I}_{rf}|^2 R_s(n_e)$ [p. 45]
Power lost by discharge $P_{loss} \propto n_e$ [p. 33]
- Intersection (red dot) gives operating point; let $\tilde{I}_1 < \tilde{I}_2 < \tilde{I}_3$




- Inductive operation impossible for $\tilde{I}_{rf} \leq \tilde{I}_2$

CAPACITIVE COUPLING OF COIL TO PLASMA


- For \tilde{I}_{rf} below the minimum current \tilde{I}_2 , there is only a weak **capacitive coupling** of the coil to the plasma



- A small capacitive power is absorbed \implies **low density capacitive discharge**



MEASUREMENTS OF ARGON ION DENSITY




- Above 100 W, discharge is inductive and $n_e \propto P_{\text{abs}}$
- Below 100 W, a weak capacitive discharge is present

REACTIVE NEUTRAL BALANCE IN DISCHARGES

PLANE-PARALLEL DISCHARGE

- Example of **N₂ discharge** with low fractional ionization ($n_g \approx n_{N_2}$) and planar 1D geometry ($l \ll R$)



- **Determine T_e**

Ion particle balance is [p. 29]

$$K_{iz} n_g n_i l A \approx 2 n_{is} u_B A$$

where $n_{is} = h_l n_i$ with $h_l = 0.86 / (3 + l / 2\lambda_i)^{1/2}$ [p. 26]

$$\frac{K_{iz}(T_e)}{u_B(T_e)} \approx \frac{2h_l}{n_g l} \implies T_e$$

PLANE-PARALLEL DISCHARGE (CONT'D)

- Determine edge plasma density n_{is}

Overall discharge power balance [p. 33] gives the plasma density at the sheath edge

$$n_{is} \approx \frac{P_{\text{abs}}}{2e\mathcal{E}_T u_B A}$$

- Determine central plasma density [p. 26]

$$n_i = \frac{n_{is}}{h_l}$$

- Determine ion flux to the surface [p. 26]


$$\Gamma_{is} \approx n_{is} u_B$$

- Determine ion bombarding energy [p. 31]

$$\mathcal{E}_i = 5.2 T_e$$

REACTIVE NEUTRAL BALANCE

- For nitrogen atoms



- Assume low fractional dissociation and **loss of N atoms only due to a vacuum pump S_p (m^3/s)**

$$Al \frac{dn_N}{dt} = Al 2K_{\text{diss}} n_g n_i - S_p n_{NS} = 0$$

- Solve for reactive neutral density at the surface

$$n_{NS} = K_{\text{diss}} \frac{2Al n_g}{S_p} n_i$$


- **Flux of N atoms to the surface**

$$\Gamma_{NS} = \frac{1}{4} n_{NS} \bar{v}_N$$

where $\bar{v}_N = (8kT_N/\pi M_N)^{1/2}$

LOADING EFFECT

- Consider recombination and/or reaction of N atoms on surfaces



- Pumping speed S_p in the expression for n_{NS} [p. 59] is replaced by

$$S_p \longrightarrow S_p + \gamma_{\text{rec}} \frac{1}{4} \bar{v}_{\text{N}} (2A - A_{\text{subs}}) + \gamma_{\text{reac}} \frac{1}{4} \bar{v}_{\text{N}} A_{\text{subs}}$$


A_{subs} is the part of the substrate area reacting with N atoms

- n_{NS} is reduced due to recombination and reaction losses
- n_{NS} , and therefore etch and deposition rates, now depend on the part of the substrate area A_{subs} exposed to the reactive neutrals, a *loading effect*


ADSORPTION AND DESORPTION KINETICS

ADSORPTION


- Reaction of a molecule with the surface



- **Physisorption** (due to weak van der Waals forces)



- **Chemisorption** (due to formation of chemical bonds)



STICKING COEFFICIENT

- Adsorbed flux [p. 13]

$$\Gamma_{\text{ads}} = s\Gamma_A = s \cdot \frac{1}{4}n_{AS}\bar{v}_A$$

$s(\theta, T)$ = sticking coefficient

θ = fraction of surface sites covered with adsorbate



n_{AS} = gas phase density of A near the surface

$\bar{v}_A = (8kT_A/\pi M_A)^{1/2}$ = mean thermal speed of A


- Langmuir kinetics

$$s(\theta, T) = s_0(1 - \theta)$$

s_0 = zero-coverage sticking coefficient ($s_0 \sim 10^{-6}-1$)



DESORPTION



- Rate coefficient has “Arrhenius” form

$$K_d = K_{d0} e^{-\mathcal{E}_{\text{desor}}/T}$$


where $\mathcal{E}_{\text{desor}} = \mathcal{E}_{\text{chemi}}$ or $\mathcal{E}_{\text{physi}}$

- Pre-exponential factors are typically

$$\begin{aligned} K_{d0} &\sim 10^{14} - 10^{16} \text{ s}^{-1} && \text{physisorption} \\ &\sim 10^{13} - 10^{15} \text{ s}^{-1} && \text{chemisorption} \end{aligned}$$

ADSORPTION-DESORPTION KINETICS

- Consider the reactions



- Adsorbed flux is [p. 63]

$$\Gamma_{\text{ads}} = K_a n_{AS} n'_0 (1 - \theta)$$

n'_0 = area density (m^{-2}) of adsorption sites

n_{AS} = the gas phase density at the surface

$$K_a = s_0 \frac{1}{4} \bar{v}_A / n'_0 \quad [\text{m}^3/\text{s}] \quad (\text{adsorption rate coef})$$

