

Welcome to Ma 221!

Finish Norms, SVD, condition number

$$\text{SVD } A = U \Sigma V^T \quad m \geq n$$

V, U orthog

$$\Sigma = \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n) \quad \sigma_1 \geq \dots \geq \sigma_n \geq 0$$

$$= \begin{matrix} m & & n \\ \square & \square & \square \end{matrix}$$

$$= \begin{matrix} n \\ \square \end{matrix} \square \square$$

"thin SVD"

Solve $Ax = b$ square $n \times n$

$$A^{-1} = V \Sigma^{-1} U^T$$

$$x = A^{-1}b = V(\Sigma^{-1}(U^T b)) = (V \Sigma^{-1} U^T)b$$

cost = $O(n^2)$, LU cheaper

Also solves $x = \underset{x}{\text{argmin}} \|Ax - b\|_2$

$$x = \underbrace{V \Sigma^{-1} U^T} b \quad \text{with thin SVD}$$

Moore Penrose pseudoinverse

works for low rank too

QR factorization cheaper for LS

SVD more reliable when
 A (near) low rank, error bounds too

Fact 3: A symmetric with
 evals $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$
 orthonormal evects $V = [v^{(1)}, \dots, v^{(n)}]$

then $A = V \Lambda V^T$ ($AV = V \Lambda$)
 $= \text{SVD}$ if $\lambda_i \geq 0$

otherwise $= \underbrace{(VD)}_{D = \text{diag}(\text{sign}(\lambda_i))} \underbrace{(D\Lambda)}_{\Lambda} V^T$

Fact 4: using thin SVD

$$\begin{aligned} A^T A &= (U \Sigma V^T)^T (U \Sigma V^T) \\ &= V \Sigma^T (U^T U) \Sigma V^T \\ &= V \Sigma^T \Sigma V^T \\ &= \text{eigendecomp of } A^T A \end{aligned}$$

Fact 5: $AA^T = U \underbrace{\Sigma \Sigma^T}_{\Sigma} U^T$ with thin SVD
 $= \square \square \square$
 $= \square \square \square$

Fact 6: $H = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A^T \\ A & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (m+n) \times (m+n)$

H has evals $\pm \sigma_i$

evects $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} v(i) \\ \pm v(i) \end{bmatrix}$

\Rightarrow algs for sym eig and SVD
closely related

Fact 7: $\|A\|_2 = \sigma_1 \quad \|A^{-1}\|_2 = \frac{1}{\sigma_n}$

$\sigma_1 \geq \dots \geq \sigma_n > 0$

Def: $\kappa(A) = \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_n} =$ condition number
of A

Fact 8: Let S be a unit sphere
in \mathbb{R}^n then $A \cdot S$ is an
ellipsoid centered at 0 with principal
axes $\sigma_i v_i$

Proof: $s = [s_1, \dots, s_n] \cdot \|s\|_2 = 1$

$A s = U \underbrace{\Sigma V^T s}_{\text{unit}} = U \Sigma \hat{s} = \sum_i v_i \sigma_i \hat{s}_i$

$$v_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad v_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad Ax = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 \hat{s}_1 \\ \sigma_2 \hat{s}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\left(\frac{x}{\sigma_1}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{y}{\sigma_2}\right)^2 = \hat{s}_1^2 + \hat{s}_2^2 = 1$$

Fact 9: Suppose

$$\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \dots \geq \sigma_r > 0 = \sigma_{r+1} = \dots = \sigma_n$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{rank}(A) = r$$

$$\text{nullspace} = \text{span}(v_{r+1}, \dots, v_n)$$

$$\text{range space} = \text{span}(u_1, \dots, u_r)$$

$$Ax = U \Sigma V^T x$$

$$= \sum_i u_i \sigma_i (v_i^T x)_i$$

Fact 10: Matrix A_k of rank k closest to A in 2-norm is

$$A_k = \sum_{i=1}^k u_i \sigma_i v_i^T = U \Sigma_k V^T$$

$$\Sigma_k = \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k, 0, \dots, 0)$$

$$\|A_k - A\|_2 = \sigma_{k+1}$$

In particular, closest non-full rank matrix to A is at distance $\sigma_n = \sigma_{\min}$

proof: easy: A_k has rank k
 and right distance to A
 $A - A_k = \sum_{i=k+1}^n u_i \sigma_i v_i^T$

why is A_k closest such matrix?

