

**Math 128a - Homework 5 - Due March 7**

1) Complete the proof of the Weierstrass Approximation Theorem done in class, by proving the following two Lemmas:

1. Lemma 1: In class we showed that for any continuous function  $f(x)$  on  $[0,1]$  with  $f(0) = f(1) = 0$ , and any  $\epsilon > 0$  it is possible to find a polynomial  $p(x)$  such that  $|p(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon$  for all  $0 \leq x \leq 1$ . Use this to show that for any continuous function  $g(x)$  on any finite interval  $[a,b]$ , with any finite values of  $g(a)$  and  $g(b)$ , and any  $\eta > 0$ , it is possible to find a polynomial  $q(x)$  such that  $|q(x) - g(x)| < \eta$  for all  $a \leq x \leq b$ . Hint: consider  $f(x) = g(x \cdot (b-a) + a) - g(a) - x(g(b) - g(a))$ .

**Answer:** Per the hint, given  $g(x)$ , let

$$f(x) = g(x(b-a) + a) - g(a) - x(g(b) - g(a))$$

and check that  $f(0) = 0 = f(1)$ . Really we have used the following change of variables:  $x = (y-a)/(b-a)$ ,  $y = x(b-a) + a$ , and we let  $f(x) = g(y) - g(a) - x(g(b) - g(a))$ , so that  $g(y) = f(\frac{y-a}{b-a}) + g(a) + \frac{y-a}{b-a}(g(b) - g(a))$ . The point is that this change of variables takes  $\{a \leq y \leq b\}$  to  $\{0 \leq x \leq 1\}$ . Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , find  $p(x)$  such that  $|p(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon$  on  $[0,1]$  and let  $q(y) = p(\frac{y-a}{b-a}) + g(a) + \frac{y-a}{b-a}(g(b) - g(a))$ . Note that  $q(y)$  is a polynomial in  $y$ , and:

$$\begin{aligned} |q(y) - g(y)| &= |[p(x) + g(a) + x(g(b) - g(a))] - [f(x) + g(a) + x(g(b) - g(a))]| \\ &= |p(x) - f(x)| \\ &< \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

2. Lemma 2: Let  $c_n = \left[ \int_{-1}^1 (1-x^2)^n dx \right]^{-1}$ . Show that  $c_n < \sqrt{n}$  when  $n \geq 1$ . Hint: Show that you can bound  $\int_{-1}^1 (1-x^2)^n dx$  below by  $\int_{-1/\sqrt{n}}^{1/\sqrt{n}} (1-x^2)^n dx$ . Then show that you can bound the integrand below by  $1 - nx^2$  on the interval of integration.

**Answer:** Let  $d_n = \int_{-1}^1 (1-x^2)^n dx$  so that  $c_n = 1/d_n$ . We will show that  $d_n > 1/\sqrt{n}$  and thus that  $c_n < \sqrt{n}$ . First of all, since  $n \geq 1$  (otherwise the lemma is false),  $[-1/\sqrt{n}, 1/\sqrt{n}] \subset [-1, 1]$ , so  $d_n > e_n = \int_{-1/\sqrt{n}}^{1/\sqrt{n}} (1-x^2)^n dx$  (this also depends on the fact that  $(1-x^2)^n > 0$  which is true because  $x \in [0, 1]$  by assumption). Now on this domain of integration, we have  $x^2 < 1/n$ , so by the Binomial Theorem

$$(1-x^2)^n = 1 - nx^2 + \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{n!}{(i!(n-i)!)} (-1)^i x^{2i}$$

Thus, to show that  $(1-x^2)^n > 1 - nx^2$  on the domain of integration we need to show that

$$\sum_{i=2}^n \frac{n!}{(i!(n-i)!)} (-1)^i (x^2)^i \geq 0 \tag{1}$$

on the domain. The important point is that, on  $[-1/\sqrt{n}, 1/\sqrt{n}]$ ,  $0 \leq x^2 \leq 1/n$ . The sum (1) which we want to assure is positive starts with a positive term and either has the same number of negative terms as positive terms or one more positive term. Thus we want to show that, for each  $i \geq 2$ :

$$\frac{n!}{(i!(n-i)!)} (x^2)^i \geq \frac{n!}{(i+1)!(n-i-1)!} (x^2)^{i+1}$$

because if we do this then each positive term will dominate the succeeding negative term and the total sum will be positive. The above inequality is equivalent to:

$$\frac{i+1}{n-i} \geq x^2$$

which is true because  $x^2 \leq 1/n$  and  $1 < i + 1$  while  $n > n - i$ .

