

Optimization for Locally Optimal Control

Pieter Abbeel
UC Berkeley EECS

Optimal Control (Open Loop)

- Optimal control problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{x,u} \quad & \sum_{t=0}^H c_t(x_t, u_t) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & x_0 = \bar{x}_0 \\ & x_{t+1} = f(x_t, u_t) \quad t = 0, \dots, H - 1 \end{aligned}$$

- Solution:
 - = Sequence of controls u and resulting state sequence x
 - If no noise, sufficient to just execute u
- In general non-convex optimization problem, can be solved with sequential convex programming (SCP)

Optimal Control (Closed Loop)

- Given: \bar{x}_0

- For $t = 0, 1, 2, \dots, H$

- Solve
$$\min_{x_{t:H}, u_{t:H}} \sum_{k=t}^H c_t(x_k, u_k)$$

$$\text{s.t. } x_t = \bar{x}_t$$

$$x_{k+1} = f(x_k, u_k) \quad k = t, \dots, H - 1$$

- Execute u_t

- Observe resulting state, \bar{x}_{t+1}

→ = an instantiation of Model Predictive Control.

→ Initialize with solution from $t - 1$ to solve fast at time t .

Collocation versus Shooting

- What we considered thus far is a collocation method
 - It considers both x and u simultaneously, optimizes over both of them, and re-linearizes (inside the SCP loop) based on both x and u from the previous round
- Shooting methods
 - Optimize over u directly
 - This can be done as every u results (following the dynamics) in a state sequence x , for which in turn the cost can be computed
 - Upside: Improve sequence of controls over time
 - Versus: collocation might converge to a local optimum that's infeasible
 - Downsides:
 - Derivatives with respect to u as well as the cost for a given u can be numerically unstable to compute (especially in case of unstable dynamical systems)
[x provides decoupling between time-steps, making computation stable]
 - Not clear how to initialize in a way that nudges towards a goal state