


3

## The Rendering Equation



The light shining on  $x$  from  $x'$  is equal to:

- the emitted light from  $x'$  toward  $x$ , plus
- for each bit of surface in the scene, how much light shines from that bit onto  $x'$  and is reflected toward  $x$ , scaled appropriately


$$L_s(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = \delta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') \left[ E(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') + \int_S \rho_{x'}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}'') L_s(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{x}'') \frac{\cos(\theta') \cos(\theta'')}{\|\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{x}''\|^2} d\mathbf{x}'' \right]$$

4

# The Rendering Equation

$$L_s(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = \delta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') \left[ E(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') + \int_S \rho_{x'}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}'') L_s(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{x}'') \frac{\cos(\theta') \cos(\theta'')}{\|\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{x}''\|^2} d\mathbf{x}'' \right]$$

sum over every bit of surface in the scene  
 scaled by distance from  $\mathbf{x}$  to  $\mathbf{x}''$   
 scaled by relative orientations (form factor)  
 Light energy hitting  $\mathbf{x}$  from  $\mathbf{x}''$



5

5

## Radiosity

- Assume all materials are perfectly Lambertian (diffuse only, no specularities)
  - Removes all dependence on directions
  - Reduces dimensionality of lightfield
  - Allows a FEM solution (break up into chunks)
- Can also relax assumption slightly...

6

6

# Early radiosity



7


7

# Assume Lambertian

$$L_s(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = \delta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') \left[ E(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') + \int_S \rho_{x'}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}'') L_s(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{x}'') \frac{\cos(\theta') \cos(\theta'')}{\|\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{x}''\|^2} d\mathbf{x}'' \right]$$

$$L_s(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = \delta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') \left[ E_{x'} + \int_S \rho_{x'} L_s(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{x}'') \frac{\cos(\theta') \cos(\theta'')}{\|\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{x}''\|^2} d\mathbf{x}'' \right]$$

Only term dependent on  $\mathbf{x}$



8


8

# Rewrite in Terms of Radiosity

$$L_s(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = \delta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') \left[ E_{x'} + \int_S \rho_{x'} L_s(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{x}'') \frac{\cos(\theta') \cos(\theta'')}{\|\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{x}''\|^2} d\mathbf{x}'' \right]$$

$$H_{x'} = E_{x'} + \rho_{x'} \int_S \delta(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{x}'') \frac{H_{x''} \cos(\theta') \cos(\theta'')}{2\pi \|\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{x}''\|^2} d\mathbf{x}''$$



Note: we changed defn of  $E$  here.





9

9

# Discretize into Patches



Piece-wise constant patches



Example mesh for Cornell Box  
by Mark Schmelzenbach

10

10

# Discretize into Patches



The Candlestick Theater,  
Mark Mack Architects.

11

11

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

# Discretize into Patches



The Candlestick Theater,  
Mark Mack Architects.

12

12

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---


---

---

# Rewrite in Terms of Patches

$$H_{x'} = E_{x'} + \rho_{x'} \int_S \delta(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{x}'') \frac{H_{x''} \cos(\theta') \cos(\theta'')}{2\pi \|\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{x}''\|^2} d\mathbf{x}''$$

$$H_i = E_i + \rho_i \sum_j H_j$$



Form factor from  $j$  to  $i$ ,  $F_{ij}$  →

Example of a rough approximation:

$$F_{ij} \approx \delta_{ij} \frac{\cos(\theta_i) \cos(\theta_j)}{2\pi \|\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{c}_j\|^2} A_j$$

13

# Radiosity Method

- Given the  $E_i$  and  $\rho_i$

- First compute  $F_{ij}$

- Then solve  $H_i = E_i + \rho_i \sum_j H_j F_{ij}$

- Comments:

- The matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  is typically very large
- It is also sparse (why?)
- Should be solved with an iterative method
  - e.g.: Jacobi or Gauss-Seidel
- Solution is view independent**

$$\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{e} + \mathbf{A}\mathbf{h}$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A})\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{e}$$

14

# Radiosity Method

- Given the light emitted and surface properties
- First compute  $F_{ij}$ , form factors between patches
- Then **solve a linear system to balance energy between all patches**
- Comments:
  - The system is very large
  - It is also sparse (why?)
  - Should be solved with an iterative method
    - e.g.: Jacobi or Gauss-Seidel
  - **Solution is view independent**

