## International Graduate School on Control: Lesson 5

## 1. Sums of Squares Polynomials

(a) Every polynomial can be expressed in the Gram matrix form $Z(x)^{T} Q Z(x)$ where $Q$ is a symmetric matrix and $Z(x)$ is a vector of monomials. What monomials must be included in $Z(x)$ to represent a generic polynomial of degree 4 in 2 variables?
(b) Consider the following degree 4 polynomial in two variables:

$$
p\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right):=25 x_{1}^{4}-90 x_{1}^{3} x_{2}+90 x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}+9 x_{2}^{4}+12 x_{1}^{2} x_{2}-12 x_{1} x_{2}^{2}+8 x_{1}^{2}
$$

We would like to determine if $p$ is a SOS, i.e. if $p$ can be represented as $Z(x)^{T} Q Z(x)$ for some $Q \geq 0$. Equate the coefficients of $p$ and $Z(x)^{T} Q Z(x)$ to find a collection of linear equality constraints on the entries of $Q$. Use these equations to find matrices $Q_{0}$ and $Q_{1}$ such that all solutions to $p=Z(x)^{T} Q Z(x)$ can be expressed as $Q_{0}+\lambda Q_{1}$. [Hint: It is possible to use the properties of semidefinite matrices to argue that certain monomials need not be included in $Z(x)$.]
(c) Plot the minimum eigenvalue of $Q_{0}+\lambda Q_{1}$ versus $\lambda$. For what values of $\lambda$ is $Q \geq 0$ ?
(d) Pick a value of $\lambda$ for which $Q \geq 0$. Use the Cholesky decomposition of $Q$ to construct polynomials $\left\{f_{1}, \ldots, f_{N}\right\}$ such that $p=\sum_{k=1}^{N} f_{k}^{2}$. How can you choose $\lambda$ to minimize the number of terms $N$ in the SOS decomposition?

## 2. Lyapunov Stability

Download both SOSTOOLs and Sedumi (or other SDP solver that is compliant with SOSTOOLs). Add both toolboxes (and the necessary subfolders) to your Matlab Path. Run sosdemo1 and sosdemo2 to verify that your installation is working properly.
Consider the following third-order nonlinear system:

$$
\dot{x}=A_{1} Z_{1}(x)+A_{2} Z_{2}(x)+A_{3} Z_{3}(x)
$$

where:

$$
Z_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{1} \\
x_{2}
\end{array}\right], \quad Z_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{1}^{2} \\
x_{1} x_{2} \\
x_{2}^{2}
\end{array}\right], \quad Z_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{1}^{3} \\
x_{1}^{2} x_{2} \\
x_{1} x_{2}^{2} \\
x_{2}^{3}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and

$$
A_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-4 & 5 \\
-1 & -2
\end{array}\right], \quad A_{2}=\frac{1}{4}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
3 & 6 & 3 \\
1 & 2 & 1
\end{array}\right], \quad A_{3}=\frac{1}{8}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
-1 & 0 & -9 & 6 \\
0 & -3 & 6 & -7
\end{array}\right]
$$

(a) What is the linearization of this system at $x=0$ ? Verify that this linearization is stable.
(b) If there exists a $V$ such that $V(0)=0, V \geq x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}$ and $\dot{V} \leq-r V$ then $x=0$ is a globally exponentially stable and all trajectories converge to the origin like $e^{-r t}$. This is a slight modification of the Lyapunov Theorem presented in class and is similar to an LMI condition we derived earlier. Use SOSTOOLs to find the largest value of $r$ (to within an accuracy of 0.1 ) for which there exists a quadratic Lyapunov function which satisfies these conditions. How does $r_{\max }$ compare with the natural frequency of the poles of the linearized system?
(c) Simulate the nonlinear system from several initial conditions and plot them on a single figure. On the same figure, plot several contours of the Lyapunov function computed by SOSTOOLs. Comment on the graphical interpretation of $\dot{V}=\nabla V \cdot \dot{x}<0$.

## 3. Input-Output Gain Analysis

Consider the following third-order nonlinear system:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{x} & =A_{1} Z_{1}(x)+A_{2} Z_{2}(x)+A_{3} Z_{3}(x)+B u \\
y & =C x
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left\{A_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{3}$ and $\left\{Z_{k}(x)\right\}_{k=1}^{3}$ are as defined in the previous problem. The input and output matrices are:

$$
B=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
10 & 2 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right], \quad C=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
2 & 3
\end{array}\right]
$$

(a) What is the linearization, $G(s)$, of this system at $x=0$ ? Compute the $H_{\infty}$ norm of this linearization.
(b) Construct an input signal which approximately achieves $\|G\|_{\infty}$. Specifically, construct $u_{w c}(t)$ for $t \in$ $[0,100]$ such that the response to this input, $y_{w c}$, satisfies $\frac{\left\|y_{w c}\right\|_{2}}{\left\|u_{w c}\right\|_{2}} \approx\|G\|_{\infty}$. Simulate the linear system $G$ with the input $u_{w c}$ and zero initial conditions. Compute both $\left\|y_{w c}\right\|_{2},\left\|u_{w c}\right\|_{2}$ and verify the ratio is approximately $\|G\|_{\infty}$.
(c) Simulate the nonlinear system response $y_{n l}$ due to the input $u_{w c}$ constructed in the previous part. Use this response to compute a lower bound for the $L_{2}-L_{2}$ gain of the nonlinear system.
(d) Use SOSTOOLs to compute an upper bound on the $L_{2}-L_{2}$ input-output gain of the nonlinear system. How does this upper bound compare to $\|G\|_{\infty}$ and the lower bound computed in the previous part? How would you reduce the gap between the upper and lower bounds?