Thus we can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} d_n &> \int_{-1/\sqrt{n}}^{1/\sqrt{n}} (1 - nx^2) dx \\ &= \frac{4}{3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \\ &> \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \end{aligned}$$

2) We will use the proof of the Weierstrass Approximation Theorem to show how to bound the degree of the polynomial needed to approximate  $f(x) = \sin(\pi x)$  on  $[0,1]$  to within any  $\eta > 0$ . In other words, your answer will be a function  $g(\eta)$  (implemented as a program) with the following property: If  $n \geq g(\eta)$ , then it is possible to find a polynomial  $p(x)$  of degree at most  $n$  such that  $|p(x) - \sin(\pi x)| \leq \eta$  for  $0 \leq x \leq 1$ . Are your computed values of  $n$  much larger than needed to find a polynomial of error  $\eta$ , or about right? Is the polynomial constructed in the proof of the Weierstrass Approximation Theorem a good one to use in practice? Hint: First, find an explicit value for  $M \geq |\sin(\pi x)|$  in Lemma 3 in the proof of the Weierstrass Approximation Theorem in the class notes. Second, find an explicit value for  $\delta$  in Lemma 3, which will depend on  $\epsilon = \eta/2$  and have the property that  $|x - y| < \delta$  implies  $|\sin(\pi x) - \sin(\pi y)| < \eta/2$ . How steep can  $\sin(\pi x)$  be? Finally, write a simple program that computes an  $n$  that guarantees that  $(1 - \delta)2M\sqrt{n}(1 - \delta^2)^n < \eta/4$ . The output of your program is  $g(\eta)$ . Tabulate  $g(\eta)$  for  $\eta = 10^k$ ,  $k = -5, -10, -15, -20, -25$ . Your program should return as small a value of  $g(\eta)$  as you can guarantee is correct.

**Answer:** First,  $M = 1 \leq |\sin(\pi x)|$  everywhere. Second,  $|f'(x)| = \pi |\cos(\pi x)| \leq \pi$  everywhere, and by the mean value theorem  $|f(x) - f(y)| = |f'(c)||x - y|$  for some point  $c$  between  $x$  and  $y$ , so  $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \pi|x - y|$  for any  $x, y$ . Thus if  $\delta = \eta/(2\pi)$  then whenever  $|x - y| < \delta$ , we will have  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \eta/2$ . You might try to run the following matlab program to find  $n$ :

```
function [bestn] = g(eta)
M=1;delta=eta/(2*pi);n=1;
while((1-delta)*2*M*sqrt(n)*(1 - delta^2)^n >= eta/4),
    n=n+1;
end;
bestn = n;
```

BUT, since  $f(1 - \delta^2) = 1$  when  $\delta$  is very small, this won't work. We will instead use the following approximation (the first limit can be proved with L'Hopital's rule):

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} (1 - x)^{\frac{1}{x}} &= \frac{1}{e} \quad \text{so, for } x = \delta^2 \text{ very small :} \\ (1 - \delta^2)^n &= ((1 - x)^{\frac{1}{x}})^{nx} \\ &\approx \left(\frac{1}{e}\right)^{n\delta^2} \quad \text{so :} \\ (1 - \delta)2M\sqrt{n}(1 - \delta^2)^n &\approx 2n^{1/2}e^{-n\delta^2} \quad \text{so we want :} \\ n^{1/2}e^{-n\delta^2} &< \frac{\eta}{2} \quad \text{or :} \\ -n\delta^2 \frac{1}{2} \ln(n) &< \ln \frac{\eta}{2} \quad \text{or :} \\ n \ln n &> -2 \frac{\ln \frac{\eta}{2}}{\delta^2} \end{aligned}$$

Now try this program:

```

% function [bestn] = best(eta,start,inc)
% find as small an n as possible with
%  $n \cdot \log(n) > -2 \cdot \log(\eta/2) / (\delta^2)$ 
% where  $\delta = \eta / (2 \cdot \pi)$ 
%
% inputs: eta
% start = n value to start at
% inc = initial increment; program will successively halve
% this to get better estimates for n
% if inc = 1 this goes very slowly, so best to
% start with a large increment
% outputs: bestn = best n found
%
function [bestn] = best(eta,start,inc)

delta=eta/(2*pi);
n=start;
stop = -2*log(eta/2)/(delta^2)
while (inc > 0)
    if ((n+inc)*log(n+inc) > stop)
        inc = floor(inc/2);
    else
        nnew = n+inc;
% Guard against n+inc rounding to n and getting into an infinite loop
        if (n == nnew)
            inc = 0;
        end
        n=nnew;
    end
end
bestn = n + 1;

