## Memory Safety (spatial)

**Spatial memory vulnerabilities** are themselves not the most common type of vulnerability, but browser or file exploits are often memory-related. The prototypical example of a spatial memory exploit is when a program accesses memory and intentionally writes to an out-of-bounds pointer, which allows it to inject malicious code.

## **Common Defenses**

- Address Space Layout Randomization (ASLR)
  - Allocates objects to random locations in the heap
  - Prevents attacker from predicting where (for example) return addresses that they can overwrite are stored
- Data Execution Prevention (**DEP**) or No-Execute (**NX**)
  - Requires that regions of memory that store data are marked non-executable
  - Prevents attacker from executing malicious code somehow inserted into the data segment of memory
- Stack Canaries
  - Inserts random values directly before return addresses
  - When the program makes a function call, it first checks that these canary values haven't changed
  - Prevents attacker from replacing the return address by overwriting this whole section of memory (including the canary)

## **Return-Oriented Programming**

- Return-Oriented Programming (ROP) attack
  - Overwrites program return address with an address pointing to another piece of code in the same program (so validly executable)
  - **Return-into-libc attack** (baby ROP): find somewhere in code that does something useful for the attacker, overwrite return address to jump there
  - **ROP**: Piece tiny snippets of the original code (called **gadgets**) together to do something malicious (ex. run a shell)
  - NX alone doesn't defeat ROP attacks because the gadgets come from parts of the program (which are marked executable in memory)
  - However, NX and ASLR in combination can defeat ROP attacks because the attacker can't reliably find return addresses to overwrite and gadgets to combine
- Blind ROP (**B-ROP**) attack
  - Enables ROP attack even when attacker doesn't have access to the codebase
  - Attacker first finds gadgets that stop and crash the program, then bootstraps up to more useful gadgets, eventually combining enough to create a shell command

## CS 261: 2/3 scribe notes Rachel Freedman

• Because the program crashes when canaries are incorrect, the attacker can brute-force-guess canaries bit-by-bit

Other Schemes for bounds checking

- PAriCheck
  - Include a table that stores a unique ID for every object
  - Check whether first and last pointer corresponds to same ID
- Fat pointers
  - Pointer includes 3 pieces of information: address, "base" (start of object) and object size