Homework Quiz (HW #2)  
Solutions  
February 16, 1999  
CS152 Computer Architecture and Engineering

This quiz covers one of the problems from homework #2.  
Good Luck!
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A better multiply for the Single Instruction Computer

In the homework, you were introduced to the “Subtract and branch if negative” instruction:

```
sbn a, b, c  # Mem[a] = Mem[a] - Mem[b]; If Mem[a] < 0 goto c;
```

Problem 3.30 asked you to develop a multiply routine that was not particularly efficient. Consider the following alternative for a 16-bit computer. This performs \( c = a \times b \), modifying \( b \) in the process:

```
c = 0;
for (index = 32768; index >= 1; index = index / 2) {
    if (b >= index) { b = b - index; c = c - index \times a; }
}
c = -c;
```

To implement this, we will assume a macro facility that lets us generate groups of instructions many times.

1. Create a macro called “inner” which performs the inner instructions of our multiply. This takes 4 memory locations as input: \( a, b, \) index, and scaled_\( a \), and computes:

```
if (b >= index) { b = b - index; c = c - scaled_\( a \); }
```

Assume one temporary memory location (\( temp \)). Note that your code can contain an empty label called “exit” at the end.

```
inner(b, c, index, scaled_\( a \), temp):
    sbn b, index, nogo  # do test (b < index)
    sbn c, scaled_\( a \), exit  # b >= index. Fix C
nogo:
    sbn temp,temp,.+1  # Create
    sbn temp,index,.+1  # -index
    sbn b,temp,.+1  # Fix up B (undo test)
exit:
```

2. Create a macro called “double” which puts \( 2 \times \text{Mem[in]} \) \( \rightarrow \) Mem[out], using Mem[temp] as a temporary location. This must not alter the “in” memory location:

```
double(out, in, temp):
    sbn temp,temp,.+1  # temp = 0
    sbn temp,in,.+1  # temp = -in
    sbn temp,in,.+1  # temp = -2in
    sbn out,out,.+1  # out = 0
    sbn out,temp,.+1  # out = 2in
```
3. Using your macros, write a routine “multiply” that will take a and b as input, and place the result in c. **Make this work for 4 bit variables.** Assume that the memory location “one” contains the constant 1. Also, assume that you can use your macros like instructions:

For instance: 

```plaintext
double(two,one,mytemp)  
inner(q,r,other,two,anothertemp)
```

The macro facility expands each macro call into the set of instructions in the macro definition, while substituting the actual arguments for formal ones. Thus, for instance, the first call to “double” above will substitute `two⇒out, one⇒in, and mytemp⇒temp` in your definition of double.

**Hint:** you must first create a bunch of constants like 2 and (2 * a), then use them.

**Multiply_4_bit:**

```plaintext
double(two,one,temp)  # Create const 2  
double(four,two,temp)  # Create const 4  
double(eight,four,temp)  # Create const 8  
double(two_a,a,temp)  # Create const 2 * a  
double(four_a,two_a,temp)  # Create const 4 * a  
double(eight_a,four_a,temp)  # Create const 8 * a  
sbn neg_c,neg_c,.+1  # neg_c = 0  
inner(b,neg_c,eight,eight_a,temp)# Deal with bit 8  
inner(b,neg_c,four,four_a,temp)  # Deal with bit 4  
inner(b,neg_c,two,two_a,temp)  # Deal with bit 2  
inner(b,neg_c,one,one_a,temp)  # Deal with bit 1  
sbn c,c,.+1  # c = 0  
sbn c,neg_c,.+1  # c = -neg_c
```

**done:**

4. If you were to make a 16-bit version of this, what is the worst-case number of cycles that it would take? Is this much better than the multiply from the homework?

Each double takes 5 cycles. The maximum number of cycles for an inner operation is 4 cycles (the negative path). A 16 bit version would have 15 * 2 * 5 cycles from double ops, 16 * 4 cycles from inner ops and 3 cycles of random sbn instructions. So, 150+64+3 = 217 cycles.

This is **much** better than the solution in your homework (which had a loop of multiple instructions that could have up to 65535 iterations).