

Welcome to Ma221! (Apr 26)

Chebyshev Polynomials

Convergence of CG

Accelerating Splitting Methods

Recall Krylov Subspace Methods:

seek "best" x_k approximating $A^{-1}b$

in $\mathcal{K}_k(A, b) = \text{span}\{b, Ab, A^2b, \dots, A^{k-1}b\}$

$$= \{p_{k-1}(A)b, p_{k-1} \text{ degree} \leq k-1\}$$

CG: "best" x_k minimizes

$$\|r_k\|_{A^{-1}}^2 = \|Ax_k - b\|_{A^{-1}}^2$$

$$= (Ax_k - b)^T A^{-1} (Ax_k - b)$$

$$= (A p_{k-1}(A)b - b)^T A^{-1} (A p_{k-1}(A)b - b)$$

$$= (q_k(A)b)^T A^{-1} (q_k(A)b)$$

$$q_k(A) = I - A p_{k-1}(A)$$

= polynomial of degree $\leq k$

with $q_k(0) = 1$

$$= b^T q_k(A) A^{-1} q_k(A) b$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= b^T (g_k(A))^2 A^T b \\
A \text{ spd} &\Rightarrow A = Q \Lambda Q^T \quad y = Q^T b \\
&= y^T g_k^2(\Lambda) \Lambda^{-1} y \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n g_k^2(\lambda_i) \lambda_i^{-1} y_i^2
\end{aligned}$$

So "best" λ_k corresponds to best $g_k(x)$ i.e. minimizes weighted sum of squares $g_k^2(\lambda_i)$ over evals of A

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \max_i g_k^2(\lambda_i) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^{-1} y_i^2 \\
&= \max_i g_k^2(\lambda_i) \cdot y^T \Lambda^{-1} y \\
&= \max_i g_k^2(\lambda_i) \cdot \|b\|_{A^{-1}}^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\|Ax_k - b\|_{A^{-1}}}{\|b\|_{A^{-1}}} \leq \max_i g_k(\lambda_i)$$

So seek g_k where $g_k(0) = 1$ and $|g_k(\lambda_i)|$ small for all evals λ_i of A

Use Chebyshev polynomials
to find good $p_k(x)$

Also use Chebyshev polynomials
to accelerate splitting Methods

$$x_k = R x_{k-1} + c \quad \text{where exact} \\ x = R x + c \\ \text{and } x_k \rightarrow x \quad \text{when } \|R\| < 1$$

$$x_k - x = R(x_{k-1} - x) \\ = R^k(x_0 - x)$$

$$\text{Seek } y_k = \sum_{i=0}^k c_{ki} x_i$$

that converges to x faster
than x_k

$$\text{If } x_0 = x \Rightarrow x_i = x \Rightarrow$$

$$x = \sum_{i=0}^k c_{ki} x \Rightarrow 1 = \sum_{i=0}^k c_{ki}$$

$$y_k - x = \sum_{i=0}^k c_{ki} x_i - x \\ = \sum_{i=0}^k c_{ki} (x_i - x)$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^k c_{ki} R^i(x_0 - x)$$

$$(\dagger\dagger) y_{k-x} = p_k(R) (x_0 - x)$$

Seek polynomial $p_k(R)$
that is small at evals of R

$$\text{and } p_k(1) = 1$$

again use (scaled) Chebyshev
polynomials

don't need to keep x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k ,
just $y_{k-2}, y_{k-1}, y_k \Rightarrow$ cheap

Def: The m^{th} Chebyshev polynomial
is defined by 3-term recurrence

$$T_0(z) = 1, \quad T_1(z) = z$$

$$T_m(z) = 2 \cdot z \cdot T_{m-1}(z) - T_{m-2}(z)$$

$$\text{eg } T_2(z) = 2z^2 - 1 \text{ etc}$$

Lemma (Lemma 6.7 in text)

$$(a) T_m(1) = 1$$

$$(b) T_m(z) = 2^m z^m + O(z^{m-1})$$

(c) $T_m(\cos y) = \cos(m \cdot y)$
 applies when $|z| \leq 1$, \Rightarrow
 if $|z| \leq 1$ then $|T_m(z)| \leq 1$

