

Welcome Back to Ma221! Lecture 6
Sep 6

Recall: $\|A\| = \max_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|}{\|x\|}$

$$\|A\|_2 = \max_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_2}{\|x\|_2}$$

Orthogonal (+ Unitary) Matrices

Notation: $Q^* = (\overline{Q^T})$

Sometimes write Q^H

H stands for Hermitian
(if $A = A^*$, A is Hermitian)

Def: orthogonal: Q square, real. $Q^{-1} = Q^T$
unitary: Q square, complex $Q^{-1} = Q^*$

for simplicity, real case.

Fact Q orthog $\Leftrightarrow Q^T Q = I$

$\Leftrightarrow (i,j)^{\text{th}}$ of $Q^T Q = \text{dot product}$
of columns i, j of Q

\Leftrightarrow all columns of Q pairwise
orthogonal, and unit vectors

$Q Q^T = I$ same story for rows of Q

Fact: $\|Qx\|_2 = \|x\|_2$ Pythagorean Thm

$$\text{proof: } \|Qx\|_2^2 = (Qx)^T (Qx) = x^T \underbrace{Q^T Q}_I x = x^T x = \|x\|_2^2$$

Fact: Q, Z orthog $\Rightarrow Q \cdot Z$ orthog

$$\text{proof: } (QZ)^T (QZ) = Z^T \underbrace{Q^T Q}_I Z = \underbrace{Z^T Z}_I = I$$

Fact: if Q $m \times n$ $m > n$ $Q^T Q = I_n$

then can add $m-n$ columns to Q
get $m \begin{bmatrix} n & m-n \\ Q & \tilde{Q} \end{bmatrix}$ orthogonal

(proof later, infinitely many choices of \tilde{Q})

Lemma (most proofs in HW Q1.16)

(1) $\|Ax\| \leq \|A\| \cdot \|x\|$ for any vector norm and its operator norm

(2) $\|A \circ B\| \leq \|A\| \cdot \|B\|$ for any operator norm

(3) $\|QAZ\|_2 = \|A\|_2$ Q, Z orthog

(4) $\|Q\|_2 = 1$

(5) $\|A\|_2 = \sqrt{\lambda_{\max}(A^T A)}$ ←

(6) $\|A^T\|_2 = \|A\|_2$

proof of (5)

$$\|A\|_2 = \max_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_2}{\|x\|_2}$$

$$= \max_{x \neq 0} \frac{\sqrt{(Ax)^T (Ax)}}{\sqrt{x^T x}}$$

$$= \max_{x \neq 0} \frac{\sqrt{x^T (A^T A) x}}{\sqrt{x^T x}} \leftarrow$$

$A^T A$ symmetric \Rightarrow has eigendecomp.

(*) $A^T A q_i = \lambda_i q_i$ where λ_i all real
 q_i unit orthogonal vectors

$$Q = [q_1, \dots, q_n], \text{ orthog.}$$

$$\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$$

$$A^T A Q = Q \Lambda \Leftrightarrow \underline{A^T A = Q \Lambda Q^T}$$

$$q_i^T A^T A q_i = q_i^T \lambda_i q_i = \lambda_i \geq 0$$

$$= \|A q_i\|_2^2$$

$$\|A\|_2 = \max_{x \neq 0} \sqrt{\frac{x^T A^T A x}{x^T x}}$$

$$= \max_{x \neq 0} \sqrt{\frac{x^T Q \Lambda Q^T x}{x^T x}}$$

$$= \max_{x \neq 0} \sqrt{\frac{(x^T Q) \Lambda (Q^T x)}{(x^T Q)(Q^T x)}} \quad y = Q^T x$$

$$= \max_{y \neq 0} \sqrt{\frac{y^T \Lambda y}{y^T y}}$$

$$= \max_{y \neq 0} \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i y_i^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2}}$$

$$\leq \max_{y \neq 0} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \max y_i^2}$$

$$= \lambda_{\max}, \text{ attainable by } y = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ if } d_i = \lambda_{\max}$$

SVD = Singular Value Decomposition

Given SVD of A

Solve $Ax = b$

Solve over or underdetermined

LS problems, full rank A or not
compute evalues, evecs of AA^T , $A^T A$
of A if $A = A^T$

+ error bounds (for free)

SVD = Swiss Army Knife of NLA

but more expensive than

specialized alg eg

Gauss. Elim for $Ax = b$

History: 1936: first complete version
by Eckart + Young

1965: first backward stable alg
Golub + Kahan

1990: D. + Kahan, arbitrarily
more accurate for
some matrices

1995: Faster, standard alg
Eisenstat + Gu

2010: Fastest alg so far
Willem's: optimal
complexity but not
reliable enough

Thm: Suppose A $m \times m$, then \exists

orthogonal $V = [v(1), \dots, v(m)]$

" $U = [u(1), \dots, u(m)]$

diagonal $\Sigma = \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m)$
 $\sigma_i \geq \sigma_{i+1} \geq 0$

