Scan the QR code to download the preprint



#### An Eager Satisfiability Modulo Theories Solver for Algebraic Datatypes

Amar Shah, *Federico Mora*, Sanjit A. Seshia





# What are Satisfiability Modulo Theories (SMT) Solvers?

(for quantifier-free algebraic datatypes)









# What are Algebraic Datatypes?





Is there a sequence of *k* legal moves that leads from the initial to the target configuration?

- 1. blocks can only be taken from the top of a stack;
- 2. blocks can only be placed on the top of a stack; and
- 3. only one block can be moved at a time.



Figure 1. Solution (1b, 1c, 1d) to a simple blocks world puzzle. 1a is the initial configuration; 1e is the target configuration.

# Algebraic Datatypes Example 1



Variables of type block can take on one of two values:
A or B

# **Algebraic Datatypes Example 2**



- Variables of type tower can be one of:
  - Empty;
  - Stack(A, Empty); Stack(B, Empty);
  - Stack(A, Stack(A, Empty)); Stack(B, Stack(A, Empty)); ...
  - ...
  - Stack(A, Stack(A, Stack(A, Stack(A, Stack(A, Empty))))); ...

•



# **Definition: Algebraic Datatypes**

#### Algebraic datatypes consist of

- constructors (e.g., Stack is a function from block \* tower to tower),
- selectors (e.g., rest is a function from tower to tower),
- testers (e.g., is\_Empty is a function from tower to boolean).

# **Definition: Algebraic Datatypes**

#### Algebraic datatypes consist of

- constructors (e.g., Stack is a function from block \* tower to tower),
- selectors (e.g., rest is a function from tower to tower),
- testers (e.g., is\_Empty is a function from tower to boolean).

The following informal axioms govern their behaviour:

- Selectors and constructors play nicely (e.g., Stack(A, Empty) rest returns Empty)
- Testers behave as expected (e.g., is\_Empty(Stack(A, Empty)) returns false).
- Every instance of an algebraic datatype is acyclic.

# What are Satisfiability Modulo Theories Solvers? Revisited

(for quantifier-free algebraic datatypes)





ADT Name; Constructor; Selector; Variable; Constraint



ADT Name; Constructor; Selector; Variable; Constraint



# **Other Applications of ADTs**

#### **Distributed Systems:**

- We used ADTs to verify distributed systems
  - node states are records,
  - messages are records, and
  - sequences of messages are an inductive type (like a list).

#### Hardware:

- We are using ADTs to model encryption in trusted enclaves
  - encryption with a constructor,
  - decryption with a selector, and
  - garbled text with a sum type.

# **Empirical Evaluation**

# Implementation and Tool Links

#### • Try out

- Algaroba, our prototype solver!
  - <u>https://github.com/uclid-org/algaroba</u>
- UCLID5, our formal modeling and verification engine with (coming) ADT support!
  - <u>https://github.com/uclid-org/uclid</u>
- The UPVerifier, our tool for distributed systems verification based on ADTs!
  - <u>https://github.com/uclid-org/upverifier</u>

### **Results: Overall Performance**



#### Our tool (Algaroba) solves more queries in less time (higher left is better)

Bouvier (2021); Barbosa et al. (2022); de Moura and Bjørner (2008); Hojjat and Rümmer (2017)

### **Results: Contribution Score**



Algaroba solves many queries that no other solver can (108/900), achieves the highest contribution score (rank in legend).

### **Related Work**

**Lazy Approaches** (Axioms as Needed):

- cvc5, SMTInterpol
  - Theory solver based on Oppen
- z3
  - (Unpublished but similar)

#### Eager Approaches (Axioms Upfront):

- Princess
  - Reduce to linear integer arithmetic
- Algaroba (our solver)



# How Do We Do It?

Eager Reduction to Core Solver Explained

### **Approach Sketch: Eager Reduction**



# **Challenge: Finite Reduction**

#### Well-Foundedness Axiom:

Let u and v be two ADT values. If  $u = v \cdot s_1 \cdot s_2 \dots s_n \wedge \theta$  then  $u \neq v$ ,

- where  $s_i$  are selectors and
- $\theta$  asserts that all  $s_i$  are correctly applied.

| let x: | tower;                  |
|--------|-------------------------|
| let y: | tower;                  |
| assert | <pre>x == y.rest;</pre> |
| assert | y == x.rest;            |

#### How can we have a finite, quantifier-free reduction if *n* is arbitrary?

# **Challenge: Finite Reduction**

#### Well-Foundedness Axiom:

Let u and v be two ADT values. If  $u = v \cdot s_1 \cdot s_2 \dots s_n \wedge \theta$  then  $u \neq v$ ,

- where  $s_i$  are selectors and
- $\theta$  asserts that all  $s_i$  are correctly applied.



# **Challenge: Finite Reduction**

#### Well-Foundedness Axiom:

Let u and v be two ADT values. If  $u = v \cdot s_1 \cdot s_2 \dots s_n \wedge \theta$  then  $u \neq v$ ,

- where *s<sub>i</sub>* are selectors and
- $\theta$  asserts that all  $s_i$  are correctly applied.



*Figure 3. Visual representation (left) and proof (right) of an unsatisfiable query.*  $\theta (\triangleq x \text{ is } Stack \land y \text{ is } Stack)$  omitted as premise.

Get  $x \neq x$  from *x*. *rest*. *rest* = *x*, with n = 2

# **Approach: Sufficient Encoding**



Let  $\psi$  be the input ADT query, k gives a bound that we use to compute  $\psi^*$ , a finite, quantifier-free UF query.

# **Approach: Sufficient Encoding**



# Thank you!



**Scan the QR code** to download the **preprint** 



# **Works Cited In Presentation**

- Winograd (1971);
- Sussman (1973);
- Gupta and Nau (1992);
- Barrett, Fontaine, and Tinelli (2017);
- Mora, Desai, Polgreen, and Seshia (2023);
- Bouvier (2021);
- Barbosa et al. (2022);
- de Moura and Bjørner (2008);
- Hojjat and Rümmer (2017);
- Seshia (2005);
- Burch and Dill (1994);
- Sebastiani (2007);
- Oppen (1980);
- Christ, Hoenicke, and Nutz (2012);