

Welcome to Ma 221!

Numerical Linear Algebra Spr22

people.eecs.berkeley.edu/~vdemmel/ma221-Spr22

see webpage for

class notes

last semester's notes

latex version on bcourses

office hours

GSI - Michael Heinz

Grading - weekly homework (#(posted)

group projects

submit preproposal

no exams

Class survey

Notation

$$\|x\|_2 = \sqrt{\sum_i |x_i|^2} \quad 2\text{-norm}$$

$\operatorname{argmin}_x f(x)$ = value of x that
minimizes $f(x)$

$f(n) = O(g(n))$ means that

$$|f(n)| \leq C |g(n)| \text{ for}$$

some $C > 0$ and n large enough

$f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$ means

$|f(n)| \geq C |g(n)|$ for $C > 0$, n large enough

$f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$ if $f = O(g)$ and $f = \Omega(g)$

Syllabus - 4 "axes" of design
space of linear algebra algorithms

1) mathematical **problem**

solve $Ax = b$

least squares $\arg \min_x \|Ax - b\|_2$

eigen problems $Ax = \lambda x$

many generalizations...

2) structure of A

dense, symmetric ($A = A^T$)

positive definite,

sparse

"structured" eg

Toeplitz: $A(i, j) = x_{i-j}$

A constant along diagonals

3) desired accuracy: spectrum
guaranteed correct
"guaranteed correct" except for
"rare cases"
"backward stable"
residual as small as desired
"probably ok" (randomized algorithms)

error bounds

4) as fast as possible on
your target computer:
laptop (could have GPU)
big parallel computer
cloud, cell-phone, ...

"problem" = choice from 1), 2), 3), 4)

Answer could be

"type A \ b"

"download standard SW from this URL"

"project available to implement a
proposed algorithm"

"open problem"

All combinations of problems from 1)---4) would take $\gg 1$ semester, so we will explore important subset. Could be tweaked depending on class survey

Axis 1): Math problem

Solve $Ax=b$: well defined for A square, full rank, otherwise (or A close to matrix of low rank), then least squares may be better

Least Squares

Overdetermined:

$\arg \min_x \|Ax-b\|_2$ when

$A^{m \times n}$ has full column rank ($m \geq n$)

A not full rank $\Rightarrow x$ not unique

So can pick x that also minimizes $\|x\|_2$ to make x unique

Ridge Regression:

$$\operatorname{argmin}_x \|Ax - b\|_2^2 + \lambda \|x\|_2^2$$

for $\lambda > 0$ (also called Tikhonov regularization). Solution unique if $\lambda > 0$

Constrained LS: $\operatorname{argmin}_{x: Cx=d} \|Ax - b\|_2$

Ex: x : fractions of population

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 1 \text{ (seems natural to ask } x_i \geq 0, \text{ harder)}$$

Weighted LS: $\operatorname{argmin}_x \|W^T(Ax - b)\|_2$

where W full rank

(Gauss-Markov linear model)

Total Least Squares

$$\operatorname{argmin} \| [E, r] \|_2$$

$$x: (A+E)x = b+r$$

Eigen problems:

Notation: $Ax_i = \lambda_i x_i \quad x_i \neq 0$

for $i = 1 \dots n \quad X^{n \times n} = [x_1, \dots, x_n]$

$\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$

$AX = X\Lambda$, X invertible \Rightarrow

eigendecomposition $A = X\Lambda X^{-1}$

Recall A may not have n independent
evecs, eg: $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

Earlier LA class: Jordan Form

but this is numerically unstable

We will use Schur Form instead

SVD: Singular Value Decomposition

$$A^{m \times n} = U \Sigma V^T \quad m \geq n$$

$U^{m \times m}$ orthogonal: $UU^T = I$

$V^{n \times n}$ orthogonal

$\Sigma^{m \times n}$ and diagonal $\begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 & & & 0 \\ & \sigma_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \sigma_{\min(m,n)} \\ & & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

with diagonal entries

$$\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \dots \geq \sigma_{\min(m,n)}$$

called singular values

columns of U and V are
left and right singular vectors resp.

$$\begin{aligned}A \cdot A^T &= (U \Sigma V^T)(U \Sigma V^T)^T \\&= U \underbrace{\Sigma V^T V \Sigma^T}_{I} U^T \\&= U \underbrace{\Sigma \Sigma^T}_{\text{diagonal}} U^T \\&= \text{eigen decomposition of } AA^T \\A^T A &= (U \Sigma V^T)^T (U \Sigma V^T) \\&= V \underbrace{\Sigma^T \Sigma}_{\text{diagonal}} V^T \\&= \text{eigen decomposition of } A^T A\end{aligned}$$

SVD most "reliable" method for LS,
also most expensive

Invariant subspaces: $x'(t) = Ax(t)$
 $x(0)$ given. Suppose $Ax(0) = \lambda x(0)$
then $x(t) = e^{\lambda t} x(0)$
Easy to tell if $x(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$:
depends on whether $\text{Real}(\lambda) < 0$

$$x(0) = \sum_i \beta_i x_i \quad \text{where } Ax_i = \lambda_i x_i$$

$$\Rightarrow x(t) = \sum_i e^{\lambda_i t} \beta_i x_i$$

whether $x(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ depends
on whether all $\text{Real}(\lambda_i) < 0$ when $\beta_i \neq 0$
i.e. whether $x(0)$ is in subspace spanned
by all evects x_i where $\text{Real}(\lambda_i) < 0$