- Desorbed flux \propto area density $n'_0 \theta$ of covered sites [p. 64]


$$\Gamma_{\text{desor}} = K_d n'_0 \theta$$

ADSORPTION-DESORPTION KINETICS (CONT'D)

- Equate adsorption and desorption fluxes ($\Gamma_{\text{ads}} = \Gamma_{\text{desor}}$)

$$\Rightarrow \theta = \frac{\mathcal{K}n_{AS}}{1 + \mathcal{K}n_{AS}}$$


where $\mathcal{K} = K_a/K_d$



- Note that $T \uparrow$
 $\Rightarrow \mathcal{K} \downarrow \Rightarrow \theta \downarrow$

PLASMA-ASSISTED ETCH KINETICS


ION-ENHANCED PLASMA ETCHING






1. Low chemical etch rate of silicon substrate in XeF₂ etchant gas
2. Tenfold increase in etch rate with XeF₂ + 500 V argon ions, simulating ion-enhanced plasma etching
3. Very low “etch rate” due to the physical sputtering of silicon by ion bombardment alone

STANDARD MODEL OF ETCH KINETICS

- O atom etching of a carbon substrate



- Let $n'_0 =$ active surface sites/ m^2
- Let $\theta =$ fraction of surface sites covered with C : O bonds



SURFACE COVERAGE

- The steady-state surface coverage is found from [pp. 65–66]

$$\frac{d\theta}{dt} = K_a n_{OS}(1 - \theta) - K_d \theta - Y_i K_i n_{is} \theta = 0$$

- n_{OS} is the O-atom density near the surface
 n_{is} is the ion density at the plasma-sheath edge
- K_a is the rate coefficient for O-atom adsorption
 K_d is the rate coefficient for thermal desorption of CO
 $K_i = u_B/n'_0$ is the rate coefficient for ions incident on the surface
- Y_i is the yield of CO molecules desorbed per ion incident on a fully covered surface

Typically $Y_i \gg 1$ and $Y_i \approx Y_{i0} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_i - \mathcal{E}_{thr}}$ (as for sputtering)

$$\Rightarrow \theta = \frac{K_a n_{OS}}{K_a n_{OS} + K_d + Y_i K_i n_{is}}$$

ETCH RATES

- The flux of CO molecules leaving the surface is

$$\Gamma_{\text{CO}} = (K_d + Y_i K_i n_{\text{is}}) \theta n'_0 \quad [\text{m}^{-2}\text{-s}^{-1}]$$

with n'_0 = number of surface sites/ m^2

- The vertical etch rate is

$$E_v = \frac{\Gamma_{\text{CO}}}{n_{\text{C}}} \quad [\text{m/s}]$$

where n_{C} is the carbon atom density of the substrate


- The vertical (ion-enhanced) etch rate is

$$E_v = \frac{n'_0}{n_{\text{C}}} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{K_d + Y_i K_i n_{\text{is}}} + \frac{1}{K_a n_{\text{OS}}}}$$

- The horizontal (non ion-enhanced) etch rate is

$$E_h = \frac{n'_0}{n_{\text{C}}} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{K_d} + \frac{1}{K_a n_{\text{OS}}}}$$

NORMALIZED ETCH RATES



- High O-atom flux \Rightarrow highest anisotropy $E_v/E_h = 1 + Y_i K_i n_{is}/K_d$
- Low O-atom flux \Rightarrow low etch rates with $E_v/E_h \rightarrow 1$

SIMPLEST MODEL OF ION-ENHANCED ETCHING

- In the usual ion-enhanced regime $Y_i K_i n_{is} \gg K_d$

$$\frac{1}{E_v} = n_C \left(\underbrace{\frac{1}{Y_i K_i n_{is} n'_0}}_{\Gamma_{is}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{K_a n_{OS} n'_0}}_{\Gamma_{OS}} \right)$$



- The ion and neutral fluxes and the yield (a function of ion energy) determine the ion-assisted etch rate
- The discharge parameters set the ion and neutral fluxes and the ion bombarding energy

ADDITIONAL CHEMISTRY AND PHYSICS


- Sputtering of carbon

$$\Gamma_{\text{C}} = \gamma_{\text{sput}} K_i n_{\text{is}} n'_0$$

- Associative and normal desorption of O atoms,



- Ion energy driven desorption of O atoms



- Formation and desorption of CO_2 as an etch product
- Non-zero ion angular bombardment of sidewall surfaces
- Deposition kinetics (C-atoms, etc)

SUMMARY

- Plasma discharges are widely used for materials processing and are indispensable for microelectronics fabrication
- The charged particle balance determines the electron temperature and ion bombarding energy to the substrate $\implies Y_i(\mathcal{E}_i)$
- The energy balance determines the plasma density and the ion flux to the substrate $\implies \Gamma_{is}$
- A transformer model determines the relation among voltage, current, and power for inductive discharges
- The reactive neutral balance determines the flux of reactive neutrals to the surface $\implies \Gamma_{os}$
- Hence the discharge parameters (power, pressure, geometry, etc) set the ion and neutral fluxes and the ion bombarding energy
- The ion and neutral fluxes and the yield (a function of ion energy) determine the ion-assisted etch rate

*THANK YOU
FOR ATTENDING
THIS COURSE*

MIKE LIEBERMAN