CS 267: Introduction to Parallel Machines and Programming Models Lecture 3

James Demmel
www.cs.berkeley.edu/~demmel/cs267_Spr16/

Outline

- Overview of parallel machines (~hardware) and programming models (~software)
  - Shared memory
  - Shared address space
  - Message passing
  - Data parallel
  - Clusters of SMPs or GPUs
  - Grid
- Note: Parallel machine may or may not be tightly coupled to programming model
  - Historically, tight coupling
  - Today, portability is important

A generic parallel architecture



- **Where is the memory physically located?**
- **Is it connected directly to processors?**
- **What is the connectivity of the network?**

Parallel Programming Models

- Programming model is made up of the languages and libraries that create an abstract view of the machine
- Control
  - How is parallelism created?
  - What orderings exist between operations?
- Data
  - What data is private vs. shared?
  - How is logically shared data accessed or communicated?
- Synchronization
  - What operations can be used to coordinate parallelism?
  - What are the atomic (indivisible) operations?
- Cost
  - How do we account for the cost of each of the above?

Simple Example

- Consider applying a function f to the elements of an array A and then computing its sum:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(A[i])$$

- Questions:
  - Where does A live? All in single memory? Partitioned?
  - What work will be done by each processors?
  - They need to coordinate to get a single result, how?

A = array of all data
fA = f(A)
s = sum(fA)



Programming Model 1: Shared Memory

- Program is a collection of threads of control.
  - Can be created dynamically, mid-execution, in some languages
- Each thread has a set of private variables, e.g., local stack variables
- Also a set of shared variables, e.g., static variables, shared common blocks, or global heap.
  - Threads communicate implicitly by writing and reading shared variables.
  - Threads coordinate by synchronizing on shared variables



Simple Example

- Shared memory strategy:
  - small number p << n=size(A) processors
  - attached to single memory
- Parallel Decomposition:
  - Each evaluation and each partial sum is a task.
- Assign n/p numbers to each of p procs
  - Each computes independent "private" results and partial sum.
  - Collect the p partial sums and compute a global sum.

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(A[i])$$

Two Classes of Data:



- Logically Shared
  - The original n numbers, the global sum.
- Logically Private
  - The individual function evaluations.
  - What about the individual partial sums?

Shared Memory "Code" for Computing a Sum

```
fork(sum,a[0:n/2-1]);
sum(a[n/2,n-1]);
```

```
static int s = 0;
```

Thread 1

```
for i = 0, n/2-1
    s = s + f(A[i])
```

Thread 2

```
for i = n/2, n-1
    s = s + f(A[i])
```

- What is the problem with this program?

- A race condition or data race occurs when:
  - Two processors (or two threads) access the same variable, and at least one does a write.
  - The accesses are concurrent (not synchronized) so they could happen simultaneously

Shared Memory "Code" for Computing a Sum

A= | 3 | 5 | f (x) = x^2

```
static int s = 0;
```

Thread 1		Thread 2	
….		…	
compute f([A[i]) and put in reg0	9	compute f([A[i]) and put in reg0	25
reg1 = s	0	reg1 = s	0
reg1 = reg1 + reg0	9	reg1 = reg1 + reg0	25
s = reg1	9	s = reg1	25
…		…	

- Assume A = [3,5], f(x) = x^2, and s=0 initially
- For this program to work, s should be $3^2 + 5^2 = 34$ at the end
  - but it may be 34,9, or 25
- The *atomic* operations are reads and writes
  - Never see ½ of one number, but += operation is *not* atomic
  - All computations happen in (private) registers

Improved Code for Computing a Sum

```
static int s = 0;
static lock lk;
```

Why not do lock Inside loop?

```
Thread 1
  local_s1= 0
  for i = 0, n/2-1
     local_s1 = local_s1 + f(A[i])
  lock(lk);
  s = s + local_s1
  unlock(lk);
```

```
Thread 2
  local_s2 = 0
  for i = n/2, n-1
     local_s2= local_s2 + f(A[i])
  lock(lk);
  s = s +local_s2
  unlock(lk);
```

- Since addition is associative, it's OK to rearrange order
- Most computation is on private variables
  - Sharing frequency is also reduced, which might improve speed
  - But there is still a race condition on the update of shared s
  - The race condition can be fixed by adding locks (only one thread can hold a lock at a time; others wait for it)

Review so far and plan for Lecture 3

Programming Models	Machine Models
1. Shared Memory	1a. Shared Memory 1b. Multithreaded Procs. 1c. Distributed Shared Mem.
2. Message Passing	2a. Distributed Memory 2b. Internet & Grid Computing
2a. Global Address Space	2c. Global Address Space
3. Data Parallel	3a. SIMD 3b. Vector
4. Hybrid	4. Hybrid

