## Problem Set 11 for CS 170

## Problem 1 [Monotone Satisfiability]

Consider an instance of Sat, specified by clauses $C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots, C_{k}$ over a set of Boolean variables $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$. We say that the instance is monotone if each term in each clause consists of a nonnegated variable; that is, each term is equal to $x_{i}$, for some $i$, rather than $\bar{x}_{i}$. Monotone instances of Sat are very easy to solve: They are always satisfiable, by setting each variable equal to 1 . For example, suppose that we have the three clauses $\left(x_{1} \vee x_{2}\right)$, $\left(x_{1} \vee x_{3}\right)$, and $\left(x_{2} \vee x_{3}\right)$. This is monotone, and indeed the assignment that sets all three variables to 1 satisfies all the clauses. But we can observe that this is not the only satisfying assignment; we could also have set $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ to 1 , and $x_{3}$ to 0 . Indeed, for any monotone instance, it is natural to ask how few variables we need to set to 1 in order to satisfy it.

Given a monotone instance of SAt, together with a number $k$, the problem of Monotone Satisfiability with Few True Variables asks: Is there a satisfying assignment for the instance in which at most $k$ variables are set to 1 ? Prove that this problem is NP-complete.

## Problem 2 [Path Selection]

Consider a communications network modeled by a directed graph $G=(V, E)$. There are $c$ users who are interested in making use of this network. User $i$ issues a request to reserve a specific path $P_{i}$ in $G$ on which to transmit data. You are interested in accepting as many of these path requests as possible, subject to the following restriction: if you accept both $P_{i}$ and $P_{j}$, then $P_{i}$ and $P_{j}$ cannot share any nodes.

Thus, the Path Selection Problem asks: given a graph $G$, a set of requests $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{c}$ and a number $k$, is it possible to select at least $k$ of the paths so that no two of the selected paths share any nodes? Prove that Path Selection Problem is NP-complete.

## Problem 3 [Attacking Coalitions]

Consider the design of logging software for detecting attacks on a server. Suppose that the software records the IP addresses that users access on the server. Suppose that each user accesses at most one IP address in any given minute; the software writes a log file that records, for each user $u$ and each minute $u$, a value $I(u, m)$ that is equal to the IP address (if any) accessed by user $u$ during minute $m$. (It writes a null symbol if there is no such access).

Yesterday the system was attacked. The attack was carried out by accessing $i$ distinct IP addresses over $t$ consecutive minutes: In minute 1 , the attack accessed address $i_{1}$; in minute 2 , the attack accessed address $i_{2}$; and so on, to address $i_{t}$ in minute $t$.

Checking the logs, it turns out that there is no single user $u$ who accessed each of the IP addresses involved at the appropriate time; in other words, there's no $u$ so that $I(u, m)=i_{m}$ for each minute $m$ from 1 to $t$. So the question becomes: what if there were a small coalition of $k$ users that collectively carried out the attack? We will say that a subset $S$ of users is
a suspicious coalition if, for each minute $m$ from 1 to $t$, there is at least one user $u \in S$ for which $I(u, m)=i_{m}$. The Suspicious Coalition Problem asks: Given the collection of all values $I(u, m)$, and a number $k$, is there a suspicious coalition of size at most $k$ ? Prove that this problem is NP-complete.

