## Random Graphs

We first need to define what we mean by a random graph. We will do so with an algorithm. To get a random graph $G(n, m)$ with $m$ edges and $n$ vertices, we do the following:

```
Algorithm RandG1(n, m)
    for i = 1 to m do
        pick i,j in {1,...,n} at random until {i,j} is not in E
        add edge {i,j} to E
```

This process also allows us to think of building a random graph one edge at a time. We can ask a variety of questions about random graphs. Is $G$ connected? Does $G$ have a $k$-clique? A hamiltonian path? etc. In the probabilistic case, the sample space is the set of possible graphs, and an experiment is generating a graph from this space. Each of the questions above is an event (subset of the sample space), and the answer to those questions the probability of that event.

## Is $G$ connected?

We now have a full bag of tools to approach this problem. To get the probability that the random graph $G(n, m)$ is connected, we first find the expected value of $m$ that makes the graph connected, and then apply tail bounds to compute the probability of this happening for particular $m$. We assume an incremental model of adding one edge at a time.

First notice that $m \geq n-1$ for a connected graph (a tree is a minimally-connected graph). But we use one of the results we already know to get a much stronger bound for random graphs. Hint: Try thinking of choosing random edges as a form of coupon collecting.

As we add edges, we watch the number of connected components of the graph. Initially, the graph has $n$ vertices and no edges, so there are $n$ connected components. The first edge always connects two points, and gives us $n-1$ connected components. The second edge also reduces the number of connected components to $n-2$. The third may or may not reduce the number. We use epochs to model the different phases of the process. Let $X_{k}$ be the number of random edges added while there are $k$ connected components, until there are $k-1$ connected components. We have shown that $X_{n}=1$, and $X_{n-1}=1$. If we define

$$
X=\sum_{k=2}^{n} X_{k}
$$

then $X$ counts the total number of edges that we add until the graph is connected. Our goal then, is to compute $\mathrm{E}(X)$.

Now define $p_{k}$ to be the probability that an edge added while there are $k$ components reduces the number of components. We cant compute $p_{k}$ exactly, but we can give a lower bound. Assume
$v$ is one endpoint of the edge we are adding. Then there are at least $k-1$ other vertices to which we can connect $v$ and reduce the number of components (these other vertices lie on the other components). In total there are $n-1$ other vertices to which we can connect $v$. So the probability that this edge reduces the number of components is $\geq(k-1) /(n-1)$. But this bound holds for any choice of $v$, so it also bounds $p_{k}$ :

$$
p_{k} \geq \frac{k-1}{n-1}
$$

Now observe that $X_{k}$ is a geometric random variable with success probability $p_{k}$. Its expected value is $1 / p_{k} \leq(n-1) /(k-1)$. So we have

$$
\mathrm{E}(X)=\sum_{k=2}^{n} \mathrm{E}\left(X_{k}\right) \leq \sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{n-1}{k-1}=(n-1) H_{n-1}
$$

where $H_{n-1}$ is the $(n-1)^{s t}$ harmonic number. In other words, an upper bound on $\mathrm{E}(X)$ is about $n \ln n$.

The next step is to apply tail bounds on the probability of $m$ being much larger than its mean. To get a useful bound, we need to apply Chebyshev. Since $X$ is a sum of independent R.V.'s (strictly speaking they are not independent, but their probability bounds are independent), we can add up their variances. Each $X_{k}$ is a geometric random variable with success probability $p_{k}$. So its variance (lecture 8) is $\left(1-p_{k}\right) / p_{k}^{2}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Var}[X]=\sum_{k=2}^{n} \operatorname{Var}\left[X_{k}\right]=\sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{1-p_{k}}{p_{k}^{2}} \leq \sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{(n-k)(n-1)}{(k-1)^{2}}
$$

and we can split up this sum:

$$
\sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{(n-k)(n-1)}{(k-1)^{2}}=(n-1)^{2} \sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{1}{(k-1)^{2}}-(n-1) \sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{1}{(k-1)}
$$

We have seen both kinds of sums on the RHS before (lecture 8), and they can be approximated respectively as:

$$
n^{2} \pi^{2} / 6-n \ln n
$$

and therefore $\sigma_{X}$ is at most $\approx n \pi / \sqrt{6}$.
To apply Chebyshev, we set the probability of exceeding the mean at 0.01 , then $t=10$ in the Chebyshev formula:

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left[|X-\bar{X}| \geq t \sigma_{X}\right] \leq \frac{1}{t^{2}}
$$

which requires that $X-\bar{X} \geq t \sigma_{X}$ or

$$
X \geq n \ln n+10 n \pi / \sqrt{6}
$$

So just as we saw for coupon collecting, we have very high probability of connecting up the graph (better than 0.99 ) when the number of edges $m$ is a linear multiple of $n$ bigger than $\bar{X}$ which is $n \ln n$.

