

# Fun with Filon Quadrature — a Computer Algebra Perspective

Richard Fateman  
Computer Science  
University of California  
Berkeley, CA, USA

May 7, 2014

## Abstract

Filon quadrature, designed specifically for a common class of oscillatory integrals, is easily implemented in a computer algebra system (CAS) using exact or approximate arithmetic. Although quadrature is almost always used in the context of approximation, we see that various properties are exhibited by running an exact algorithm on exact *symbolic* inputs. This experimental approach to the mathematics allows us to prove the implementation of the algorithm has the expected mathematical correctness properties, and is therefore more likely to be, itself, a correct implementation.

## 1 Introduction

Filon[2, 3] quadrature is supposed to be exact for integrands of the form  $f(x)\sin(mx)$  where  $f(x)$  is a polynomial of degree 2 or less. One parameter, namely the number of panels used for the formula, should be irrelevant if the formula is exact. Note that conventional numerical quadrature programs based on sampling typically run into substantial difficulties with highly oscillatory integrands.

## 2 An exact formula

Here is an algorithm representing an exact Filon formula for the sin integral from 0 to 1, using the syntax of the Macsyma or Maxima CAS. The coding follows the documentation for ACN Algorithm 353 [3], which should be available to the interested reader. Our code is somewhat more general and much simpler than the ACM FORTRAN code (The FORTRAN has various limitations because of its fixed precision, but is also complicated by the inclusion of code related to bounding error.)

```
filonse2(f,m,p) := /* Filon quadrature, Sin, Exact, f(x)*sin(m*x), from 0 to 1, p panels,*/
  block([h : 1/(2*p),k : m,theta,s2p,s2pm1,alf,bet,gam,f1],
    theta : k*h,
    s2p: sum(apply(f,[2*h*i])*sin(2*k*h*i),i,0,p) - (f1:apply(f,[1]))*sin(k)/2,
    s2pm1: sum(apply(f,[h*(2*i-1)]) *sin(k*h*(2*i-1)),i,1,p),
    alf : 1/theta+sin(2*theta)/2/theta^2 -2*sin(theta)^2/theta^3,
    bet : 2*((1+cos(theta))^2/theta^2 -sin(2*theta)/theta^3),
    gam : 4*(sin(theta)/theta^3-cos(theta)/theta^2),
    h*(alf*(apply(f,[0])-f1*cos(k))+bet*s2p+gam*s2pm1))
```

Other versions of this algorithm can be found elsewhere[1], which benefit by insisting relatively early in the calculation that all data will be given as specific precision floating-point numbers. Here we proceed “exactly” as far as possible.

If we wish to integrate  $(3x^2 + 4) * \sin(100x)$  from 0 to 1, an appropriate use of the function would be `filonse(lambda([x], 3*x^2+4), 100, 3)` where the final parameter 3 is just specifying how many panels

to use for subdividing the range of integration. (The “lambda” notation here is used to denote a function of one local variable  $x$  without making up a name for the function.)

Since the Filon formula should be exact for any quadratic function, `filonse(lambda([x], q*x^2+r*x+s), 100, 3)` should be exact. Invoking this command produces a large expression which can, by using a few additional commands<sup>1</sup> be reduced to

$$\frac{(5000 \cos 100 - 5000) s + (5000 \cos 100 - 50 \sin 100) r + (-100 \sin 100 + 4999 \cos 100 + 1) q}{500000}. \quad (1)$$

One simple way to show that this formula *is independent of the number of panels*, is to run same command with (say) 10 instead of 3 as the last parameter, resulting in the same formula after simplification. That means the Filon formula for certain cases deduces exact symbolic definite integrals and could be used as a (possibly much faster) alternative to the usual CAS program. The conventional symbolic indefinite integration would most likely find the antiderivative, and then after checking for singularities would apply the “fundamental theorem of integral calculus”, implemented by substitution of bounds.

Converting the above formula to a more compact (although now approximate) result, we learn that a formula for the integral is

$$0.00138 s - 0.00867 r - 0.00872 q$$

### 3 What else can be done with this algorithm?

Running the same experiment with an arbitrary cubic function shows that the formula depends on the number of panels, and thus this Filon formula is not exact for higher degree polynomials.

