

This is the "average" value of f ones gets if one repeats the experiment a great number of times.

EX: With S_1 , P_1 , f_1 as before,

$$\begin{aligned} E(f_1) &= (+1)*(p) + (-1)*(1-p) = 2*p-1 \\ &= 0 \text{ if coin fair } (p=1/2) \end{aligned}$$

Imagine betting \$1 on getting H. Then $E(f_1)$ is the amount you expect to win (if $E(f_1)>0$) or lose ($E(f_1)<0$) on the bet. If $E(f_1)=0$, you break even

EX: With S_2 , P_2 and f_2 as before,

If we flip a coin N times, we expect $E(f_2)$ to be the amount we win betting \$1 on flip to get H; and intuitively this should be $N*E(f_1) = N*(2*p-1)$

Formally, we get

$$\begin{aligned} E(f_2) &= \sum_{\{\text{sequences } x \text{ of } n \text{ Hs and Ts}\}} f_2(x)*P_2(x) \\ &= \sum_{\{\text{sequences } x \text{ of } n \text{ Hs and Ts}\}} (\#H \text{ in } x)*P_2(x) \end{aligned}$$

looks complicated, but later we will see that our intuition was right, and there is an easier way to do it that matches our intuitive approach

EX: With S_3 , P_3 , f_3 as before,

$$E(f_3) = (1/6)*1 + (1/6)*2 + \dots + (1/6)*6 = 21/6 = 7/2$$

EX: With S_5 , P_5 , f_5 as before,

$$\begin{aligned} E(f_5) &= \sum_{\{\text{persons } x\}} f_5(x)*P_5(x) \\ &= \sum_{\{\text{sick persons } x\}} f_5(x)*P_5(x) + \sum_{\{\text{healthy persons } x\}} f_5(x)*P_5(x) \\ &= \sum_{\{\text{sick persons } x\}} 1*(1/|S_5|) + \sum_{\{\text{healthy persons } x\}} 0*(1/|S_5|) \\ &= P(\text{random person is sick}) \end{aligned}$$

EX: S_6 , P_6 , f_6 as before, then $E(f_6) =$ average time for your algorithm to sort

EX: With S_4 , P_4 , f_4 , seem like you need to sum over all 6^{48} sequences, We need a simpler way:

$$\text{DEF: } P(f=r) = \sum_{\{\text{all outcomes } x \text{ in } S \text{ such that } f(x)=r\}} P(x)$$

EX: With S_1 , P_1 and f_1 as before

$$P_1(f_1=1) = P_1(H) = p, P_1(f_1=-1) = P_1(T) = 1-p$$

EX: With S_2 , P_2 and f_2 as before

ASK&WAIT: What is $P_2(f_2=i)$?

EX: With S_3 , P_3 and f_3 as before

$$P_3(f_3=k) = 1/6 \text{ for } k=1,2,\dots,6 \text{ and } P_3(f_3=k)=0 \text{ otherwise}$$

EX: With S_4 , P_4 and f_4 as before,

$$\begin{aligned} P_4(f_4=1) &= \text{sum}_{\{\text{all outcomes } x \text{ in which a pair of sixes appears}\}} P_4(x) \\ &= P_4(\text{a pair of sixes appears}) \end{aligned}$$

ASK&&WAIT: What is $P_4(f_4=-1)$?

EX: With S_5 , P_5 and f_5 as above,

ASK&WAIT: what is $P_5(f_5=1)$? $P_5(f_5=0)$?

Thm: $E(f) = \text{sum}_{\{\text{numbers } r \text{ in range of } f\}} r * P(f=r)$

Proof: Write down proof for S finite, but same for S countable

Let $\{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k\}$ be numbers in range of f , and write

