

## Midterm: Solutions

1. (10 points) *Convexity and conjugates.* Consider the function  $f : \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}_{++} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ , with values for  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $t > 0$  given by

$$f(x, t) = \frac{x^2}{t}$$

- (a) Show that  $f$  is convex.  
(b) What is the conjugate function of  $f$ ? Make sure to define the domain, and check that it is convex. *Hint:* differentiate over  $x$  first.

### Solution:

- (a) The function  $f$  is convex, since its epigraph is convex. Indeed, the condition  $f(x, t) \leq u$  on a point  $(x, t, u) \in \mathbf{R}^3$  with  $t > 0$ , is equivalent to the LMI

$$\begin{pmatrix} t & x \\ x & u \end{pmatrix} \succeq 0.$$

Another proof relies on the Hessian of  $f$ :

$$\nabla^2 f(x, t) = \frac{2}{t^3} \begin{pmatrix} t & \\ & -x \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t \\ -x \end{pmatrix}^T,$$

which is positive-definite whenever  $t > 0$ .

Yet another proof relies on the expression

$$\frac{x^2}{t} = \max_y xy - t \frac{y^2}{4}.$$

- (b) The conjugate of  $f$  is the function

$$f^*(y, u) := \max_{x, t > 0} xy + tu - \frac{x^2}{t}.$$

Differentiating over  $x$ , we obtain that  $x = (ty)/2$ , and the problem above reduces to

$$f^*(y, u) := \max_{t > 0} t(u + y^2/4) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } u + y^2/4 \leq 0, \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

2. (10 points) *Subgradients and duality.* For  $\lambda > 0$ , we define

$$\phi(\lambda) := \min_x f(x) + \lambda g(x),$$

where the functions  $f, g$  are both convex. We assume that for every  $\lambda > 0$ , the optimal set of the above problem is not empty (in other words, the problem is attained).

- (a) Show that  $\phi$  is concave.
- (b) Show that if  $x(\lambda)$  is any optimal point for the above problem, then  $-g(x^*(\lambda))$  is a sub-gradient of  $-\phi$  at  $\lambda$ . *Hint:* show that for every pair  $\lambda > 0, \mu > 0$ , we have

$$\phi(\mu) \leq \phi(\lambda) + (\mu - \lambda)g(x^*(\lambda)).$$

- (c) For  $\kappa > 0$ , consider the problem

$$p^*(\kappa) := \min_x f(x) : g(x) \leq \kappa,$$

Assuming that  $g(0) \leq 0$ , show that

$$p^*(\kappa) = \max_{\lambda \geq 0} -\kappa\lambda + \phi(\lambda).$$

Is  $p^*(\kappa)$  convex on the domain  $\mathbf{R}_{++}$ ?

- (d) Assume that the above problem is attained, and denote by  $\lambda^*$  an optimal point. Find a sub-gradient of  $p^*$  at  $\kappa$ .

**Solution:**

- (a) The function is a point-wise minimum (over  $x$ ) of affine functions of  $\lambda$ , hence it is concave.
- (b) We start with the fact that for any  $x \in \mathbf{dom}f \cap \mathbf{dom}g$ , we have

$$\phi(\mu) \leq f(x) + \mu g(x) = f(x) + \lambda g(x) + (\mu - \lambda)g(x).$$

Applying this to  $x = x^*(\lambda)$ , we obtain the desired result.

- (c) We have

$$p^* = \min_x \max_{\lambda \geq 0} f(x) + \lambda g(x) - \kappa\lambda.$$

We can apply strong duality, since 0 is strictly feasible (from  $g(0) \leq 0 < \kappa$ ). This proves the result, which in turn shows that the function  $p^*$  is convex for  $\kappa > 0$ , as the pointwise maximum of affine functions.

- (d) Using the same reasoning as above, a sub-gradient of  $p^*$  at  $\kappa$  is  $-\lambda^*$ .

3. (20 points) *Square-root LASSO via LASSO*. We consider the LASSO problem:

$$\phi(t) := \min_x \frac{1}{2} \|Ax - b\|_2^2 + t \|x\|_1, \quad (1)$$

where  $A \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $b \in \mathbf{R}^m$ , and  $t > 0$ . We consider also the so-called “square-root LASSO”:

$$\eta(\lambda) := \min_x \|Ax - b\|_2 + \lambda \|x\|_1, \quad (2)$$

where  $\lambda > 0$ .

There are many off-the-shelf packages available to solve the LASSO problem, but much less choices for the square-root version. This motivates us to find a way to solve the square-root LASSO by making use of a LASSO code.

- (a) Show that  $\phi$  is concave on its domain,  $\mathbf{R}_{++}$ .
- (b) Show that the function  $\psi : \mathbf{R}_{++} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  with values for  $t > 0$  given by  $\psi(t) = \phi(t)/t$ , is convex. *Hint*: use the result of problem 1.
- (c) Show that, for every  $\lambda > 0$ , we have

$$\eta(\lambda) = \min_{t>0} t/2 + \lambda\psi(\lambda t).$$

Is the above problem convex?

- (d) To solve the above one-dimensional problem, we can use bisection, which requires the knowledge of an upper bound on any  $t$  that is optimal for the above. Show that an upper bound on  $t$  can be set to be  $1 + 2\phi(\lambda)$ .

**Solution:**

- (a) The function  $\phi$  is the point-wise minimum of affine functions (of  $t$ ), and its domain is convex, hence it is concave.
- (b) For  $t > 0$ , we have

$$\psi(t) = \min_x \frac{\|Ax - b\|_2^2}{2t} + \|x\|_1.$$

From problem 1, and using the composition with affine mappings rule, the first term is *jointly* convex in  $(x, t)$ , hence its partial minimum (over  $x$ ) is also convex.

- (c) We have

$$\min_{t>0} t/2 + \lambda\psi(\lambda t) = \min_{x, t>0} t/2 + \frac{\|Ax - b\|_2^2}{2t} + \|x\|_1$$

Minimizing over  $t$  yields the desired result, since, for any  $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ , we have:

$$\min_{t>0} t/2 + \alpha^2/2t = |\alpha|.$$

The resulting problem is convex, since  $\psi$  is.

- (d) Evaluating the objective function at  $t = 1$  leads to

$$\min_{t>0} t/2 + \lambda\psi(\lambda t) \leq 1/2 + \lambda\psi(\lambda) = 1/2 + \phi(\lambda).$$

This implies, in view of the non-negativity of  $\psi$ , that any optimal  $t$  satisfies

$$0 \leq t \leq 1 + 2\phi(\lambda).$$