Suppose B has rank k , show

$$\|A - B\|_2 \geq \sigma_{k+1}$$

nullspace of B has dimension $n-k$

Space spanned by $\{v_1, \dots, v_{k+1}\}$ has
 dimension $k+1$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{nullspace}(B) & \cap & \text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_{k+1}\} & \ni & \{h\} & & \\ n-k & + & k+1 & & = & n+1 & \end{array}$$

\Rightarrow these two spaces intersect in
 some unit vector h

$$\|A - B\|_2 \geq \|(A - B)h\|_2 = \|Ah\|_2$$

$$= \left\| U \underbrace{\Sigma}_{x} V^T h \right\|_2 \quad x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_k \\ x_{k+1} \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \| \Sigma x \|_2 = \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 x_1 \\ \vdots \\ \sigma_{k+1} x_{k+1} \\ 0 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2 \geq \sigma_{k+1} \|x\|_2 = \sigma_{k+1}$$

Start using SVD, norms,
to analyze condition number
for A^{-1} and solving $Ax=b$:
if A (and b) change a little bit,
how much can A^{-1} (and $A^{-1}b$) change?

if $|x| < 1$, $\frac{1}{1-x} = 1 + x + x^2 + \dots$

Generalizes to matrices:

Lemma: If operator norm $\|X\| < 1$

then $I - X$ nonsingular

$$(I - X)^{-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} X^i, \quad \|(I - X)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{1 - \|X\|}$$

proof: Claim $I + X + X^2 + \dots$ converges

$$\|X^i\| \leq \|X\|^i \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty$$

\Rightarrow each entry of $I + X + X^2 + \dots$

bounded by a convergent geometric

series \Rightarrow converges

$$(I - X) \underbrace{(I + X + X^2 + \dots + X^i)}_{\text{approaches } (I - X)^{-1}} \\ = I - X^{i+1} \rightarrow I \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\| I + X + X^2 + \dots \|$$

$$\leq \| I \| + \| X \| + \| X^2 \| + \dots$$

$$\leq \| I \| + \| X \| + \| X \|^2 + \dots$$

$$= 1 + \| X \| + \| X \|^2 + \dots$$

$$= \frac{1}{1 - \| X \|}$$

Later: generalize for matrix functions $f(x)$, eg $e^x = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^i}{i!}$

Lemma: Suppose A invertible

Then $A-E$ invertible if

$$\| E \| < \frac{1}{\| A^{-1} \|}, \text{ in which case}$$

$$(A-E)^{-1} = A^{-1} + A^{-1}(EA^{-1}) + A^{-1}(EA^{-1})^2 + \dots$$

$$\| (A-E)^{-1} \| \leq \frac{\| A^{-1} \|}{1 - \underbrace{\| E \| \cdot \| A^{-1} \|}_{< 1}}$$

proof: $(A-E)^{-1} = ((I - EA^{-1})A)^{-1}$

$$= A^{-1} (I - \underbrace{EA^{-1}}_X)^{-1} \quad \text{invertible}$$

if $\| X \| < 1 \quad \| EA^{-1} \| \leq \| E \| \cdot \| A^{-1} \| < 1$

$$\begin{aligned} (A-E)^{-1} &= A^{-1} (I + X + X^2 + \dots) \\ &= A^{-1} (I + (EA^{-1}) + (EA^{-1})^2 + \dots) \end{aligned}$$

$$\|(A-E)^{-1}\| \leq \|A^{-1}\| \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \|EA^{-1}\|} \leq \frac{\|A^{-1}\|}{1 - \|E\| \cdot \|A^{-1}\|}$$

Finally: how much can A^{-1} and $(A-E)^{-1}$ differ?

Lemma: Suppose A invertible and $\|E\| < \frac{1}{\|A^{-1}\|}$

$$\|(A-E)^{-1} - A^{-1}\| \leq \frac{\|A^{-1}\|^2 \cdot \|E\|}{1 - \|E\| \cdot \|A^{-1}\|}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{proof: } (A-E)^{-1} - A^{-1} &= \\ &= A^{-1}(EA^{-1}) + A^{-1}(EA^{-1})^2 + \dots \\ &= (A^{-1}EA^{-1}) (I + EA^{-1} + (EA^{-1})^2 + \dots) \end{aligned}$$

take norms

$$\frac{\|(A-E)^{-1} - A^{-1}\|}{\|A^{-1}\|} \leq \frac{\|A^{-1}\| \cdot \|A\|}{1 - \|E\| \cdot \|A^{-1}\|} \cdot \frac{\|E\|}{\|A\|}$$