$v(i)$ right singular vectors

$u(i)$ left " "

σ_i " values

$$A = U \cdot \Sigma \cdot V^T$$

More Generally A $m \times n$, $m > n$

U $m \times m$ orthog

V $n \times n$ orthog

Σ $m \times n$, = $\begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 & & \\ & \dots & \\ & & \sigma_n \\ & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

$$A = U \Sigma V^T$$

if $m > n$, sometimes use "thin SVD"

$$A = [u(1), \dots, u(n)] \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 & & \\ & \dots & \\ & & \sigma_n \end{bmatrix} \cdot [v(1) \dots v(n)]$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \begin{array}{c} n \\ \text{---} \\ m \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} n \\ \text{---} \\ m \end{array} \cdot \begin{array}{c} n \\ \text{---} \\ n \end{array} \cdot \begin{array}{c} n \\ \text{---} \\ n \end{array} \\
 \\
 \begin{array}{c} n \\ \text{---} \\ m \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} n \quad m-n \\ \text{---} \\ m \end{array} \cdot \begin{array}{c} n \\ \text{---} \\ m \end{array} \cdot \begin{array}{c} n \\ \text{---} \\ n \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

Geometric Interpretation:

$A^{m \times n}$ linear map from $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$
 with right orthogonal bases for
 \mathbb{R}^m and \mathbb{R}^n (cols of U and V)
 then A diagonal
 i.e. all matrices diagonal with
 right choice of bases

Proof that SVD exists, induction on n , $m \geq n$

2 base cases 1) $A = 0$, $U = I_m$, $\Sigma = 0$, $V = I_n$

2) $n = 1$ (one column)

U 's first col = $\frac{A}{\|A\|_2}$

other cols of U can be
 chosen in any way to
 make U square, orthog.

$\sigma_1 = \|A\|_2$, $V = 1$

$\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$

Induction (if $A \neq 0$)

$$\|A\|_2 = \max_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_2}{\|x\|_2} = \max_{\|x\|_2=1} \|Ax\|_2$$

let $v(1)$ be x attaining max

$$\sigma_1 = \|A\|_2 = \|Av(1)\|_2$$

$$v(1) = \frac{Av(1)}{\|Av(1)\|_2} = \frac{Av(1)}{\sigma_1}$$

$$V = [v(1), \tilde{V}]$$

$$U = [v(1), \tilde{U}]$$

both square,
orthog

$$\tilde{A} = U^T A V = \begin{bmatrix} v(1)^T \\ \tilde{U}^T \end{bmatrix} A \begin{bmatrix} v(1) & \tilde{V} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{array}{c|c} 1 & n-1 \\ \hline v(1)^T A v(1) & v(1)^T A \tilde{V} \\ \tilde{U}^T A v(1) & \tilde{U}^T A \tilde{V} \end{array}$$

$$= \begin{array}{c|c} 1 & n-1 \\ \hline \sigma_1 & A_{12} = 0 \\ \hline A_{21} = 0 & A_{22} \end{array}$$

$$A_{21} = 0 = \tilde{U}^T A v(1) = \tilde{U}^T v(1) \cdot \sigma_1 = 0$$

$$A_{12} = 0 \text{ by def of } \sigma_1 = \|A\|_2$$

if $\|A_{12}\| > 0$ get contradiction!

$$\|A\|_2 = \|A^T\|_2 = \|\tilde{A}^T\|_2 \geq \|\tilde{A}^T \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\|_2 = \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 \\ A_{12}^T \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2$$

$$= \sqrt{\sigma_1^2 + A_{12}A_{12}^T} > \sigma_1 \text{ if } A_{12} \neq 0$$

would contradict def of σ_1 as $\max_{\|u\|_2=1} \|Au\|_2$

By induction $A_{22} = U_2 \Sigma_2 V_2^T$ SVD

$$A = U \tilde{A} V^T = U \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \sigma_1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & U_2 \Sigma_2 V_2^T \end{array} \right] V^T$$

$$= U \left[\begin{array}{c|c} 1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & U_2 \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \sigma_1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \Sigma_2 \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c|c} 1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & V_2^T \end{array} \right] V^T$$

orthog
diag
orthog

$$= \text{SVD}$$

most algorithms start
by finding U, V so

$$U^T A V = \begin{bmatrix} \diagdown & 0 \\ 0 & \diagup \end{bmatrix} \text{ "bidiagonal"}$$

and then finding SVD of bidiagonal