$S = S_1 \cup S_2 \cup \dots \cup S_k$ where

$S_i = \{\text{outcomes } x \text{ in } S \text{ such that } f(x)=r_i\}$

and so $P(S_i) = P(f=r_i)$

Note that all S_i are pairwise disjoint, so we can write

$$\begin{aligned} E(f) &= \text{sum}_{\{x \text{ in } S\}} f(x) * P(x) \\ &= \text{sum}_{\{x \text{ in } S_1\}} f(x) * P(x) + \text{sum}_{\{x \text{ in } S_2\}} f(x) * P(x) \\ &\quad + \dots + \text{sum}_{\{x \text{ in } S_k\}} f(x) * P(x) \\ &= \text{sum}_{\{x \text{ in } S_1\}} r_1 * P(x) + \text{sum}_{\{x \text{ in } S_2\}} r_2 * P(x) \\ &\quad + \dots + \text{sum}_{\{x \text{ in } S_k\}} r_k * P(x) \end{aligned}$$

Look at one term:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sum}_{\{x \text{ in } S_i\}} r_i * P(x) &= r_i * \text{sum}_{\{x \text{ in } S_i\}} P(x) \\ &= r_i * P(S_i) \\ &= r_i * P(f=r_i) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{so } E(f) &= r_1 * P(f=r_1) + r_2 * P(f=r_2) + \dots + r_k * P(f=r_k) \\ &= \text{sum}_{\{\text{number } r \text{ in range of } f\}} r * P(f=r) \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

EX: With S_3 , P_3 and f_3 as above,

$$E(f_3) = \text{sum}_{\{k=1 \text{ to } 6\}} k * P(f=k) = \text{sum}_{\{k=1 \text{ to } 6\}} k * (1/6) = 7/2 \text{ as before}$$

EX: With S_4 , P_4 , f_4 as above,

$E(f_4)$ is the average amount one wins (if $E(f_4) > 0$) or loses (if $E(f_4) < 0$) every time one plays.

$$\begin{aligned} E(f_4) &= \text{sum}_{\{\text{numbers } r \text{ in range of } f\}} r * P(f_4=r) \\ &= +1 * P_4(\text{getting pair of sixes}) + (-1) * P_4(\text{not getting pair of sixes}) \\ &= P_4(\text{getting pair of sixes}) - P_4(\text{not getting pair of sixes}) \end{aligned}$$

ASK&WAIT: What is $P_4(\text{not getting pair of sixes})$?

$$\begin{aligned} P_4(\text{getting pair of sixes}) &= 1 - P_4(\text{not getting pair of sixes}) \\ &\sim 1 - .5086 = .4914 \end{aligned}$$

and $E(f_4) = .4914 - .5086 = -.0172$, so you lose in the long run

Note: In 1654 the gambler Gombaud asked Fermat and Pascal whether this was a good bet, inadvertently starting the field of probability theory

Note: If we do 25 rolls instead of 24,
P4(not getting a pair of sixes) drops to $(35/36)^{25} \sim .4945$
P4(getting pair of sixes) grows to .5055, so it is a good bet.

EX: Let S_5 , P_5 , f_5 be as above. Then

$$\begin{aligned} E(f_5) &= (+1)*P(f_5=1) + 0*P(f_5=0) \\ &= P(f_5=1) = P(\text{person sick}) \end{aligned}$$

This is a special case of the following lemma:

Lemma: Let S be a sample space, E subset S any event, and

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \text{ in } E \\ 0 & \text{if } x \text{ not in } E \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Then } E(f) = P(E)$$

ASK&WAIT: proof?

EX: S_2 , P_2 , f_2 as above:

$$\begin{aligned} E(f_2) &= \text{expected win betting \$1 on a coin } N \text{ times} \\ &= \sum_{i=0 \text{ to } N} i * P_2(\text{getting } i \text{ heads}) \\ &= \sum_{i=0 \text{ to } N} i * C(N, i) * p^i * (1-p)^{N-i} \end{aligned}$$

still isn't simple, so need a new idea:

Thm: Let S and P be a sample space and probability function, and let f and g be two random variables. Then

$$E(f+g) = E(f) + E(g)$$

Proof: Let $h=f+g$ be a new random variable.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } E(h) &= \sum_{\{\text{outcomes } x \text{ in } S\}} h(x) * P(x) \\ &= \sum_{\{\text{outcomes } x \text{ in } S\}} (f(x)+g(x)) * P(x) \\ &= \sum_{\{x\}} f(x) * P(x) + \sum_{\{x\}} g(x) * P(x) \\ &= E(f) + E(g) \end{aligned}$$

