





<section-header>Soft EM for Naïve-Bayes• First we calculate posteriors (soft completions): $P(y|x) = \frac{P(y) \prod_i P(x_i|y)}{\sum_{y'} P(y') \prod_i P(x_i|y')}$ • Then we re-estimate parameters P(y), P(x|y) from the celevant expected counts: $c(w,y) = \sum_{x \in D} \sum_{y} P(y|x) [c(w \in x)]$ • Can do this when some or none of the docs are labeled



EM is only locally optimal (why?)











Various Approaches to WSD

Unsupervised learning

- Bootstrapping (Yarowsky 95)
- Clustering

Indirect supervision

- From thesauri
- From WordNet
- From parallel corpora

Supervised learning

- Most systems do some kind of supervised learning
- Many competing classification technologies perform about the same (it's all about the knowledge sources you tap)
- Problem: training data available for only a few words







Better Features

- There are smarter features:
 - Argument selectional preference:
 - serve NP[meals] vs. serve NP[papers] vs. serve NP[country]
 - Subcategorization:
 - [function] serve PP[as]
 - [enable] serve VP[to]
 - [tennis] serve <intransitive>
 - [food] serve NP {PP[to]}
 - Can capture poorly (but robustly) with local windows
 - ... but we can also use a parser and get these features explicitly
- Other constraints (Yarowsky 95)
 - One-sense-per-discourse (only true for broad topical distinctions)
 - One-sense-per-collocation (pretty reliable when it kicks in: manufacturing plant, flowering plant)



- Hard to make this work (though maybe possible)
- No real reason to try





 Example: Text Classification We want to classify documents into categories 						
win the election	POLITICS					
win the game	SPORTS					
see a movie	OTHER					
 Classically, do this on the basis of other information sources are potential of the sources are potential of the source of the so						



























	E	Exar	nple	NER Smo	othin	g		
	_			Feature Weights				
Because of smoothing, the more common prefixes have larger weights even though			ıg,	Feature Type	Feature	PERS	LOC	
				Previous word	at	-0.73	0.94	
				Current word	Grace	0.03	0.00	
entire-word features are more specific.		Beginning bigram	→ <g< td=""><td>0.45</td><td>-0.04</td></g<>	0.45	-0.04			
		Current POS tag	NNP	0.47	0.45			
Local Context				Prev and cur tags	IN NNP	-0.10	0.14	
			xt	Previous state	Other	-0.70	-0.92	
	Prev	Cur	Next	Current signature	Xx	0.80	0.46	
State	Other	???	???	Prev state, cur sig	O-Xx	0.68	0.37	
Word	at	Grace	Road	Prev-cur-next sig	x-Xx-Xx	-0.69	0.37	
Tag	IN	NNP	NNP	P. state - p-cur sig	O-x-Xx	-0.20	0.82	
Sig	x	Xx	Xx					
Cig	^	~~	<i>/</i> //	Total:		-0.58	2.68	





