

## 1. Must Triangular Matrices have Triangular Inverses ?

The first problem was to show why every triangular matrix has a triangular inverse whenever the inverse exists. The following demonstration goes by induction. The claim is obviously true for 1-by-1 triangular matrices (scalars). Suppose now for some integer  $n \geq 1$  that every  $n$ -by- $n$  upper-triangular matrix  $U$  has an upper-triangular inverse  $U^{-1}$  whenever it exists. Let  $\hat{U}$  be any  $(n+1)$ -by- $(n+1)$  upper-triangle with an inverse  $\hat{A}$ . It must satisfy  $\hat{U} \cdot \hat{A} = \hat{I}$ , the  $(n+1)$ -by- $(n+1)$  identity. Partition each matrix in this equation conformally into submatrices thus:

$$\begin{bmatrix} U & u \\ o^T & \mu \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} A & c \\ r^T & \beta \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I & o \\ o^T & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Here submatrices  $U$ ,  $A$  and  $I$  are  $n$ -by- $n$ , columns  $u$ ,  $c$  and  $o$  are  $n$ -by-1, rows  $r^T$  and  $o^T$  are 1-by- $n$ , and scalars  $\mu$ ,  $\beta$  and 1 are 1-by-1. These submatrices satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} U \cdot A + u \cdot r^T &= I, & U \cdot c + u \cdot \beta &= o, \\ o^T \cdot A + \mu \cdot r^T &= o^T, & o^T \cdot c + \mu \cdot \beta &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $o^T$  is a row of zeros, the last two equations simplify to  $\mu \cdot r^T = o^T$  and  $\mu \cdot \beta = 1$ . These equations can both be satisfied only if  $\mu \neq 0$ , which is necessary for  $\hat{U}^{-1}$  to exist; and then  $\beta = 1/\mu$  and  $r^T = o^T$ . Then  $A = U^{-1}$  and  $c = -U^{-1}u/\mu$ . Consequently

$$\hat{U}^{-1} = \hat{A} = \begin{bmatrix} U^{-1} & c \\ o^T & \beta \end{bmatrix}$$

is upper-triangular because  $U^{-1}$  is. This completes the induction for upper-triangles, showing that the ones with nonzero diagonals have upper-triangular inverses. For lower-triangles we transpose the equation  $\hat{U} \cdot \hat{A} = \hat{I}$  to get  $\hat{A}^T \cdot \hat{U}^T = \hat{I}$ , which shows why every lower-triangle  $\hat{U}^T$  with a nonzero diagonal has a lower-triangular inverse  $\hat{A}^T$ . Note too, from the relation  $\beta = 1/\mu$ , that two triangular matrices inverse to each other have diagonal elements respectively reciprocals of each other.

## 2. When are Triangular Factorizations Unique ?

The second problem was to show that whenever a square matrix  $B = L \cdot U$  has triangular factors,  $L$  unit-lower-triangular (with 1's on its diagonal) and  $U$  upper-triangular with all its diagonal elements nonzero except perhaps its last diagonal element, then  $B$  determines  $L$  and  $U$  uniquely. For this purpose assume first that the diagonal of  $U$  is altogether nonzero, and that another factorization  $B = \bar{L} \cdot \bar{U}$  has been found, perhaps by a different method, with unit-lower-triangle  $\bar{L}$  and upper-triangle  $\bar{U}$ . The equation  $L \cdot U = \bar{L} \cdot \bar{U}$  implies  $\bar{L}^{-1} \cdot L = \bar{U} \cdot U^{-1}$ ; here  $\bar{L}^{-1} \cdot L$  is the lower-triangular product of lower-triangles, and  $\bar{U} \cdot U^{-1}$  is an upper-triangle similarly, so the products can be equal only if both are diagonal. This makes  $\bar{L}^{-1} \cdot L = I$ ; it is the product of just the diagonal elements of  $\bar{L}^{-1}$  and  $L$ , which are 1's. Therefore  $\bar{L} = L$ ; and it soon follows that  $\bar{U} = U$  too, which makes the triangular factorization of  $B$  unique.

Now consider a triangular factorization when the upper-triangular factor's last diagonal element is zero. For this purpose let us write

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{c} \\ \mathbf{b}^T & \beta \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{L} & \mathbf{o} \\ \mathbf{r}^T & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{U} & \mathbf{u} \\ \mathbf{o}^T & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

in which  $\mathbf{c}$ ,  $\mathbf{o}$  and  $\mathbf{u}$  are columns,  $\mathbf{b}^T$ ,  $\mathbf{r}^T$  and  $\mathbf{o}^T$  are rows, and the  $\mathbf{o}$ 's contain zeros. This triangular factorization's submatrices satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B} &= \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{U}, & \mathbf{c} &= \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{u}, \\ \mathbf{b}^T &= \mathbf{r}^T \cdot \mathbf{U}, & \beta &= \mathbf{r}^T \cdot \mathbf{u} + 0. \end{aligned}$$

We have seen that the equation  $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{U}$  determines unit-lower-triangle  $\mathbf{L}$  and upper-triangle  $\mathbf{U}$  uniquely when all diagonal elements of  $\mathbf{U}$  are nonzero, as is assumed here. Then column  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{L}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{c}$  and row  $\mathbf{r}^T = \mathbf{b}^T \cdot \mathbf{U}^{-1}$  are determined uniquely too by  $\mathbf{c}$  and  $\mathbf{b}^T$ , as is the upper-triangle's last diagonal element  $\beta - \mathbf{r}^T \cdot \mathbf{u}$ . That it happens to vanish does not alter the uniqueness of the triangular factorization up to this point.

=====

The nonuniqueness or nonexistence of LU triangular factorization when any but the last diagonal element of  $\mathbf{U}$  vanishes is illustrated by examples: First is a nonunique factorization

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 4 & 10 \\ 3 & 6 & 30 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & \beta & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu \end{bmatrix}$$

in which  $\mu := 21 - 4\beta$ . The second example,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

has no triangular factorization.