

Lecture 2: Matrix Decompositions, Norms, and Perturbation

Lecturer: Jiantao Jiao

Scribe: Ziyi Ma

This lecture is based on [1, Chapter 3].

1 Eigendecomposition

Suppose X is a real symmetric matrix $\in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ (i.e., $X_{i,j} = X_{j,i}, \forall (i,j)$).

Definition 1. Eigenpair: The pair (λ, \mathbf{v}) , where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbf{v} \neq 0$ is an eigenpair of X if $X\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v}$.

Theorem 2. If $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is a real symmetric matrix. Then it has n real eigenvalues, sorted as $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$. The corresponding eigenvectors $V = [\mathbf{v}_1 \ \mathbf{v}_2 \ \dots \ \mathbf{v}_n]$ form an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^n . Denote $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$, we have

$$X = V\Lambda V^T = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \mathbf{v}_i \mathbf{v}_i^T \quad (1)$$

2 Singular Value Decomposition (SVD)

Theorem 3 (Singular Value Decomposition). Suppose $X \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$. Then, the Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) of X is

$$X = U\Sigma V^T = \sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_i \mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{v}_i^T \quad (2)$$

where $\Sigma = \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_r) \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times r}, \sigma_i \geq 0, U = [\mathbf{u}_1 \ \mathbf{u}_2 \ \dots \ \mathbf{u}_r] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times r}, V = [\mathbf{v}_1 \ \mathbf{v}_2 \ \dots \ \mathbf{v}_r] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}$. Here \mathbf{u}_i are orthogonal to each other, and $\|\mathbf{u}_i\| = 1 \ \forall i$. Similarly, \mathbf{v}_i are orthogonal to each other, and $\|\mathbf{v}_i\| = 1 \ \forall i$.

Now, if we right-multiply X by a generic vector $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$X\mathbf{v} = \sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_i \mathbf{u}_i (\mathbf{v}_i^T \mathbf{v}) \quad (3)$$

We can calculate Σ, U, V using the eigendecompositions of XX^T and $X^T X$. Indeed,

$$XX^T = U\Sigma V^T V\Sigma U^T = U\Sigma^2 U^T \quad (4)$$

$$X^T X = V\Sigma^2 V^T \quad (5)$$

$$(6)$$

and

$$\sigma_i = \sqrt{\lambda_i(XX^T)} = \sqrt{\lambda_i(X^T X)} \quad (7)$$

3 Matrix Norm

Definition 4 (Vector p -norm). *p -norm:*

If $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, then

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_p = \begin{cases} (\sum_{i=1}^d |x_i|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} & p \geq 1 \\ \max_i |x_i| & p = \infty. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

3.1 Frobenius Norm

Given $X \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$,

$$\|X\|_F = \|\text{vec}(X)\|_2 = \sqrt{\sum_{i,j} X_{ij}^2} = \sqrt{\text{Tr}(XX^T)} \quad (9)$$

3.2 $p - q$ Norm

Note here $p \geq 1, q \geq 1$. Given $X \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$,

$$\|X\|_{p \rightarrow q} = \sup_{\|\mathbf{v}\|_p=1} \|X\mathbf{v}\|_q \quad (10)$$

The (2-2) norm is called operator norm $\|X\|_{op}$.

$$\|X\|_{op}^2 = \sup_{\|\mathbf{v}\|_2=1} \|X\mathbf{v}\|_2^2 = \sup_{\|\mathbf{v}\|_2=1} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_i \mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{v}_i^T \mathbf{v} \right\|_2^2 \quad (11)$$

$$= \sup_{\|\mathbf{v}\|_2=1} \sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_i^2 (\mathbf{v}_i^T \mathbf{v})^2 \quad (12)$$

$$= \sigma_1^2 \quad (13)$$

where σ_1 is the largest singular value of X .

3.3 Properties of Operator Norm

1. $\|X\|_{op} = \|X^T\|_{op}$
2. $\|XY\|_{op} \leq \|X\|_{op} \|Y\|_{op}$
3. R orthogonal then $\|XR\|_{op} = \|RX\|_{op} = \|X\|_{op}$ (orthogonally invariant).
4. If X is orthogonal, then $\|X\|_{op} = 1$
5. $X = [\mathbf{x} \quad \mathbf{x}, \dots, \mathbf{x}] \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times m} \Rightarrow \|X\|_{op} = \sqrt{m} \|\mathbf{x}\|_2$

3.4 Further insights of Operator Norm

$$\|X\|_{op} = \sigma_1(X) = \sup_{\|\mathbf{v}\|_2=\|\mathbf{u}\|_2=1} \langle X, \mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}^T \rangle \quad (14)$$

$$= \sup_{\|A\|_F=1, r(A)=1} \text{Tr}(X^T A) \quad (15)$$

where

$$\text{Tr}(X^T Y) = \sum_{i,j} X_{ij} Y_{ij} \quad (16)$$

4 Matrix Perturbation

First we observe that the eigenvalues of a matrix in general is not *Lipschitz* with respect to the perturbations measured in operator norm.