(d) $T_m(\cosh y) = \cosh(m \cdot y)$
 applies to $T_m(z)$, $|z| \geq 1$

(e) If m is even (resp odd) then
 $T_m(z)$ is even (resp odd) polynomial
 $T_m(-z) = (-1)^m T_m(z)$

(f) If $|z| \geq 1$,

$$T_m(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left[(z + \sqrt{z^2 - 1})^m + \frac{1}{(z + \sqrt{z^2 - 1})^m} \right]$$

(g) $T_m(1 + \varepsilon) \geq .5 (1 + m \sqrt{2\varepsilon})$ if $\varepsilon > 0$
 see Fig 6.6 in text

Apply to convergence analysis of CG
 using

$$(*) \quad \frac{\|Ax_k - b\|_{A^{-1}}}{\|b\|_{A^{-1}}} \leq \max_i |g_k(\lambda_i)|$$

$$g_k(0) = 1$$

$0 < \lambda_{\min} \leq \lambda_{\max}$ be eval range of A

$$\lambda_{\min} \leq z \leq \lambda_{\max} \Rightarrow$$

$$-1 \leq \frac{\lambda_{\max} + \lambda_{\min} - 2z}{\lambda_{\max} - \lambda_{\min}} \leq 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{let } g_k(z) = \frac{T_k\left(\frac{\lambda_{\max} + \lambda_{\min} - 2z}{\lambda_{\max} - \lambda_{\min}}\right)}{T_k\left(\frac{\lambda_{\max} + \lambda_{\min}}{\lambda_{\max} - \lambda_{\min}}\right)}$$

$g_k(0) = 1$ and for $\lambda_{\min} \leq z \leq \lambda_{\max}$

$$|g_k(z)| \leq \frac{1}{T_k\left(\frac{\lambda_{\max} + \lambda_{\min}}{\lambda_{\max} - \lambda_{\min}}\right)} \quad \text{by prop c in Lemma}$$

$$= \frac{1}{T_k\left(\frac{\kappa + 1}{\kappa - 1}\right)} \quad \kappa = \frac{\lambda_{\max}}{\lambda_{\min}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{T_k\left(1 + \frac{2}{\kappa - 1}\right)}$$

$$\leq \frac{2}{1 + \frac{2k}{\sqrt{\kappa - 1}}} \quad \text{by prop g in Lemma}$$

\Rightarrow need $k = O(\sqrt{\kappa})$ steps to converge

Use polynomials to accelerate
splitting methods like SOR

Need a polynomial $p_k(z)$:

$p_k(z)$ small for $z = \text{eval of } R$
and $p_k(1) = 1$

If splitting method converges

need all $|d_i| < 1$ i.e. $\rho(A) < 1$
spectral radius

Chebyshev polynomial properties
assume z real

\Rightarrow assume R has real evals

let p satisfy

$$-1 < -p \leq \lambda_{\min}(R) \leq \lambda_{\max}(R) \leq p < 1$$

Need $\lambda_{\min}(R)$ and $\lambda_{\max}(R)$, or p
to derive algorithm

eg. Model problem

limits applicability, but sometimes
works

$$p_k(z) = \frac{T_k(z/\rho)}{T_k(1/\rho)} = 1 \text{ at } z=1$$

$$\text{if } |z| \leq \rho \Rightarrow |p_k(z)| \leq \frac{1}{|T_k(1/\rho)|}$$

How much faster can this converge than $x_{k+1} = R x_k + C$?

$\rho = 1 - \varepsilon$, use prop of Lemma

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|T_k(1/\rho)|} &= \frac{1}{|T_k(1/(1-\varepsilon))|} \\ &= \frac{1}{|T_k(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon})|} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{1 + k\sqrt{2\varepsilon/(1-\varepsilon)}} \\ &\sim 2(1 - k\sqrt{2\varepsilon}) \end{aligned}$$

contrast with original splitting

$$\text{method } \rho^k = (1 - \varepsilon)^k \approx 1 - k\varepsilon$$

i.e. $\sqrt{\cdot}$ as many steps to converge,

an asymptotic improvement

Implement cheaply using
3-term Recurrence

$$T_{k+1}(z) = 2zT_k(z) - T_{k-1}(z)$$

Scale to get recurrence for $p_k(z)$

$$N_k = \frac{1}{T_k(1/p)}$$

$$p_{k+1}(z) = N_{k+1} T_{k+1}(z/p)$$

$$= N_{k+1} \left(2\left(\frac{z}{p}\right) T_k\left(\frac{z}{p}\right) - T_{k-1}\left(\frac{z}{p}\right) \right)$$