relative error in output

condition number of A : $\kappa(A) = \|A\| \cdot \|A^{-1}\|$

rel error in input

Fact: $\kappa(A) \geq 1$

$$\text{proof } 1 = \|I\| = \|A \cdot A^{-1}\| \leq \|A\| \cdot \|A^{-1}\| = \kappa(A)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Thm } \min \left\{ \frac{\|E\|}{\|A\|} : A-E \text{ singular} \right\} \\ = \text{relative distance from } A \\ \text{to nearest singular matrix} \\ = 1 / \kappa(A) \end{aligned}$$

proof for $\|\cdot\|_2$ using SVD

$$\min \left\{ \|E\|_2 : A-E \text{ singular} \right\} = \sigma_{\min}(A)$$

relative dist to singularity =

$$\frac{\sigma_{\min}(A)}{\|A\|_2} = \frac{\sigma_{\min}(A)}{\sigma_{\max}(A)}$$

$$\|A^{-1}\|_2 = \|(U \Sigma V^T)^{-1}\|_2 = \|V \Sigma^{-1} U^T\|_2$$

$$= \|\Sigma^{-1}\|_2 = \frac{1}{\sigma_{\min}}$$

$$\frac{\sigma_{\min}}{\sigma_{\max}} = \frac{1}{\sigma_{\max}/\sigma_{\min}} = \frac{1}{\|A\|_2 \cdot \|A^{-1}\|_2} = \frac{1}{\kappa(A)}$$

Extend analysis to solving

$$Ax=b \quad \text{vs} \quad (A-E)\hat{x} = b+f$$

$$\hat{x} = x + \delta \quad \text{goal: bound } \|\delta\|$$

$$\text{Subtract: } A \cdot \delta x - E \cdot x - E \cdot \delta x = f$$

$$(A-E)\delta x = f + E x$$

$$\delta x = (A-E)^{-1} (f + E x)$$

$$\|\delta x\| = \|(A-E)^{-1} (f + E x)\|$$

$$\leq \|(A-E)^{-1}\| \cdot \|f + E x\|$$

$$\leq \frac{\|A^{-1}\|}{1 - \|E\| \cdot \|A^{-1}\|} (\|f\| + \|E\| \cdot \|x\|)$$

$$\frac{\|\delta x\|}{\|x\|} \leq \frac{\|A^{-1}\| \cdot \|A\|}{1 - \|E\| \cdot \|A^{-1}\|} \left(\frac{\|f\|}{\|x\| \cdot \|A\|} + \frac{\|E\|}{\|A\|} \right)$$

$$\underbrace{\frac{\|\delta x\|}{\|x\|}}_{\text{relative change in } x} \leq \underbrace{\frac{\|A^{-1}\| \cdot \|A\|}{1 - \|E\| \cdot \|A^{-1}\|}}_{\text{condition number}} \left(\underbrace{\frac{\|f\|}{\|b\|}}_{\text{rel change in } f} + \underbrace{\frac{\|E\|}{\|A\|}}_{\text{rel change in } A} \right)$$

All our algorithms will try to guarantee $\frac{\|f\|}{\|b\|}$ and $\frac{\|E\|}{\|A\|}$ are small ("backward stable") hopefully $O(\text{macheps})$

Practical Question:

given x what is backward error?

Compute residual $r = Ax - b$

$$r = A \hat{x} - A x = A(\hat{x} - x) = A \cdot \text{error}$$

$$\text{error} = A^{-1} \cdot r \quad \|\text{error}\| \leq \|A^{-1}\| \cdot \|r\|$$

Thm: Smallest E in norm such that
 $(A + E)\hat{x} = b$ has norm $\|E\| = \frac{\|r\|}{\|\hat{x}\|}$

proof: $r = A\hat{x} - b = -E\hat{x}$

$$\|r\| = \|E\hat{x}\| \leq \|E\| \cdot \|\hat{x}\|$$

$$\|r\|/\|\hat{x}\| \leq \|E\|$$

attainable (2-norm): $E = \frac{-r \cdot \hat{x}^T}{\|\hat{x}\|_2^2}$

small residual \Rightarrow small backward error

If want better accuracy, can
 use iterative refinement (Newton)

Practical error bounds

how to bound $\|A^{-1}\|$ cheaply
 computing A^{-1} costs $O(n^3)$ extra,
 we want just $O(n^2)$

$$\|A^{-1}\| = \max_{\|x\|=1} \|A^{-1}x\|$$

$$= \max_{\|x\| \leq 1} \|A^{-1}x\|$$

use gradient ascent
"go uphill" pick next x to
increase $\|A^{-1}x\|$
each step costs $O(n^2)$
 $O(\epsilon)$ steps in practice

Thm (D. Diament, Malajovich, 2000)
to estimate $\|A^{-1}\|$ with any
constant factor guaranteed,
costs as much as matrix
multiply