Example 5. Say originally,

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (17)$$

then this matrix is perturbed minimally by a very small number ϵ , denoted as X_ϵ :

$$X_\epsilon = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \epsilon & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (18)$$

However, in this case, X has eigenvalues $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$, while X_ϵ has eigenvalues $\lambda_1 = \sqrt{\epsilon}$, $\lambda_2 = -\sqrt{\epsilon}$, which is not Lipschitz in ϵ .

However, for symmetric matrices we indeed can show the eigenvalues are Lipschitz with respect to the perturbations measured in operator norm. Consider symmetric matrices X, Y, Z , where

$$Y = X + Z \quad (19)$$

Then

$$\lambda_1(X) + \lambda_n(Z) = \lambda_1(X) + \inf_{\|\mathbf{v}\|_2=1} \text{Tr}(Z\mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}^T) \quad (20)$$

$$\leq \sup_{\|\mathbf{v}\|_2=1} \text{Tr}((X+Z)\mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}^T) \quad (21)$$

$$= \lambda_1(Y) \quad (22)$$

$$\leq \lambda_1(X) + \lambda_1(Z) \quad (23)$$

\Rightarrow

$$|\lambda_1(X) - \lambda_1(Y)| \leq \max(|\lambda_1(Z)|, |\lambda_n(Z)|) \quad (24)$$

$$= \|Z\|_{op} \quad (25)$$

Theorem 6 (Weyl (Lidskii)'s Inequality). *If X, Y are both symmetric matrices, then*

$$|\lambda_i(X) - \lambda_i(Y)| \leq \|Z\|_{op}. \quad (26)$$

Now we want to know how much eigenvectors will be affected according to the Z perturbation. To compare the similarity of two eigenvectors, we will be using the angle θ between them. The smaller the angle, the closer the two eigenvectors since eigenvectors are all about directions, not magnitudes. Again, assuming that X, Y, Z are symmetric matrices,

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{u}_i^T \quad (27)$$

$$Y = \sum_{i=1}^n \rho_i \mathbf{v}_i \mathbf{v}_i^T \quad (28)$$

We introduce some measures of the distances between eigenvectors

1. $\min_{s \in \{1, -1\}} \|\mathbf{u} + s\mathbf{v}\|_2 = \sqrt{2 - 2|\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{v}|} = \sqrt{2 - 2\cos(\theta)} = 2\sin(\frac{\theta}{2})$
2. $\|\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}^T - \mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}^T\|_F = 2(1 - |\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{v}|^2) = 2\sin^2(\theta)$

Theorem 7 (Davis-Kahan).

$$\sin(\theta) \leq \frac{\|Z\|_{op}}{\max(\rho_1 - \lambda_2, \lambda_1 - \rho_2)} \quad (29)$$

Proof We know

$$X\mathbf{u}_1 = \lambda_1\mathbf{u}_1 \quad (30)$$

$$Y\mathbf{v}_1 = \rho_1\mathbf{v}_1 \quad (31)$$

Then we define

$$U_\perp = [\mathbf{u}_2 \ \mathbf{u}_3 \ \dots \ \mathbf{u}_n] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (n-1)}, \text{ orthogonal complement of } \mathbf{u}_1 \quad (32)$$

then

$$U_\perp^T X = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_2^T \\ \mathbf{u}_3^T \\ \dots \\ \mathbf{u}_n^T \end{bmatrix} X = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_2 & \dots \\ \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_2^T \\ \dots \\ \mathbf{u}_n^T \end{bmatrix} \quad (33)$$

Since also

$$Y = X + Z \quad (34)$$

\Rightarrow

$$U_\perp^T (X + Z)\mathbf{v}_1 = \rho_1 U_\perp^T \mathbf{v}_1 \quad (35)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_2 & \dots \\ \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_2^T \\ \dots \\ \mathbf{u}_n^T \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_1 + U_\perp^T Z \mathbf{v}_1 = \rho_1 U_\perp^T \mathbf{v}_1 \quad (36)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \rho_1 - \lambda_2 & \dots \\ \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \rho_1 - \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} U_\perp^T \mathbf{v}_1 = U_\perp^T Z \mathbf{v}_1 \quad (37)$$

$$U_\perp^T \mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\rho_1 - \lambda_2} & \dots \\ \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \frac{1}{\rho_1 - \lambda_n} \end{bmatrix} U_\perp^T Z \mathbf{v}_1 \quad (38)$$

Therefore

$$\|U_\perp^T \mathbf{v}_1\|_{op} \leq \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\rho_1 - \lambda_2} & \dots \\ \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \frac{1}{\rho_1 - \lambda_n} \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{op} \|U_\perp^T\|_{op} \|Z\|_{op} \quad (39)$$

and

$$\|U_\perp^T \mathbf{v}_1\|_2^2 = \mathbf{v}_1^T U_\perp U_\perp^T \mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{v}_1^T (1 - \mathbf{u}_1 \mathbf{u}_1^T) \mathbf{v}_1 = 1 - |\mathbf{v}_1^T \mathbf{u}_1|^2 = \sin^2(\theta) \quad (40)$$

□

References

- [1] Yihong Wu and Jiaming Xu. Statistical inference on graphs: Selected topics, October 2019. <http://www.stat.yale.edu/~yw562/teaching/stats-graphs.pdf>.