$$= N_{k+1} \left(2\left(\frac{z}{p}\right) \frac{p_k(z)}{N_k} - \frac{p_{k-1}(z)}{N_{k-1}} \right)$$

$$= \underbrace{\left(\frac{2N_{k+1}}{p \cdot N_k} \right)}_{\alpha_k} z \cdot p_k(z) - \underbrace{\left(\frac{N_{k+1}}{N_{k-1}} \right)}_{\beta_k} p_{k-1}(z)$$

$$= \alpha_k \cdot z \cdot p_k(z) + \beta_k p_{k-1}(z)$$

Note that $\frac{1}{N_k} = T_k(1/p)$ can
be computed cheaply by 3-term
recurrence, so α_k, β_k cheap

Apply 3-term recurrence to

$$(*) \quad y_{k+1} - x = p_{k+1}(R) \cdot (x_0 - x)$$

$$= \alpha_k R p_k(R) (x_0 - x) + \beta_k p_{k-1}(R) (x_0 - x)$$

$$= \alpha_k R (y_k - x) + \beta_k (y_{k-1} - x)$$

$$= \alpha_k R y_k + \beta_k y_{k-1} - \alpha_k R x - \beta_k x$$

$$y_{k+1} = \alpha_k R y_k + \beta_k y_{k-1} + \underbrace{-\alpha_k R x - \beta_k x + x}$$

simplify last 3 terms using

$$x = Rx + c$$

$$-\alpha_k R x - \beta_k x + x = -\alpha_k (x - c) - \beta_k x + x$$

$$= \underbrace{(-\alpha_k - \beta_k + 1)}_{=0} \cdot x + \alpha_k \cdot c$$

$$= 0 : \text{plug } z=1 \rightarrow p_{k+1}(z) = \alpha_k z p_k(z) + \beta_k p_{k-1}(z)$$

$$= \alpha_k \cdot c$$

$$1 = \alpha_k + \beta_k$$

Final algorithm:

$$y_{k+1} = \alpha_k R y_k + \beta_k y_{k-1} + \alpha_k \cdot c$$

cost \sim same as original splitting method

All this assumed R has
real eval bounded by $p < 1$

Try on Jacobi for Model problem:

$$\begin{aligned} R_j \text{ was symmetric} \\ \rho(R_j) &= \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{N+1}\right) \approx 1 - \frac{\pi^2}{2(N+1)^2} \\ &= 1 - O\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

\Rightarrow Jacobi take $O(N^2)$ iterations
to converge, vs. $O(N)$ for
Chebyshev, **big improvement**

But we know $SOR(w_{opt})$ does
 $O(N)$ iterations

\Rightarrow Try to apply Chebyshev to
 $SOR(w_{opt})$

However, $R_{SOR(w_{opt})}$ has
complex evals

Clever fix: Run $SOR(w)$ twice,
in forwards (Red then Black) direction
and backwards (Black then Red) **directions**

\Rightarrow makes $R_{SSOR}(w)$ symmetric
 $SSOR(w) = \text{symmetric } SOR(w)$

How to pick w ? Still have
good estimate $\rho(R_{SSOR}(w_{opt})) = 1 - O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}})$

\Rightarrow can Apply Chebyshev to accelerate
 $SSOR(w_{opt}) \Rightarrow$ only need $O(\sqrt{N})$
iterations to converge instead of $O(N)$

For 2D Poisson on $N \times N$ mesh, $n = N^2$
need $O(N^2 \sqrt{N})$ flops = $O(n^{5/4})$ flops
versus $O(n^{3/2})$ for $SOR(w_{opt})$

For 3D Poisson on $N \times N \times N$ mesh
 $n = N^3$, need $O(N^3 \sqrt{N}) = O(n^{7/6})$
flops, closer to lower
bound of $O(n)$

Finished explaining table
of all algorithms for Poisson
in Lecture 21