Machine Model 1a: Shared Memory

- **Processors all connected to a large shared memory.**
  - **Typically called Symmetric Multiprocessors (SMPs)**
  - **SGI, Sun, HP, Intel, AMD, IBM SMPs**
  - **Multicore chips, except that all caches are shared**
- **Advantage: uniform memory access (UMA)**
- **Cost: much cheaper to access data in cache than main memory**
- **Difficulty scaling to large numbers of processors**
  - **<= 32 processors typical**



Note: $ = cache

Problems Scaling Shared Memory Hardware

- Why not put more processors on (with larger memory?)
  - **The memory bus becomes a bottleneck**
  - **Caches need to be kept *coherent***
- Example from a Parallel Spectral Transform Shallow Water Model (PSTSWM) demonstrates the problem
  - **Experimental results (and slide) from Pat Worley at ORNL**
  - **This is an important kernel in atmospheric models**
    - **99% of the floating point operations are multiplies or adds, which generally run well on all processors**
    - **But it does sweeps through memory with little reuse of operands, so uses bus and shared memory frequently**
  - **These experiments show performance per processor, with one "copy" of the code running independently on varying numbers of procs**
    - **The best case for shared memory: no sharing**
    - **But the data doesn't all fit in the registers/cache**

Example: Problem in Scaling Shared Memory

PSTSWM Sensitivity to Contention

- **Performance degradation is a "smooth" function of the number of processes.**
- **No shared data between them, so there should be perfect parallelism.**
- **(Code was run for a 18 vertical levels with a range of horizontal sizes.)**



Process scaling on IBM p690

OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

UT-BATTELLE

Machine Model 1b: Multithreaded Processor

- Multiple thread "contexts" without full processors
- Memory and some other state is shared
- Sun Niagra processor (for servers)
  - Up to 64 threads all running simultaneously (8 threads x 8 cores)
  - In addition to sharing memory, they share floating point units
  - Why? Switch between threads for long-latency memory operations
- Cray MTA and Eldorado processors (for HPC)



Eldorado Processor (logical view)



Machine Model 1c: Distributed Shared Memory

- Memory is logically shared, but physically distributed
  - Any processor can access any address in memory
  - Cache lines (or pages) are passed around machine
- SGI is canonical example (+ research machines)
  - Scales to 512 (SGI Altix (Columbia) at NASA/Ames)
  - Limitation is *cache coherency protocols* – how to keep cached copies of the same address consistent



Cache lines (pages) must be large to amortize overhead ➔ locality still critical to performance

Review so far and plan for Lecture 3

Programming Models	Machine Models
1. Shared Memory	1a. Shared Memory 1b. Multithreaded Procs. 1c. Distributed Shared Mem.
2. Message Passing	2a. Distributed Memory 2b. Internet & Grid Computing
2a. Global Address Space	2c. Global Address Space
3. Data Parallel	3a. SIMD 3b. Vector
4. Hybrid	4. Hybrid

Review so far and plan for Lecture 3

Programming Models	Machine Models
1. Shared Memory	1a. Shared Memory 1b. Multithreaded Procs. 1c. Distributed Shared Mem.
2. Message Passing	2a. Distributed Memory 2b. Internet & Grid Computing
2a. Global Address Space	2c. Global Address Space
3. Data Parallel	3a. SIMD 3b. Vector
4. Hybrid	4. Hybrid

Programming Model 2: Message Passing

- Program consists of a collection of named processes.
  - **Usually fixed at program startup time**
  - **Thread of control plus local address space -- NO shared data.**
  - **Logically shared data is partitioned over local processes.**
- Processes communicate by explicit send/receive pairs
  - **Coordination is implicit in every communication event.**
  - **MPI (Message Passing Interface) is the most commonly used SW**



Computing s = f(A[1])+f(A[2]) on each processor

° First possible solution – what could go wrong?

```
Processor 1
   xlocal = f(A[1])
   send xlocal, proc2
   receive xremote, proc2
   s = xlocal + xremote
```

```
Processor 2
   xlocal = f(A[2])
   send xlocal, proc1
   receive xremote, proc1
   s = xlocal + xremote
```

° If send/receive acts like the telephone system? The post office?

° Second possible solution

```
Processor 1
   xlocal = f(A[1])
   send xlocal, proc2
   receive xremote, proc2
   s = xlocal + xremote
```

```
Processor 2
   xlocal = f(A[2])
   receive xremote, proc1
   send xlocal, proc1
   s = xlocal + xremote
```

° What if there are more than 2 processors?

