

Welcome back to Ma 221! Lecture 24, Oct 18

Eigenproblems

Lemma: if $Ax_i = \lambda_i x_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$
and $S = [x_1, \dots, x_n]$ nonsingular

$$\Rightarrow A = S \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} S^{-1}$$

Conversely if $A = S \Lambda S^{-1}$, $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$
then columns of S are evecs

proof: $A = S \Lambda S^{-1}$ iff $AS = S \Lambda$
iff $AS[:, i] = S[:, i] \cdot \lambda_i$ i.e.
 $S[:, i]$ evec for eval λ_i

But we can't always diagonalize A :
may be mathematically impossible
(recall Jordan form)
may be unstable even if it exists
(when evals close together)

Recall Jordan Form: For any A

\exists similarity S : $SAS^{-1} = J = \text{diag}(J_1, \dots, J_k)$

$$J_i = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_i & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_i \end{bmatrix}$$

upto permuting order of J_i , unique.
 λ_i can be same or different eg $A = I$

only one right or left evec per λ_i :

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \lambda \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \text{can't diagonalize}$$

Why not complete Jordan Form?

Consider slightly perturbed 2×2 I

$$\textcircled{1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1+e \end{bmatrix} : (1, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}), (1+e, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix})$$

$$\textcircled{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & e \\ e & 1 \end{bmatrix} : (1+e, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}), (1-e, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix})$$

evecs rotate 45°

$$\textcircled{3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & e \\ 0 & 1+e^2 \end{bmatrix} : (1, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}), (1+e^2, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ e \end{bmatrix})$$

evecs nearly parallel

$$\textcircled{4} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & e \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} : (1, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}) \text{ just one evec}$$

$$\textcircled{5} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} : (1, \text{anything}), (1, \text{anything})$$

Jordan form very ill conditioned

Our goal: exact evals, evecs of

$$A + E, \quad \frac{\|E\|}{\|A\|} = O(\epsilon)$$

Backward Stable approach to eigenproblem:

Only use orthogonal similarities

Recall Chap 3: Multiplying by multiple orthog. matrices is backward stable

$$\text{fl}(Q_k(\dots(Q_2(Q_1 A)\dots))) = Q(A+E)$$

exact orthog $\frac{\|E\|}{\|A\|} = O(\epsilon)$

Apply twice to orthog. similarities

$$\text{fl}(Q_k(\dots Q_2(Q_1 A Q_1^T) Q_2^T \dots) Q_k^T) = Q(A+F) Q^T$$

$Q Q^T = I, \frac{\|F\|}{\|A\|} = O(\epsilon)$

If we restrict to orthog similarities,
how close to Jordan form can we get?

Thm: Schur Canonical Form:

Given any $n \times n$ A \exists unitary Q

$Q Q^H = I$ s.t. $Q^H A Q = T =$ upper triangular

evals of T are $T(i,i)$

Compute evecs of T just triangular solve.

$$\begin{matrix} i-1 \\ 1 \\ n-i \end{matrix} \begin{bmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} & T_{13} \\ 0 & T(i,i) & T_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & T_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = T(i,i) \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_{11} x_1 + T_{12} x_2 + T_{13} x_3 &= T(i,i) x_1 \\ T(i,i) x_2 + T_{23} x_3 &= T(i,i) x_2 \\ T_{33} x_3 &= T(i,i) x_3 \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $T(i,i)$ unique

$$\underbrace{(T_{33} - T(i,i) I)}_{\text{non zero diagonal}} x_3 = 0 \Rightarrow x_3 = 0$$

$$T(i,i) x_2 = T(i,i) x_2 \quad x_2 = 1$$

$$(T_{11} - T(i,i) I) x_1 = -T_{12} x_2$$

triangular solve

What does Mat lab do with

$$[V, D] = \text{eig}\left(\begin{bmatrix} \circ & 1 \\ \circ & \circ \end{bmatrix}\right) \quad ? \text{ try it!}$$