Corollary: Let S and P be as above, and $h = f_1 + f_2 + \dots + f_n$

$$\text{Then } E(h) = E(f_1) + E(f_2) + \dots + E(f_n)$$

EX: Let S_2 , P_2 , f_2 be as before. Then we can write

$$f_2 = g_1 + g_2 + \dots + g_N \text{ where}$$

$$g_i(x) = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } i\text{-th flip} = H \\ -1 & \text{if } i\text{-th flip} = T \end{cases}$$

$$\text{and } E(f_2) = E(g_1) + E(g_2) + \dots + E(g_N)$$

For any i $E(g_i) = (+1)*P(H) + (-1)*P(T) = p - (1-p) = 2*p-1$
 so $E(f_2) = N*(2*p-1)$
 which matches our original intuition about making N independent
 bets in a row (whew!)

EX: Let S_4 , P_4 , and f_4 be as before. Suppose you also make the side bet
 that you win 2 if at least 8 fives come up, and lose 2.5 if
 fewer than 8 fives come up. Is this joint bet worth making?

Answer: Let $g(x) = \{ +2 \text{ if at least 8 fives come up in } x \}$
 $\{ -2.5 \text{ if at most 7 fives come up in } x \}$

$$P(g=+2) = P(\text{at least 8 fives}) \\ = \sum_{i=8 \text{ to } 48} C(48,i) * (1/6)^i * (5/6)^{(48-i)} \\ \sim .55992$$

$$P(g=-2.5) = P(\text{at most 7 fives}) \\ = 1 - P(\text{at least 8 fives}) \\ = 1 - .55992 = .44008$$

$$E(g) \sim +2*.55992 - 2.5*.44008 \sim .0196$$

Then the value of the joint bet f_4+g is

$$E(f_4+g) = E(f_4)+E(g) \sim -.0172+.0196 = .0024$$

and being positive, is worth making.

EX: Suppose you shoot at a target, and miss it with probability p each
 time you try. What is the expected number of times you have to try
 before getting a hit?

$S = \{ H, MH, MMH, MMMH, \dots \}$

$$P(\text{MM...MH}) = p^{\#M} * (1-p)$$

$$f(\text{MM...MH}) = \#\text{shots} = \#M + 1$$

We want $E(f) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (m+1)*p^m*(1-p)$

$$\text{Recal } \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} p^m = 1/(1-p)$$

$$\text{so } d/dp (\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} p^m) = d/dp (1/(1-p))$$

$$\text{or } \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} m*p^{m-1} = 1/(1-p)^2$$

$$\text{or } \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} m*p^m*(1-p) = p/(1-p)$$

$$\text{so } \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (m+1)*p^m*(1-p) =$$

$$p/(1-p) + (1-p)/(1-p) = 1/(1-p)$$

$$\text{so } E(f) = 1/P(\text{hit})$$

So if $P(M)=.99$, you need to take $1/(1-.99) = 100$ shots on average to hit

EX: Suppose homework from 350 students is collected, graded, randomly
 shuffled, and handed back. What is the expected number of students
 who get their own homework back?

$$S = \{\text{permutations of 1 to 350}\}, P(\text{any permutation}) = 1/350! \sim 8e-741$$

$$f(\text{permutation } x) = \#\text{homeworks returned to right students,}$$

We want $E(f)$

Let $f_i(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if student } i \text{ gets right homework back} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Then $f(x) = f_1(x) + f_2(x) + \dots + f_{350}(x)$

and $E(f) = E(f_1) + \dots + E(f_{350})$

Now $E(f_i) = P(\text{student } i \text{ gets right homework})$

$= (\# \text{ permutations where student } i \text{ gets right homework})/350!$

$= (\# \text{ permutations of other 349 homeworks})/350!$

$= 349! / 350! = 1/350$

so $E(f) = 350 \cdot (1/350) = 1$

Result would be true for any number of students!