MPI – the de facto standard

MPI has become the de facto standard for parallel computing using message passing (www.mpi-forum.org)

Pros and Cons of standards

- **MPI created finally a standard for applications development in the HPC community → portability**
- **The MPI standard is a least common denominator building on mid-80s technology, so may discourage innovation**

Programming Model reflects hardware!

"I am not sure how I will program a Petaflops computer, but I am sure that I will need MPI somewhere" – HDS 2001

Machine Model 2a: Distributed Memory

- Cray XC30 (Edison), Dell PowerEdge C8220 (Stampede)
- PC Clusters (Berkeley NOW, Beowulf)
- Edison, Stampede, most of the Top500, are distributed memory machines, but the nodes are SMPs.
- Each processor has its own memory and cache but cannot directly access another processor's memory.
- Each "node" has a Network Interface (NI) for all communication and synchronization.



PC Clusters: Contributions of Beowulf

- **An experiment in parallel computing systems (1994)**
- **Established vision of low cost, high end computing**
  - **Cost effective because it uses off-the-shelf parts**
- **Demonstrated effectiveness of PC clusters for some (not all) classes of applications**
- **Provided networking software**
- **Conveyed findings to broad community (great PR)**
- **Tutorials and book**
- **Design standard to rally community!**
- **Standards beget: books, trained people, software … virtuous cycle**

Adapted from Gordon Bell, presentation at Salishan

Tflop/s and Pflop/s Clusters (2009 data)

The following are examples of clusters configured out of separate networks and processor components

- **About 82% of Top 500 are clusters (Nov 2009, up from 72% in 2005),**
  - **4 of top 10**
- **IBM Cell cluster at Los Alamos (Roadrunner) is #2**
  - **12,960 Cell chips + 6,948 dual-core AMD Opterons;**
    - **129600 cores altogether**
  - **1.45 PFlops peak, 1.1PFlops Linpack, 2.5MWatts**
  - **Infiniband connection network**
- **For more details use "database/sublist generator" at www.top500.org**

Machine Model 2b: Internet/Grid Computing

- SETI@Home: Running on 3.3M hosts, 1.3M users (1/2013)
  - ~1000 CPU Years per Day (older data)
  - 485,821 CPU Years so far
- Sophisticated Data & Signal Processing Analysis
- Distributes Datasets from Arecibo Radio Telescope



Next Step- Allen Telescope Array

Google "volunteer computing" or "BOINC"

Programming Model 2a: Global Address Space

- Program consists of a collection of named threads.
  - **Usually fixed at program startup time**
  - **Local and shared data, as in shared memory model**
  - **But, shared data is partitioned over local processes**
  - **Cost models says remote data is expensive**
- Examples: UPC, Titanium, Co-Array Fortran
- Global Address Space programming is an intermediate point between message passing and shared memory



Machine Model 2c: Global Address Space

- Cray T3D, T3E, X1, and HP Alphaserver cluster
- Clusters built with Quadrics, Myrinet, or Infiniband
- The network interface supports RDMA (Remote Direct Memory Access)
  - **NI can directly access memory without interrupting the CPU**
  - **One processor can read/write memory with one-sided operations (put/get)**
  - **Not just a load/store as on a shared memory machine**
    - **Continue computing while waiting for memory op to finish**
  - **Remote data is typically not cached locally**



Global address space may be supported in varying degrees

Review so far and plan for Lecture 3

Programming Models	Machine Models
1. Shared Memory	1a. Shared Memory 1b. Multithreaded Procs. 1c. Distributed Shared Mem.
2. Message Passing	2a. Distributed Memory 2b. Internet & Grid Computing
2a. Global Address Space	2c. Global Address Space
3. Data Parallel	3a. SIMD 3b. Vector
4. Hybrid	4. Hybrid

Programming Model 3: Data Parallel

- Single thread of control consisting of parallel operations.
  - A = B+C could mean add two arrays in parallel
- Parallel operations applied to all (or a defined subset) of a data structure, usually an array
  - **Communication is implicit in parallel operators**
  - **Elegant and easy to understand and reason about**
  - **Coordination is implicit – statements executed synchronously**
  - **Will have lecture on CUDA and OpenCL later in semester**
- Drawbacks:
  - **Not all problems fit this model**
  - **Difficult to map onto coarse-grained machines**

A = array of all data
fA = f(A)
s = sum(fA)



Machine Model 3a: SIMD System

- A large number of (usually) small processors.
  - **A single "control processor" issues each instruction.**
  - **Each processor executes the same instruction.**
  - **Some processors may be turned off on some instructions.**
- Originally machines were specialized to scientific computing, few made (CM2, Maspar)
- Programming model can be implemented in the compiler
  - **mapping n-fold parallelism to p processors, n >> p, but it's hard (e.g., HPF)**



