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Network Services:
- 24x7 operation
- huge scale (unprecedented)
- personalization
- no distribution problem (vs. products)

Basic Advantages of Clusters:
- Absolute scale (larger systems than any single computer)
- High Availability -- but must tolerate partial failures
- Commodity building blocks => cost, service and support, delivery time, alternate suppliers, trained employees

Challenges:
- Hard to administer: single system image? ease of global view?
- Partial failure brings new problems: must tolerate failures, can't just reboot
- hard to have shared state (no shared address space)

ACID vs. BASE:

Idea: focus on HA with looser semantics rather than ACID semantics
- ACID => data unavailable rather than available but inconsistent
- BASE => data available, but could be stale, inconsistent or approximate
- Real systems use BOTH semantics
- Claim: BASE can lead to simpler systems and better performance (hard to prove)
  - Performance: caching and avoidance of communication and some locks (e.g. ACID requires strict locking and communication with replicas for every write and any reads without locks)
  - Simpler: soft-state leads to easy recovery and interchangeable components
- BASE fits clusters well do to partial failure and lack of a (natural) shared namespace

TACC Model:
- Restartable Workers
  - can run anywhere (even on overflow nodes)
  - Worker must handle it's own restart (easy with soft state workers, or workers that interface to an external database)
  - Load balancing and worker creation/deletion is handled by SNS layer
  - Fault tolerance = restart/migrate failed workers
Four kinds of workers:

- Caching: stores post-transform, post-aggregation, and WAN content
- Transformation: one-way conversion of data, including format changes (e.g. MIME type), resolution, size, quality, color map, language, etc.
- Aggregation: combination of data from multiple sources; e.g. movie info from different theaters, company info from multiple sites (analogous to a “join” for internet content)
- Customization: support for personalization/localization based on persistent profiles

Question: is there a data independent “query” language analogous to SQL?

Starfish fault tolerance:

- idea: any alive piece can regrow (restart) the whole system
- need to track only “aliveness” not remote state (no state mirroring, since all state is soft)
- multicast to regenerate/update state (there is no difference)
- Manager watches front ends and vice versa

Burstiness and Overflow

- Problem: peaks >> average => hard to plan capacity
- General solutions:
  - caching absorbs some spikes, especially if it can be more aggressive during overload
  - admission control (especially of “hard” queries)
  - overflow nodes
- Burstiness is real: a side effect of humans in the loop? or just natural?
- Overflow nodes:
  - Idea: exploit nodes that normally have another purpose (such as desktop machines)
  - Not really tried in practice so far with few exceptions, e.g. Pratt & Witney run simulations on desktops at night, but not really an “overflow”
  - Similar to another real world phenomenon (apocryphal?): Schwab uses managers to answer customer calls during an overflow; they are all trained but only work during overflows