EX: Recall definition of independent sets:

$P(A \text{ inter } B) = P(A) * P(B)$; intuitively, this means that knowing whether or not you are a member of A tells you nothing about whether you are a member of B

EX: $S = \{ 2 \text{ coin flips} \} = \{ HH, HT, TH, TT \}$ with $P(H)=p$, $P(T)=q=1-p$

$A = \{ HH, HT \}$, $B = \{ HH, TH \}$

Then $P(A \text{ inter } B) = P(HH) = p^2 = P(A)*P(B)$

Let $f_1(e) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if first coin H} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ $f_2(e) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if second coin H} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Then $P(A \text{ inter } B) = P(f_1=1 \text{ and } f_2=1) = P(A)*P(B) = P(f_1=1)*P(f_2=1)$

Can also check that both A and complement(A) are independent with B and complement(B), i.e.

$P(f_1=r_1 \text{ and } f_2=r_2) = P(f_1=r_1)*P(f_2=r_2)$ for $r_1, r_2 = 0, 1$

(this was homework due yesterday!)

DEF Let f, g be random variables. Then we call

f and g independent if for all values of r and s

$P(\{x: f(x)=r \text{ and } g(x)=s\}) = P(\{x: f(x)=r\}) * P(\{x: g(x)=s\})$

This generalizes situation where $f, g = 1$ or 0 only. It still means intuitively that knowing about the value of f says nothing about the value of g . In this case, the result $P(A \text{ inter } B) = P(A)*P(B)$ generalizes as follows:

Thm 1: If f and g are independent, then $E(f*g) = E(f)*E(g)$

proof: $E(f*g) = \sum_{\{x \text{ in } S\}} f(x)*g(x)*P(x)$

$= \sum_{\{\text{pairs } (r,s)\}} \sum_{\{x \text{ such that } f(x)=r \text{ and } g(x)=s\}} f(x)*g(x)*P(x)$

because we do the same sum over x , just grouping

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{together those } x \text{ where } f(x)=r \text{ and } g(x)=s \\
&= \sum_{\text{pairs } (r,s)} \sum_{\{x \text{ such that } f(x)=r \text{ and } g(x)=s\}} r*s*P(x) \\
&= \sum_{\text{pairs } (r,s)} r*s* \sum_{\{x \text{ such that } f(x)=r \text{ and } g(x)=s\}} P(x) \\
&= \sum_{\text{pairs } (r,s)} r*s* P(f=r \text{ and } g=s) \\
&= \sum_{\text{pairs } (r,s)} r*s* P(f=r)*P(g=s) \\
& \quad \text{by independence} \\
&= \sum_{\{r\}} \sum_{\{s\}} r*s* P(f=r)*P(g=s) \\
& \quad \text{just a different way of summing over all pairs } (r,s) \\
&= \sum_{\{r\}} r*P(f=r) * \sum_{\{s\}} s*P(g=s) \\
&= E(f) \quad \quad \quad * E(g)
\end{aligned}$$

as desired.

EX: Flip a biased coin 10 times

$S = \{\text{all sequences of 10 Hs and Ts}\}$, $P(x) = 1/2^{10}$

Let $f = \#H - \#T$ in first 5 flips

Let $g =$ "turn last 5 flips into binary number b , via $1=H$ and $0=T$ "

Then f and g are independent, because they depend on independent events (first 5 flips vs last 5 flips) so $E(f*g)=E(f)*E(g)$

What are $E(f)$? $E(g)$? $E(f*g)$?

$$E(f) = E(\#H) - E(\#T) = 5*p - 5*(1-p) = 10*p-5$$

To compute $E(g)$, let $b_4 = \{ 1 \text{ if coin 6} = H, 0 \text{ otherwise } \}$

$b_3 = \{ 1 \text{ if coin 7} = H, 0 \text{ otherwise } \}$

...

$b_0 = \{ 1 \text{ if coin 10} = H, 0 \text{ otherwise } \}$

Then $b = b_4*2^4 + b_3*2^3 + b_2*2^2 + b_1*2 + b_0$

so $E(g) = E(b) = E(b_4)*2^4 + \dots + E(b_0)$

$$= p*2^4 + \dots + p$$

$$= p*31$$

Finally, $E(f*g)=E(f)*E(g)=(10*p-5)*p*31$