CS 194: Distributed Systems Communication Protocols, RPC

Computer Science Division Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Sciences University of California, Berkeley Berkeley, CA 94720-1776

ISO OSI Reference Model for Layers

Application Presentation Session Transport Network Datalink Physical





Encapsulation

- A layer can use only the service provided by the layer immediate below it
- Each layer may change and add a header to data packet - higher layer's header is treated as payload



OSI Model Concepts

- Service says what a layer does
- Interface says how to access the service
- Protocol says how is the service implemented
 - A set of rules and formats that govern the communication between two peers

Layering: Internet

- Universal Internet layer:
- Internet has only IP at the Internet layer
- Many options for modules above IP
- Many options for modules below IP













Transport Layer

- Services:
 - Multiplex multiple transport connections to one network connection
 - $-\operatorname{Provide}$ an error-free and flow-controlled end-to-end connection
 - Split one transport connection in multiple network connections
- Interface: send a packet to specify destination
- **Protocols:** implement reliability and flow control
- Examples: TCP and UDP















Steps of a Remote Procedure Call

- 1. Client procedure calls client stub in normal way
- 2. Client stub builds message, calls local OS
- 3. Client's OS sends message to remote OS
- 4. Remote OS gives message to server stub
- 5. Server stub unpacks parameters, calls server
- 6. Server does work, returns result to the stub
- 7. Server stub packs it in message, calls local OS
- 8. Server's OS sends message to client's OS
- 9. Client's OS gives message to client stub
- 10. Stub unpacks result, returns to client



- Server and client may encode parameters differently - E.g., big endian vs. little endian
- How to send parameters "call-by-reference"?
- Basically do "call-by-copy/restore"
- Woks when there is an array of fixed size
- How about arbitrary data structures?









RPC Semantics in the Presence of Failures

- The client is unable to locate the server
- The request message from the client to server is lost
- The reply message from the client is lost
- The server crashes after sending a request
- The client crashes after sending a request

Client is Unable to Locate Server

- Causes: server down, different version of server binary, ...
- Fixes
 - Return -1 to indicate failure (in Unix use *errno* to indicate failure type)
 - What if -1 is a legal return value?
 - Use exceptions
 - · Transparency is lost

Lost Request Message

- Easiest to deal with
- Just retransmit the message!
- If multiple message are lost then
 - "client is unable to locate server" error

Lost Reply Message

- Far more difficult to deal with: client doesn't know what happened at server
 - Did server execute the procedure or not?
- Possible fixes
 - Retransmit the request
 - Only works if operation is **idempontent**: it's fine to execute it twice
 - What if operation not idempotent?
 - Assign unique sequence numbers to every request

Server Crashes

- Two cases
 - Crash after execution
 - Crash before execution
- Three possible semantics
 - At least once semantics
 - Client keeps trying until it gets a reply
 - At most once semanticsClient gives up on failure
 - Exactly once semantics
 - Can this be correctly implemented?

Client Crashes

- Let's the server computation orphan
- Orphans can
 - Waste CPU cycles
 - Lock files
 - Client reboots and it gets the old reply immediately

Client Crashes: Possible Solutions

- Extermination:
 - Client keeps a log, reads it when reboots, and kills the orphan
 - Disadvantage: high overhead to maintain the \log
- Reincarnation:
 - Divide times in epochs
 - $-\,$ Client broadcasts epoch when reboots
 - Upon hearing a new epoch servers kills the orphans
 - $-\,$ Disadvantage: doesn't solve problem when network partitioned
- Expiration:
 - Each RPC is given a lease T to finish computation
 - $-\,$ If it does not, it needs to ask for another lease
 - If client reboots after T sec all orphans are gone
 - Problem: what is a good value of T?

RPC Semantics: Discussion

- The original goal: provide the same semantics as a local call
- Impossible to achieve in a distributed system
 - Dealing with remote failures fundamentally affects transparency
- Ideal interface: balance the easy of use with making visible the errors to users