This called "invariant subspace"

Often possible to have algorithm
to compute invariant subspace
that is cheaper + more accurate
than computing all evects

Generalized Eigenproblems

Consider $M \cdot x''(t) + K \cdot x(t) = 0$

Ex: $x(t)$ positions

M : Mass, K : Stiffness

$x(t)$: currents in circuit

M : inductances, K : reciprocals of
capacitances

plug $x(t) = e^{\lambda t} x(0) \Rightarrow$

$$\lambda^2 M x(0) + K x(0) = 0$$

$\Rightarrow x(0)$ is a generalized evec
 λ^2 " " " eval
of (M, K)

usual def of eval $\det(K - \lambda I) = 0$
becomes $\det(K + \lambda' M) = 0$ where $\lambda' = \lambda^2$

All ideas and algs for one matrix
generalize:

Jordan form \rightarrow Weierstrass form
Schur \rightarrow generalized Schur

Note if M singular, can't

convert to standard problem for $M \rightarrow K$;

Singular M arises in

"differential algebraic equations"
aka ODEs with linear constraints

Nonlinear eigenproblems:

$$Mx''(t) + D \cdot x'(t) + Kx(t) = 0$$

D : damping matrix if x positions
resistances if x currents

plug in $x(t) = e^{\lambda t} x(0)$ get

$$\lambda^2 M \cdot x(0) + \lambda D \cdot x(0) + Kx(0) = 0$$

We will reduce to linear problem
of twice size

Singular eigenproblems: Control Systems:

$$x'(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t)$$

$$A^{n \times n} \quad B^{n \times m} \quad m < n$$

$u(t)$ control input to

be chosen to "control" $x(t)$

What subspace can $x(t)$ lie in
and be controlled by $u(t)$?

Can be formulated as rectangular
eigenproblem for $\begin{bmatrix} B & A \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \end{bmatrix}$

(Jordan form becomes Kronecker form)

Partial Solution: Instead of
all evals & evecs, compute subset
Ex: invariant subspace
low-rank approximations
-cheaper, more accurate

Updating Solution

if I've solved problem for A
and A changes "a little",
update solution cheaply:

little could mean: change a
few entries, few rows or columns,
add a few rows and columns,
add a low rank matrix to A

Tensors (not) instead of 2D arrays
(matrices) input is 3D, 4D, higher

Lots of problems extend:

matrix multiply, low rank approx

Tensors often harder, but use
matrices as building blocks

Axis 2: Structure of A :

Story about office hours

Student: "I need to solve an $n \times n$ linear system $Ax=b$. What should I do?"

Professor: "Standard Algorithm is Gaussian elimination (GE), costs $\frac{2}{3}n^3$ floating operations (flops)

S: Too expensive

P: Tell me more about A

S: Well, A is real, symmetric $A=A^T$

P: Anything else?

S: Oh yes, A is positive definite
 $x^T Ax > 0$ for all non-zero x

P: Great: You can use Cholesky,
Only costs $\frac{1}{2}n^3$ flops, half of GE

Professor records conversation on board as a "decision tree",
where each node = algorithm

and each edge = property of A
(see Table 6.1 in text)

S: Still too expensive

P: Tell me more

S: A has lots of zeros, in fact
zero if farther than $n^{2/3}$ from
diagonal

P: Great! band matrix



version of band Cholesky
cost $O(bw^2 \cdot n) = O(n^{7/3})$ flops,
much cheaper!

S: Still too expensive

P: Tell me more

S: I need to solve problem many
times, with same A , different b .
so should I just compute A^{-1} ,
and multiply by it?

P: A^{-1} will be dense, so multiplying $A^{-1}b$ cost $2n^2$ flops, but can reuse the output of band Cholesky to solve for each b in $O(bw \cdot n) = O(n^{5/3})$ flops

S: Still too expensive

P: Tell me more

S: There are actually many more zeros, just ≤ 7 nonzeros/row

P: Let's try an iterative algorithm instead of a direct method. Iterative method only needs to do $A \cdot x$ over and over, updating an approximate answer, and $A \cdot x$ costs $O(n)$ flops

S: How many matrix-vector multiplies do I need?

P: Can you tell me about range of evals of A ? eg condition number $K(A) \Rightarrow \lambda_{\max} / \lambda_{\min}$?

S: Yes $K(A) \approx n^{2/3}$ too

P: You could use Conjugate Gradients
(CG) needs $O(\sqrt{\kappa(A)}) = O(n^{1/3})$
matrix-vector multiplies
 $\Rightarrow \text{cost} = O(n \cdot n^{1/3}) = O(n^{4/3})$
Happy yet?

S: No

P: Tell me more

S: I know $\lambda_{\max}, \lambda_{\min}$, does that help?

P: You know a lot about A.
What problem are you really
trying to solve?

S: I have a cube of metal,
I know temp on faces of cube
I want want temp inside

P: Oh you're solving 3D Poisson's Eq!
Best choice of algorithm

is either

direct: FFT = Fast Fourier Transform

cost $O(n \log n)$ flops

iterative: Multigrid (MG)

cost $O(n)$ flops, $O(1)$

per component of x

\Rightarrow lower bound

S: So where can I download
the software?

Important: exploit math. structure

Poisson Eq one of best studied

linear systems, but many more...