## Does $G$ have a $k$-clique?

Definition: A clique in an undirected graph $G=(V, E)$ is a subset of vertices $U \subset V$ such that every pair of vertices in $U$ is connected by an edge of $G$ (i.e., for all $i \neq j \in U$, we have $\{i, j\} \in E$. If $U$ has $k$ vertices, we call it a $k$-clique.

Finding cliques in graphs, and in particular large cliques, is an important problem that shows up in many applications. Given $G$ and $k$, the problem of deciding whether $G$ contains a $k$-clique is NPcomplete. Here we investigate the problem for random graphs. We'll use a different model called the $G_{n, p}$ model for random graphs. Rather than fixing the number of edges, we fix the probability of each edge being included in the graph. To generate a $G_{n, p}$ graph, we do the following:

```
Algorithm RandG2(n, p)
    for every pair {i < j} in {1,...,n}, do
        toss a coin with Pr[Heads] = p
        if heads, add edge {i,j} to E
```

Notice that the expected number of edges in such a random graph is $\binom{n}{2} p$, which is the number of possible edges times $p$. So by varying $p$, we get more or less dense graphs. The following question is typical in the fields of random graphs and average-case analysis of algorithms:

- How large does $p$ have to be before a random graph $G$ is very likely to contain a 4-clique?

We approach this problem in the usual way, define indicator random variables for each subset of 4 vertices that indicate the presence of a clique. That is, define $X_{S}$ for each subset $S \subset V$ of 4 vertices as:

$$
X_{S}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1 \text { if } S \text { are the vertices of a 4-clique } \\
0 \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

and then $X=\sum X_{S}$ is the total number of 4-cliques in the graph. We will first estimate $\mathrm{E}(X)$ as a function of $p$. Then we will compute the variance of $X$ and use the Chebyshev bound to show that $p$ is a "threshold parameter". That is, there is a value $p_{0}$ such that for $p>p_{0}$ there almost certainly is a 4-clique, while for $p<p_{0}$ there is almost certainly not a 4-clique in $G$.

First of all, since a 4-clique has 6 edges, it is easy to see that $\operatorname{Pr}\left[X_{S}=1\right]=p^{6}$. Since $X_{S}$ is an indicator r.v., we also have that $\mathrm{E}\left(X_{S}\right)=\operatorname{Pr}\left[X_{S}=1\right]=p^{6}$. The total number of subsets of 4 vertices is $\binom{n}{4}$, so

$$
\mathrm{E}(X)=\sum \mathrm{E}\left(X_{S}\right)=\binom{n}{4} p^{6}
$$

Its tempting to infer that if $\mathrm{E}(X)>1$ then $G$ is very likely to contain a clique (this happens for $p>1.7 n^{-2 / 3}$ ). But that is not necessarily true. Its possible that the distribution of $G$ has a "long tail" of low total probability that inflates the expected value, but has low total probability for $X>0$. To prove that we have high probability of a clique, we need to compute the variance and apply Chebyshev. Now we know that
$\operatorname{Var}(X)=\mathrm{E}\left(X^{2}\right)-\mathrm{E}(X)^{2}=\sum_{S} \mathrm{E}\left(X_{S}^{2}\right)-\sum_{S} \mathrm{E}\left(X_{S}\right)^{2}+\sum_{S \neq T} \mathrm{E}\left(X_{S} X_{T}\right)-\sum_{S \neq T} \mathrm{E}\left(X_{S}\right) \mathrm{E}\left(X_{T}\right)$

Definition: The covariance $\operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{S}, X_{T}\right)$ of two random variables is defined as $\mathrm{E}\left(X_{S} X_{T}\right)$ $\mathrm{E}\left(X_{S}\right) \mathrm{E}\left(X_{T}\right)$.

For independent random variables $X_{S}$ and $X_{T}$, the covariance is zero. With this definition, the variance of $X$ can be written:

$$
\operatorname{Var}(X)=\sum_{S} \operatorname{Var}\left(X_{S}\right)+\sum_{S \neq T} \operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{S}, X_{T}\right)
$$

Now the variance of $X_{S}$ is simple, because it is represents a Bernoulli trial with success probability $p^{6}$. From the earlier formula for variance of a Bernoulli trial (lecture 6), we have:

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{S}\right)=p^{6}\left(1-p^{6}\right)
$$