Proof that Schur form exists:

choose any λ , with vec x : $Ax = \lambda x$
 $\|x\|_2 = 1$

let $Q = [x, Q']$ be unitary

$$\begin{aligned} Q^H A Q &= \begin{bmatrix} x^H \\ Q'^H \end{bmatrix} A \begin{bmatrix} x & Q' \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{array}{c|c} \overset{1}{x^H A x} & \overset{n-1}{x^H A Q'} \\ \hline \underset{n-1}{Q'^H A x} & Q'^H A Q' \end{array} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \left[\begin{array}{c|c} x^* \lambda x & " \\ \hline Q^{*H} \lambda x & " \end{array} \right]$$

$$= \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \lambda & " \\ \hline 0 & Q^{*H} A Q' \end{array} \right]$$

induction on $Q'^* A Q' = U^H T U$
↑ triangular ↓ unitary

$$= \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \lambda & x^* A Q' \\ \hline 0 & U^{*H} T U \end{array} \right]$$

$$= \left[\begin{array}{c|c} 1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & U^* \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \lambda & x^* A Q' U^* \\ \hline 0 & T \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c|c} 1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & U \end{array} \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow \underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{c|c} 1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & U \end{array} \right]}_{\text{unitary}} Q^H A Q \underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{c|c} 1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & U^* \end{array} \right]}_{\text{inverse}} = \underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{c|c} \lambda & x^* A Q' U^* \\ \hline 0 & T \end{array} \right]}_{\text{Schur form}}$$

What about real matrices with complex evals?

[if $A=A^T$, all evals real, see Chap 5]

Prefer real arithmetic!

reduce # flops

less memory

make sure that all evals, evcs appear in complex conjugate pairs

$$A \underset{\text{real}}{x} = \underset{\text{complex}}{\lambda} x \iff A \bar{x} = \bar{\lambda} \bar{x}$$

Instead of $T =$ upper triangular
use $T =$ block triangular

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} & \dots & T_{1k} \\ & T_{22} & & \\ & & \dots & \\ & & & T_{kk} \end{bmatrix} \quad T_{ii} \text{ square}$$

$$\text{evals}(T) = \bigcup_{i=1}^k \text{evals}(T_{ii}) \quad (\text{HW 4.1})$$

Goal: have all T_{ii} be 1×1 for real evals
or 2×2 for complex conjugate pairs

Thm: (Real Schur Canonical form)

Given any real A , \exists real orthog Q
s.t. $Q A Q^T$ is block upper triangular
with 1×1 and 2×2 diag. blocks

Generalize to "invariant subspaces"

$$\text{Def: } V = \text{span}\{x_1, \dots, x_m\} = \text{span}(X)$$

$$X = [x_1 \dots x_m] \text{ subspace of } \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$V \text{ invariant if } A \cdot V = \text{span}(AX) \subseteq V$$

$$\text{Ex: } V = \text{span}\{x\} = \{\alpha x \text{ for all } \alpha \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

$$\text{where } Ax = \lambda x$$

$$AV = \{A(\alpha x) \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

$$= \{ \alpha \lambda x, \forall \alpha \}$$

$$\subseteq V, = V \text{ unless } \lambda = 0$$

$$\text{Ex: } V = \text{span}\{x_1, \dots, x_k\} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i x_i \mid \forall \alpha_i \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

where $Ax_i = \lambda_i x_i$

$$AV = \left\{ A \sum \alpha_i x_i \right\} = \left\{ \sum \alpha_i Ax_i \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ \sum \alpha_i \lambda_i x_i \right\} \subseteq V$$

Lemma: $V = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ invariant

then $\exists B: AX = XB^{n \times n}$

$$\square \square = \square \square$$

the evals of B are evals of A

proof: existence of B follows from
def of invariance

$$Ax_i \in V \Rightarrow \exists \text{ scalars } B(1,i), B(2,i) \dots B(n,i)$$

$$Ax_i = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j B(j,i) \quad \text{i.e. } AX = XB$$

$$By = \lambda y \Rightarrow A(Xy) = (AX)y =$$

$$(XB)y = X(By) = \underbrace{(Xy)}_{\text{evec of } A} \lambda$$

Lemma: Let $V = \text{span}(X)$ be n -dimensional
Invariant subspace of A ,

$$AX = XB, \quad X = QR$$

Let $[Q, Q']$ be square orthog matrix

$$[Q, Q']^T A [Q, Q'] = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{A}_{11} & A_{12} \\ 0 & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

$A_{11} = RBR^{-1}$ has same evals as B

$$\begin{aligned} \text{proof: } [Q, Q']^T A [Q, Q'] &= \begin{bmatrix} Q^T A Q & Q^T A Q' \\ Q'^T A Q & Q'^T A Q' \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} RBR^{-1} & A_{12} \\ 0 & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$AQ = AXR^{-1} = XBR^{-1} = QRBR^{-1}$$

$$A_{11} = Q^T A Q = \underbrace{Q^T Q} R B R^{-1} = R B R^{-1}$$

$$A_{21} = \underbrace{Q'^T Q}_0 R B R^{-1} = 0$$