

Voting machine (DRE)

Voter's attacks: what the regular voters can do:

1. Goal of security:
 - a. Can't vote twice by authenticating a token (smart card)
 - b. Can't remove external memory card, can't tamper with the data on the memory card
2. Work flow:
 - a. Voter comes and register with the poll worker
 - b. Voter is provided with a smart card
 - c. Voter insert the smart card into the voting machine
 - d. Voting machine authenticates the smart card (see below), allowing the voter to vote
3. Problems:
 - a. Authentication protocol of smart card

- i. DRE: who are you
 - ii. Smart card: voter card
 - iii. DRE: active?
 - iv. Smart card: yes
 - v. Vote
 - vi. Deactivate the smart card
- b. Problem: Relying on smart card for all these information, which can be easily fabricated
- c. Defenses: authentication using private keys or symmetrical keys so that DRE can verify the content of smart card comes from a trusted source
- d. Defense can typically be broken by physical access to the machine
 - i. Tampering with the code
 - ii. Gain access to all the private keys
- e. Physical tampering is very powerful. Cannot rely on machines to do it. State-of-the-art safe can only handle 30 min of physical tampering by someone with tools and explosives
 - i. Have to manually restrict the physical access

Massive attacks:

1. Voters attacks are more or less insignificant in big elections, large scale attacks are possible with much more dire consequences
2. Virus:

- a. DRE reads a particular file on the memory card as software update without proper authentication
 - b. This allows malicious users to install arbitrary firmware on DRE through a simple memory card insertion
 - c. What's worse is that compromised machine can infect all memory cards that's inserted in it, thus spreading the virus on a large scale
 - d. Compromised machine can produce arbitrary election results
3. Failure of security by obscurity
 - a. History of how the vulnerability is discovered in the first place
 - b. Government officials and machine manufacturers refuse to fix the problem in a prompt manner, as result of many complex factors
 - c. Problem still persists at current state
 4. Fundamental flaw is that the devices and memory cards more or less trust each other without proper authentication.

Another defense:

1. Print an actual piece of paper that can be recountable accompanying electronic voting. Have voters verify the paper, collect the paper
2. After election, random sample a subset of machines and manually count paper counts to obtain some statistical probability of a possible attack
3. If possible fraud happens, re-count all paper
4. Potential problems: human factor, people don't really verify the paper