How does the argument of the sin enter into the formula? Consider `filonse(lambda([x], r*x+s), m, 2)`, a command which asks to integrate  $(rx + s) \sin(mx)$  from 0 to 1, using 2 panels. The command results in a large expression, but one which can, in a few simplification commands, be reduced to this:

$$\frac{(m \cos m - m) s + (m \cos m - \sin m) r}{m^2}$$

Naturally, this formula is exact for any values of  $r$ ,  $s$ , and non-zero  $m^2$ .

Could we also allow for a symbolic “number of panels”? In the algorithm as stated, not likely. We use  $p$  as a limit in a summation. While this can, at least in principle, be simplified to a closed form in terms of an arbitrary  $p$ , this seems unlikely. We have however, done so with other algorithms (in particular a symbolic Simpson’s rule), but these summation formulas are more daunting.

We can however leave parts of the formula even more symbolic. For example, with  $p = 1$  (which actually means there are two panels), we can feed the algorithm an arbitrary function  $f$  and an arbitrary frequency  $m$  and determine the points at which  $f$ , sin, cos are evaluated. The answers being  $f$  evaluated at 0, 0.5, 1; sin and cos at  $m/2$  and  $m$ . After some manipulation of the resulting expression, we can condense some of the pieces, eliminate some redundant subexpressions, and express the formula as a simple program (though too wide to be comfortably typeset on one line):

```
(
      (3*f(1)-4*f(0.5)+f(0))*m * sin(m)
+(-f(1)*m^2+4*f(1)-8*f(0.5)+4*f(0)) * cos(m)
+f(0)*m^2-4*f(1)+8*f(0.5)-4*f(0)
)/m^3.
```

### 4 Comments and Conclusion

Writing, debugging, testing scientific software can benefit from symbolic computation. Symbolic execution of Filon-style quadrature programs provides a neat example of such testing and shows how even a traditional

<sup>1</sup>The commands “expand”, “trigsimp” and “trigreduce”.

<sup>2</sup>Considering  $m = 0$  we could compute the limit of this expression and get the right answer, 0; alternatively, looking at the origin of the problem, a constant 0 integrand results in a 0 answer.

“numerical” routine can sometimes be executed with non-numeric parameters left in place – sort of like raisins in a muffin. Although there are more general approaches to oscillatory integrals [9, 10, 11, 1], this paper is intended to be a simple fun example, yet with potentially serious use.

This paper was originally written in 2006, and was lightly revised in 2014.

## References

- [1] R. Fateman “Numerical Integration for Oscillatory Integrands: a Computer Algebra Perspective, Draft.
- [2] L.N.G. Filon, “On a quadrature formula for trigonometric integrals,” *Proc. Roy Soc. Edinburgh* 49 1928-29 38.
- [3] S.M. Chase and L.D. Fosdick, “An algorithm for Filon Quadrature,” *CACM* 12 no 8 August 1969 453–457.
- [4] K.C. Chung, G.A. Evans, J.R. Webster, “A method to generate generalized quadrature rules for oscillatory integrals,” *Applied Numer. Math.* 34 (2000) 85–93.
- [5] G.A. Evans, “How Integration by Parts Leads to Generalised Quadrature Methods,” *Intern. J. Computer Math.*, 2003, vol 80(1), 75–81.
- [6] R.J. Fateman, “When is a function oscillatory?” draft, June, 2007.
- [7] R.J. Fateman, “Computer Algebra and Numerical Integration, Proc. SYMSAC’81 (ISSAC), August, 1981, 228–232.
- [8] K.O. Geddes, “Numerical Integration in a Symbolic Context” Proc. SYMSAC-86, (ISSAC) July, 1986, 185–191.
- [9] David Levin, “Fast integration of rapidly oscillatory functions,” *J. Computational and Applied Math.* 67 (1996), 95–101.
- [10] David Levin, <http://sites.google.com/site/andrewjmoylan/levinintegrate>. Also see [arxiv 0710.3140v1.pdf](http://arxiv.org/abs/0710.3140v1).
- [11] Newton Institute Seminars on Highly Oscillatory Problems, <http://www.newton.ac.uk/webseminars/pg+ws/2007/hop/>