15

15

# Progressive Radiosity

- If magnitude of eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{A} < 1$

$$(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A})^{-1} = \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A}^2 + \mathbf{A}^3 + \dots$$

- True for form-factor matrices

- Use Gauss-Seidel-like iteration but reorder by priority

$$\mathbf{h}^{k+1} = \mathbf{h}^k + \mathbf{u}^{k+1}$$

$$\mathbf{u}^{k+1} = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{u}^k$$

$$\mathbf{h}^0 = 0 \quad \mathbf{u}^0 = \mathbf{e}$$

Idea: let important sources of light energy emit first, maybe don't even bother with dark things


Southwell Relaxation

16

16



# Progressive Radiosity



From dissertation "Efficient and predictive realistic image synthesis"  
by Karol Myszkowski

17


17

# Touchup

- Each patch will have a constant color
- Smooth solution (e.g. average to vertices)



Example mesh for Cornell Box  
by Mark Schmelzenbach



Does not match but you get the idea...

18

18

# Other Things


- Each patch will have a constant color
  - Smooth solution (e.g. average to vertices)
- No specular reflection
  - Add Phong specular term or raytraced specular reflection
- Grid artifacts
  - Be clever with grid...

19

19

# Hierarchical Radiosity

- Light smoothes with distance
  - Compare  $1/h^2$  with  $1/(h^2 + d^2)$  as  $h$  gets large




20

20

# Hierarchical Radiosity

- Light smoothes with distance
  - Compare  $1/h^2$  with  $1/(h^2 + d^2)$  as  $h$  gets large
- Group patches into hierarchy
  - Far interactions use lower-res form factors





21

21

# Computing Form Factors

- Form factors have a geometric meaning




Images from  
SIGGRAPH 93 Education Slide Set  
by Stephen Spencer

22

22

# Computing Form Factors

- Form factors have a geometric meaning
- “Hemicube” algorithm uses regular scan conversion



- The contribution of each cell on the surface of the hemicube to the form factor value is computed. This is the delta form factor for each cell.
- The polygon is projected onto the hemicube.
- The delta form factors for the covered cells are summed to get the approximation to the true form factor.

Images from SIGGRAPH 93 Education Slide Set by Stephen Spencer

23

23

# Computing Form Factors


- Form factors have a geometric meaning
- “Hemicube” algorithm uses regular scan conversion
- Also computed by ray-based sampling
- **In practice, computing form factors is the bottleneck**

24

24

# Photon Mapping

- Lights cast "photons" into environment
  - Cast in random directions
  - Trace into environment
  - Store records at intersections



25

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---


---

---

---

# Photon Mapping

- Lights cast "photons" into environment
  - Cast in random directions
  - Trace into environment
  - Store records at intersections
    - With KD-Trees...



26

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---


---

---


---

---

# Comparison



Ray Tracing



Ray Tracing w/ Photon Map

Catherine Bendebury and Jonathan Michaels  
CS 184 Spring 2005

27

27

# Photon Mapping



Image by Per Christensen

A ray traced image


Note:

- Dark shadows
- Unlit corners
- Nice reflections

28

28

# Photon Mapping



Raw photons

Note:  
Noisy  
Sparse

Image by Per Christensen

29

29

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

# Photon Mapping



Interpolated Photons

Note:  
Still noisy  
Biased

Image by Per Christensen

30

30

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

# Photon Mapping



Image by Per Christensen

Interpolated Photons  
(multiplied by diffuse)

Note:  
Still noisy  
Biased

31

31

# Photon Mapping

- Final Gather
  - Ray trace scene
  - Direct and specular rays as normal
  - Diffuse rays traced into photon map
- *Diffuse reflection smooths noise*

32

32



# Photon Mapping



Image by Per Christensen

Final Image

Note:


- Not noisy
- Nice lighting
- Reflections
- May still be biased

Final gather often bottleneck...

# Ambient Occlusion

- A “hack” to create more realistic ambient illumination cheaply
- Assume light from everywhere is partially blocked by local objects
  - At a point on the surface cast rays at random
  - Ambient term is proportional to percent of rays that hit nothing
  - Weight average by cosine of angle with normal
  - Take into account how far before occluded

# Ambient Occlusion



35

35

# Ambient Occlusion



Diffuse Only

Ambient Occlusion

Combined

36

36