The covariances are tricky, and they vary depending on the degree of similarity between $S$ and $T$. So we consider three cases:
$S$ and $T$ have 0 or 1 vertices in common. In this case, there are no edges in common in the (possible) 4-cliques on $S$ and $T$. Then $X_{S}$ and $X_{T}$ are independent, so the covariance $\operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{S}, X_{T}\right)$ is zero.
$S$ and $T$ have 2 vertices in common. In this case, there is one edge in common in the (possible) 4-cliques on $S$ and $T$. If $X_{S} X_{T}=1$ then a total of 11 edges ( 6 each for $S$ and $T$, less the common edge) must be present. So $\mathrm{E}\left(X_{S} X_{T}\right)=\operatorname{Pr}\left[X_{S} X_{T}=1\right]=p^{11}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{S}, X_{T}\right)=\mathrm{E}\left(X_{S} X_{T}\right)-\mathrm{E}\left(X_{S}\right) \mathrm{E}\left(X_{T}\right)=p^{11}-p^{12}
$$

$S$ and $T$ have 3 vertices in common. In this case, there are 3 edges in common in the (possible) 4 -cliques on $S$ and $T$. If $X_{S} X_{T}=1$ then a total of 9 edges ( 6 each for $S$ and $T$, less the 3 common edges) must be present. So $\mathrm{E}\left(X_{S} X_{T}\right)=\operatorname{Pr}\left[X_{S} X_{T}=1\right]=p^{9}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{S}, X_{T}\right)=\mathrm{E}\left(X_{S} X_{T}\right)-\mathrm{E}\left(X_{S}\right) \mathrm{E}\left(X_{T}\right)=p^{9}-p^{12}
$$

Since the first case had zero covariance, we only need to consider the last two cases. To evaluate the sums $\sum \operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{S}, X_{T}\right)$ we need to count the number of pairs $S, T$. In the case of two vertices in common, we can choose $S$ first, then the two vertices in common, then the other two vertices in $T$. The number of ways of doing that is

$$
\binom{n}{4}\binom{4}{2}\binom{n-4}{2} \approx \frac{n^{6}}{8}
$$

In the case of three vertices in common, we can choose $S$ first, then the three in common, then the one other vertex of $T$. The number of pairs is

$$
\binom{n}{4}\binom{4}{3}\binom{n-4}{1} \approx \frac{n^{5}}{6}
$$

The number of sets of $S$ alone is $\binom{n}{4} \approx n^{4} / 24$. Now we can substitute into the formula for variance of $X$ :
$\operatorname{Var}(X)=\sum_{S} \operatorname{Var}\left(X_{S}\right)+\sum_{S \neq T} \operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{S}, X_{T}\right) \approx \frac{n^{4}}{24} p^{6}\left(1-p^{6}\right)+\frac{n^{6}}{8}\left(p^{11}-p^{12}\right)+\frac{n^{5}}{6}\left(p^{9}-p^{12}\right)$

Earlier, we noted that $p=1.7 n^{-2 / 3}$ gives $\mathrm{E}(X)$ of about 1 . We introduce a constant $c$, and plug $p=c n^{-2 / 3}$ into the variance formula:

$$
\operatorname{Var}(X)=\frac{c^{6}}{24}+O\left(n^{-1}\right)
$$

and the standard deviation is:

$$
\sigma_{X} \approx \frac{c^{3}}{2 \sqrt{3}}
$$

Substituting $p=c n^{-2 / 3}$ into the expected value formula gives:

$$
\mathrm{E}(X)=\binom{n}{4} p^{6} \approx \frac{c^{6}}{24}
$$

Now we can see that the distribution of $X$ "converges" as $c$ increases. That is, the expected value grows as $c^{6}$, while the standard deviation (the width of the distribution) grows as $c^{3}$.

To apply Chebyshev, pick say $t=10$. We choose $\bar{X}=101$, and solving for $c$ gives $c=$ $2424^{1 / 6} \approx 3.665$. Then $\sigma_{X} \approx 10.0$, and Chebyshev gives

$$
\operatorname{Pr}[X<1]=\operatorname{Pr}\left[X-\bar{X}<-t \sigma_{X}\right] \leq \operatorname{Pr}\left[|X-\bar{X}|>t \sigma_{X}\right] \leq \frac{1}{t^{2}}=\frac{1}{100}
$$

So there is almost certainly ( prob $>0.99$ ) a 4-clique if $p=3.665 n^{-2 / 3}$.
On the other hand, if we pick $t=10$ and $\bar{X}=0.009$, solving for $c$ gives $c=0.776$. The standard deviation is $\sigma_{X} \approx 0.095$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Pr}[X>0.959]=\operatorname{Pr}[X-0.009>0.95] \leq \operatorname{Pr}\left[|X-\bar{X}|>t \sigma_{X}\right] \leq \frac{1}{t^{2}}=\frac{1}{100}
$$

So there is almost certainly not (prob $<0.01$ ) a 4-clique if $p=0.776 n^{-2 / 3}$.
This is what we mean by $p_{0}=1.667 n^{-2 / 3}$ is a "threshold value". There is almost certainly a clique for $p$ larger than $p_{0}$, and almost certainly no clique for values of $p$ less than $p_{0}$.