```

Results:

eta	n
1e-5	3.621061459540000e+11
1e-10	3.769585013558981e+21
1e-15	3.825266269443624e+31
1e-20	3.854437985739969e+41
1e-25	3.872392907403787e+51

Note how enormous n has to be, according to this result! In practice, we know that n can be much smaller (and will study this more in lecture).

### 3) Problem 6.1.33

**Answer:** Let  $g_0(x), g_1(x), \dots, g_n(x)$  be a basis of the linear space  $E$ . This means any function in  $E$  can be written as a linear combination  $\sum_{i=0}^n c_i g_i(x)$  for some unique values of  $c_i$ .

Now assume there exists a unique solution  $c_0, \dots, c_n$  of  $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i g_i(x_j) = y_j, j = 0, \dots, n$ , for any values of  $y_j$ . Then if all  $y_j = 0$ ,  $c_i = 0$  is the unique solution, and so  $0 = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i g_i(x)$  is the unique function in  $E$  that is 0 at all  $x_j$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $0 \in E$  is the only function that equals 0 at all  $x_j$ . This means that the determinant of the matrix

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} g_0(x_0) & g_1(x_0) & \cdots & g_n(x_0) \\ g_0(x_1) & g_1(x_1) & \cdots & g_n(x_1) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ g_0(x_n) & g_1(x_n) & \cdots & g_n(x_n) \end{bmatrix}$$

is nonzero, because otherwise there would be a nonzero null vector

$$c = \begin{bmatrix} c_0 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{bmatrix}$$

such that  $Gc = 0$ , which means there would be a nonzero function  $\sum_{i=0}^n c_i g_i(x) \in E$  that is zero at all the  $x_j$ , a contradiction. Since  $G$  is nonsingular, we can solve

$$Gc = \begin{bmatrix} y_0 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix}$$

to get a unique solution  $c$  for any  $y_j$ , as desired.

4) Problem 6.1.34.

**Answer:** *Answer:* Let  $V$  be  $n + 1$ -by- $n + 1$  matrix with entries  $V_{ij} = x_i^j$ ,  $0 \leq i, j \leq n$ .  $V$ 's determinant is a polynomial in its entries. We will determine this polynomial by determining all its factors. By considering the expansion of  $\det V$  along the first row, we see that considered as a polynomial in  $x_0$ , its degree is  $n$ . Similarly, by expanding along other rows, its degree in any  $x_i$  is  $n$ . Now note that if any  $x_i = x_j$ , rows  $i$  and  $j$  are identical, so  $\det(V) = 0$ . This means that  $x_j - x_i$  is a factor of  $\det(V)$ , since  $x_j - x_i = 0$  implies  $\det(V) = 0$ . Thus all the  $n(n + 1)/2$  distinct factors  $x_j - x_i$ ,  $0 \leq i < j \leq n$ , are factors, and so  $P = \prod_{0 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_j - x_i)$  is a factor of  $\det(V)$ . Considering  $P$  as a polynomial in  $x_i$  for some fixed  $i$ , we see its degree is  $n$ , because  $x_i$  appears in  $n - i$  factors  $x_j - x_i$  (for  $j > i$ ) and in  $i$  factors  $x_i - x_k$  (for  $k < i$ ). Thus  $P$  divides  $\det(V)$  and has the same degree in all variables, so  $\det V = \alpha P$  for some constant  $\alpha$  that we have to determine. We show that  $\alpha = 1$  by induction on  $n$ . Assume, by induction, that  $\det(V_{n-1}) = P_{n-1}$ , where the subscript denotes the dimension of  $V$ . Expanding  $\det(V_n)$  by the last column, we see that the coefficient of  $x_n^n$  in  $\det(V_n)$  is precisely  $\det(V_{n-1}) = P_{n-1}$ . But one can see that the coefficient of  $x_n^n$  in  $P_n$  is also  $P_{n-1}$ , so  $\alpha_n = 1$ .