Machine Model 3b: Vector Machines

- Vector architectures are based on a single processor
  - **Multiple functional units**
  - **All performing the same operation**
  - **Instructions may specific large amounts of parallelism (e.g., 64-way) but hardware executes only a subset in parallel**
- Historically important
  - **Overtaken by MPPs in the 90s**
- Re-emerging in recent years
  - **At a large scale in the Earth Simulator (NEC SX6) and Cray X1**
  - **At a small scale in SIMD media extensions to microprocessors**
    - **SSE, SSE2 (Intel: Pentium/IA64)**
    - **Altivec (IBM/Motorola/Apple: PowerPC)**
    - **VIS (Sun: Sparc)**
  - **At a larger scale in GPUs**
- Key idea: Compiler does some of the difficult work of finding parallelism, so the hardware doesn't have to

Vector Processors

- Vector instructions operate on a vector of elements
  - These are specified as operations on vector registers



- **A supercomputer vector register holds ~32-64 elts**
  - **The number of elements is larger than the amount of parallel hardware, called vector pipes or lanes, say 2-4**
- **The hardware performs a full vector operation in**
  - **#elements-per-vector-register / #pipes**



Cray X1: *Parallel Vector Architecture*

Cray combines several technologies in the X1

- **12.8 Gflop/s Vector processors (MSP)**
- **Shared caches (unusual on earlier vector machines)**
- **4 processor nodes sharing up to 64 GB of memory**
- **Single System Image to 4096 Processors**
- **Remote put/get between nodes (faster than MPI)**



Earth Simulator Architecture



Parallel Vector Architecture

- High speed (vector) processors
- High memory bandwidth (vector architecture)
- Fast network (new crossbar switch)

Rearranging commodity parts can't match this performance

Review so far and plan for Lecture 3

Programming Models	Machine Models
1. Shared Memory	1a. Shared Memory 1b. Multithreaded Procs. 1c. Distributed Shared Mem.
2. Message Passing	2a. Distributed Memory 2b. Internet & Grid Computing
2a. Global Address Space	2c. Global Address Space
3. Data Parallel	3a. SIMD & GPU 3b. Vector
4. Hybrid	4. Hybrid

Machine Model 4: Hybrid machines

- Multicore/SMPs are a building block for a larger machine with a network
- Old name:
  - CLUMP = Cluster of SMPs
- Many modern machines look like this:
  - Edison and Stampede, most of Top500
- What is an appropriate programming model #4 ???
  - Treat machine as "flat", always use message passing, even within SMP (simple, but ignores an important part of memory hierarchy).
  - Shared memory within one SMP, but message passing outside of an SMP.
- GPUs may also be building block
  - Nov 2014 Top500: 14% have accelerators, but 35% of performance

Accelerators in Top 500 (Nov 2014)



Performance of Accelerators (Nov 2015)



Performance of Accelerators in Top500, Nov 2014



Performance Share of Accelerators in Top500, Nov 2014



Programming Model 4: Hybrids

- Programming models can be mixed
  - Message passing (MPI) at the top level with shared memory within a node is common
  - New DARPA HPCS languages mix data parallel and threads in a global address space
  - Global address space models can (often) call message passing libraries or vice versa
  - Global address space models can be used in a hybrid mode
    - Shared memory when it exists in hardware
    - Communication (done by the runtime system) otherwise
- For better or worse
  - Supercomputers often programmed this way for peak performance

Review so far and plan for Lecture 3

Programming Models	Machine Models
1. Shared Memory	1a. Shared Memory 1b. Multithreaded Procs. 1c. Distributed Shared Mem.
2. Message Passing	2a. Distributed Memory 2b. Internet & Grid Computing
2a. Global Address Space	2c. Global Address Space
3. Data Parallel	3a. SIMD & GPU 3b. Vector
4. Hybrid	4. Hybrid

What about Cloud?

What about Cloud?

- Cloud computing lets large numbers of people easily share O(10^5) machines
  - MapReduce was first programming model: data parallel on distributed memory
  - More flexible models (Hadoop, Spark, …) invented since then
  - Guest lecture later in the semester
- May be used for class projects

Lessons from Lecture 3

- Three basic conceptual models
  - Shared memory
  - Distributed memory
  - Data parallel

  and hybrids of these machines
- All of these machines rely on dividing up work into parts that are:
  - Mostly independent (little synchronization)
  - About same size (load balanced)
  - Have good locality (little communication)
- Next Lecture: How to identify parallelism and locality in applications